



number of
what is it

Mrs. Britney Cole Friday June 2
Darius Penderf Rajah 2005
General John Jackson Pentagon
Sue Andaleo Ana eta eta K'ina
Alan de Keith (uncle of Ch. Indian
MOE Theresa
Lamy Fred
acetic microwaves
Betty Semmer Bob Yap keeps
Kelly Warner Mary Miller
Center, Dnd n:
1. Welcome Pot Messer. Patricia
Kevin
Newel
Robert Taylor
Doris Thompson
2004

2. minutes

3. Activities
continuing on
negotiations - 7 May 2004
based on strengthening
working on Illinois

May 20th - 30 day joint statement
Structure of countries - then
Committee of negotiators - then
cannot letter from Berner

face
outlining ontario's concerns
prohibitors

supporting it going forward
strengthening
so further public input
could be sought.

further consultations
meetings with ag? (Madison)
other
of sectors.

Can a copy of Premier's letter be
available? Christine

on going discussions w/
working group.

Kevin content of Premier's
letter

cover about unresolved
issues

language Chicago Diversions
the Hunter discussing w.
Illinois

last Monday 30 day review
unless not all

Bothy has used protection can
respond in regular
technical input.
Specific criteria

Will not apply in Ontario

"This is for the states only
political rather than
geographical boundaries
make us unable to trade
issues?"

Pumilio's letter did not mention
shading countries issue
Release is on the 30th.

"Ontario's exclusion is in the
definition of ~~the~~ countries
included terms are separate
would it be possible for ex
numerical parity to turn over
water to agriculture
industry and then to
could self-judicial review of this
happen



we might be undermining IC by creating appellate provision at the provincial level.

DEAF staff much more satisfied with this draft.

Why do COC think this would ~~thought~~ undermine

ask they provide reasons.

Page 17

precedent setting something like NOVA

Adelle sense of US states that have the shading counties as communities

→ can be seen on a map where the boundaries are close Wisconsin

who can not say on who would go along with ~~that~~ grand following Michigan could benefit from NE corner of Indiana in balance Wisconsin

page 7.2 B 2 returns blow to another watershed

3 levels of tobacco advertising

per 19 minutes a day
(Chantal Huguenin-Lundberg)
who paid for nothing?)

- i. same restrictions
- ii. medium can go
- iii. either way



no reasonable alternatives
yus the best they could get
could not get support.

page 11 Chicago Brewster

formal language

critic's has their interests

meaning of language the
does not do beyond the
language of the advice.

sub 13 exceptions to

other clauses of agreement
do not count them

another 4 to 5 million)



people.

Paragraph 9 Bottled water provisions - MNR does agree with this.

end of paragraph 11. Stronger for Ontario & Quebec.
close to ambiguous status but up to discretion of court.

This was the deal breaker for Illinois.

Principles new language conservation, improvement, persecution, climate change.

precaution specific to shading counties

networking impacts on BWA & ITC..

enforceability
JR mechanism.

article 210
allows us to intervene
in other jurisdictions

remedy

This is an improvement
over the 1st draft of the
compact.
We do not have to prove;
we are not an agricultural
party.

limited to this agreement.

Correspondence re: trade
in letter.

article 303 page 13.

Water conservation programme

Reducing demand
a significant gain.
requires

a conservation plan
timeframe 5 years
from time agreements
take effect.

proponents would be
evaluated on how well they
meet their jurisdiction's need

page 29

physical criteria
measurable"

how it would be measured

Michael d'Andrea a
significant corp. &
negotiating team.

rules of First Nations.

Improvement removed.

except for the
preamble

and in the conservation
plan piece

article 303

MNR ~~is~~ has their hands
tied will not count

June 30th official launch
29th COLG will be briefing
their advisory groups

→ August 29th end of
60 day briefing period.

MNR will be moving
quickly - to meet

July 5 St. Catharines &
Windsor

7:00 to 9:00

* July 6 London

* July 7th Kitchener/King St

* July 11th Thunder Bay

* July 12 Sault Ste Marie

July 14 Toronto

First Nation *

at home

Office of Ontario Environment
Committee.

July 29th Big Monks Bubb Sta
Michigan State University

Handwritten notes at the top of the page, including the word "Introduction" and some illegible text.

Handwritten notes in the second section, starting with "Introduction" and "Definition".

Handwritten notes in the third section, starting with "Definition" and "Importance".

Handwritten notes in the fourth section, starting with "Importance" and "Characteristics".

Handwritten notes in the fifth section, starting with "Characteristics" and "Types".

Handwritten notes in the sixth section, starting with "Types" and "Classification".

Handwritten notes in the seventh section, starting with "Classification" and "Conclusion".

A rectangular box at the bottom of the page, likely for a signature or date.



Jurisdictions weighted in
they were ready to move
to public review.

MNR thought they would not
be in a position to do a
clause by clause review.

Thought it would take a
week or two more.

Yesterday Thursday they
got word we could.

However what is clear is
there is not consensus
among the parties on the
agreements.

not sure where it leaves off
going ahead } not sure what
to gather more } this means
opinion on public } you consensus
debate. } they thought
they already
had

MNR needs the release to inform Ontario public.

Here south of the border, the public has the same opportunity in the U.S. and are constrained

will probably be asked to modify it further after the consultation. ^{weaker} do not have specifics on what the objections are. Do not want language on additions. ^{which jurisdictions are objecting}

at the point consultations are completed and called-up MNR will come back to our committee

problems they are consulting a moving target.

if they cannot move this sort of public consultation whole thing is likely to collapse

Ontario has had the most substantive public debate.

This public consultation is happening solely because of Ontario and Quebec.

There was no support from any state.

Adele would Ontario ~~ask~~ ask by a letter to get a list of all US consultations being planned - will ask C&G for this. Adele wants to invite U.S. groups to her consultation.

Prohibition -

Exceptions Shaddeing Comm public water supply return (blaw).

In the Basin

most substantive changes.

less than 100,000 per day at rise of "overprinting party"

100,000 < 5 million

meets standards of

water quality

no alternative

(conservation in place

for us bring water supplies

conservative use
5 million or greater

are open for regional review
if US impact applies

Straddling Countries

public consumption

purposes

change issued here performed

production went with short production

no alternative

returnable to 5 million

same water

preservation and ecosystems
us'll not endanger ecosystems

guide language
difficulties, different
concepts, agreement

Implications view not
based on accurate
reading

worked into Skopje (2)
a new challenge
no calm English on
industries involved
in the alternative
proposal

Primerial development
Mingana - ^{align}
no Indonesian transfer
First Nations

Review minutes, action items
steps in I R & C, DRAFT
positive response

What does Army Advisor
panel mtg, October 28, 2005

2
had they read the Agreement
many of their concerns
would have been resolved
lobbied with their governments
on their views of the impacts only
The deal arrived the
evening they went back
to the table
inside a Kupung Hanayha.

Problematic for Ontario

already weakening
un-basin use
waterbawon Kan Principles
adaptive man instead of precautionary
products
diversion definition
weaken

Other jurisdictions Quebec
and other States have
major problems -

and is easy for many
capacity to get final
changes through the
decision structures
due to individual in trusts.

3
- Ontario's position
is that we could perhaps
reopening early have
to have strengthening
to balance

we are at the endpoint
within a day or two
of signing off to send to
governments for 30 days
in Ontario that decision
will be up to Cabinet,

Ontario governors or
restoration scheduled
members can mid-
December to sign off
annex only initiative
State deal others advised
by the US Federal gov.

opportunities are closed

If we do not support
status quo as it may
prove from Michigan
both the water suit and
Cranke show

infact must mean turned

environmental protection
number 14 tests
with the impacts
BUT the impacts

Key Provisions
Main turned

Do it ourselves.
It's all about
I'm not sure we
at the moment
But I'm not sure
better opportunity.
elections give us a
governmental or
today.

needs to be discussed
what are the risks

US states are willing
to opt out on their own
with the compact

4

Strategic

Shackling countries

our high-level proposals
coming out of the meeting

question is that

is it going to be a separate
issue or is it going to be
considered to be a separate
a separate issue

whether or not they can

do it

(return you still there)

Stronger commitment to

useful consistency including
setting regional goals &
of objectives, could be out
of consensus from U.S. judges

Stronger commitment to science

enabling FNS judges advance
to regional bodies

Strengthening of regional
provisions of programs
networks of programs
but provisions come into
effect

~~Return - Investment~~
 modified to address
 geo-morphological systems
 meet use standards
 located in a river
 return of basin water
 is maximized - return of
 non basin water is minimized

Data Not to be
 reduced to
 a comment.
 Data Not to be
 a result of
 a note.

Changes needed to secure an
 agreement.
 State / Provincial
 management
 in management
 is not needed out of necessity
 not performance
 Smart based on
 market standard
 is the standard attractive with
 this limited

Walk through specific articles

Key Changes Article

"Adaptive Management" means a water resources man. system that provides systematic process for evaluation, monitoring and learning, monitoring and of operation, the outcomes and adjust program plans and program baselines incorporating and the knowledge concerning water resource and water dependent natural resources.

The procedural manual is no longer considered to be "preachy" to the regional placaholder.

Converting into force
within 5 years now
rather than 10 years.
input is unsure why is passed
part to currency into force.

The 200 Series

ask on not and

outfit of Public Water
means water desk to
public through a physically
beneficial system of treatment
storage with other
facilities serving a group
of varying residential
distances that may also serve
industrial commercial and
the industrial operations
can directly with separate
through separate system to
shall not be considered to be
used for public water supply
purposes.

DATE 201

exceptions to observations

the straddling community is not considered to be = to communities within the class.

new co-mingled language

"no surface water a given from outside the basin may be used to sort the material and part of this material except at H.

! is part of a water supply and/or wastewater treatment system that enters water from inside and outside of the basin.

it is treated to meet applicable US discharge standards and to prevent the introduction of invasive species into the basin.

Combined systems -
 new
 available appliances in the
 in less than 10 years.

90-day period, the proposal
 on a quarterly average over a
 day (19 million litres per day)
 use of 5 million gallons per
 a day or increased consumption
 at the proposal results in

and
~~proposal must meet the standard~~
~~also at the standard~~
 proposal results in a
 90 day period the
 (day) on a quarterly average
 on day. (379,000 litres per
 amount of 10,000 gallons
 a day or increased with
 b. At the proposal results from

Intra-Basin

is as it was
changed to accommodate
new return flow
legislation.

Shadling counties
as it was.

6. same language
of return flow as
co-mining.

6. maximizes the pattern
of water return to the
same watershed as Basin
water

The proposal must satisfy
all of the conditions above.

Further substantive consideration
(NOT a knock out clause, not
"provision") will also be
given to whether or not the
proposal can provide sufficient
scientifically based evidence

that the existing water supply is derived from groundwater that is hydrologically interconnected to the water of the Basin.

2. May this address to some degree the trade vulnerability but not strongly

The Standard.

3. All water withdrawn

Regional Review

Division's acceptance
still a statement of ^{business} and commitment

via notice applies
to all in basin over
5 million

(division is still between
takes as well)

prior notice and regional
review, how would

prior notice of consultation
" " of comment.

weakening not a full
blown opportunity
for Basin-wide public
comment

What will happen (where)
the G.L. Charter language
is weakened.

206

man's Reg approved
increased withdrawals
and consumptive use

- Each party shall have
1. determined the scope and
thresholds of its water program
each shall adopt these measures
2. at a minimum each
Party shall manage &
regulate
5 million gpd.

3. The Parties intend programs
for now or increases with
consumptive uses to water
is maybe necessary to protect Perm.
~~4 year~~ Periodic assessment
of water programs

Each subsequent year
Some might use watershed
based standards -

"permit by rules"
This is crucial to four states
Rob this is contrary
to the spirit of the
understanding
erosion

Indiana
Ohio
Michigan

2. May all but one state
supported neither threshold

Minnesota,
registration program 6 -

all withdrawals are defined by consumptive use.

207

applicability
nothing changed
except bulk
transfer.

Bulk Transfer.

A proposal to withdraw water and to remove it from the Basin in any container greater than 5.7 gallons (20 litres) shall be considered to be a proposal for a diversion.

Eliminates specific reference to bottled water of the change
Bulk water transfers are now in the compact

208 Exemptions from the Agreement

2. Use in a non commercial project on a short-term basis for fire fighting humanitarian and emergency response added.

Cumulative impacts ^{insidiously} precautionary approach remains except addition of adaptive management.

utilize adaptive management.

e.g. clarify who is responsible for who must conduct.

Cumulative impact onus/burden is on applicant to provide information. Unless required by other statutes applicants are not required to conduct a separate cumulative impact assessment.

in connection with an application
but shall submit information
about about the potential
impacts of a proposal to
the quantity or quality of
waters and water dependent
Natural Resources of the
applicable source watershed.

An applicant may, however,
provide an analysis of how
their proposal meets the no
significant adverse

Cumulative Impact provision
of the Standard.
Article 300

Water Management
Program Review

one from a single report

4. The first report shall
be provided by each
jurisdiction ^{or year} from
the date ^{that article comes into force}
100,000 gpd day still reporting the state

Reports to be more precise.
Acceleration
of finding

new article on Science
a an improved understanding
of annual global and cumulative
impacts

301
302
Information unchanged.
got all regional local work
part of future work.

100% one of its duties and
responsibilities, the EPR
recommend a range of approaches
to the Parties w respect to
the development, enhancement
and application of water
management programs to
implement the standards
reflecting improved scientific
understanding of the water
of the basin, including ground
water and the impacts of
withdrawals on the basin
ecosystems

Collaborative Strategy
with regional partners

The Parties commit to promote
overseas strength of private
enterprises and to promote
assessing

Climate Change
Climate Change

Overall they are mentioning
off subcategory articles.

We can see to show (ing)
countries with less pressure
to submit with coming (ing)
do will have (ing) (ing)
to show this.

What about countries that
are highly developed countries
today & because of overpopulation
is no longer in the (ing) (ing).


What their -
decentrality you use

need the majority of who is in
and without

artistic and the way in
 enhancing draft had
 no Regional Body at all
 new two years after
 agreement signed. Goals
 objectives basic
 to have to come into force

201

Why don't we limiting
 set a date for grand opening
 your account
 status quo there
 is to make new
 agreements
 we set up in the future
 changes to improve can be
 made.



What is hydroelectricity
 until our understanding
 gives the ground water
 subject water outside
 of tunnels.

both ensure to
 ensuring sustainable use
 W cooperation - is provided
 e. the party - not the
 Regional Body will decide
 of its construction programs.

4. Best management

Sound planning
 demand-side and
 supply-side
 development transfer
 and application of
 revenue

Regional Body & Review

- g. Regional options to
- h. the party's financial

401 structure

8/19 add. public access
 to participation in the
 the program review

First Nations organized
to assist with this
guides are not - appearing
one representative for home.
Evaluates their role.

Implementation
Challenges

Immediately
essential part.

Water conservation objectives
Dispute res.

2 other to days after
Registration in our place
arrangements

the making of a
proposals, proposal
Plan review

State program

5 years after comes into force
management of withdrawn

& consumption uses
management of withdrawn

After 60 days, following
the date

5 to 10 years to pass state
legs.

No opportunity to go
back & make substantial
changes.

Threshold - have we
exhausted the discussion
no longer negotiable.

Oct 28 proposed 30-day review
Nov 28 end of review

Dec 12-13 proposed signing
of agreements.

In January MNR starts
to pull together implementation
Shatecy, proposed revisions to
out regulations.

discussing posting agreement
in the interim.

Elizabeth - do not think
we can stay today.

- the bottle of water
- political boundaries
- Chicago Diversion

The politics of the moment
not the politics of forever -

November 10, 2005 -



MNR Advisory Panel Conference
Call Sept. 8, 2005
minutes

Activity since public review
MNR moved quickly to
inform
eleven session
also Kitch Kingstons
SS Marie Niagara Falls
St. Catharines

reg
and
municipal } sectors
GBA

Environmental Commissioners
Conservation Ontario

Working Groups teleconferences

Soil & Water Conservation
Have a good panel
Rochester in August.

Summary of Comments
105 written submissions
Carview

are still receiving comments

1) Discussion's as comments

positive & constructive

ambiguities

can we identify actual communities?

Introduce a central board

long-term user management

a full time based on cumulative

strong support of a practitioner

Chicago still a problem for

some elements. be subject to answer

The process - 60 days turning trust to remedy.

Support for establishment of Advisory Panel transparency

• led comments on role of
federal government & IJC
must be invaluable
implementation impacts
re. IJC.

Conservation 50 comments
could be tightened
implementation as soon
as workplans can be
developed.

Consumptive Use
Bottling.

Length of averaging

Revising CO-efficients

Information Collection
advice -

more info on groundwater
info available to public
Govt's need to commit

preamble
return public trust
precautionary p & climate
change inclusion supported.

implementation of standards
water quality requirements
for return flow who
determines

compact
& uses 2
legally binding in
Ontario

Tables & 70
clear commitment
should be at the table

Regional Review 20 comments
who should resource
pay for science
Regional Body 15 comments

certainty of case
sequencing
more to determine in the
last estimate 5 years

impact of the
support of the
how will it be
implementation
new position
you will if the measured
impact you & other

mechanisms for enforcement
consequences of non-compliance

Determinants
"measurable"
"action plan"
"public water supply"

process - procedures
whose responsibility

Judicial review
Table NAFTA Commerce
clause is annex

Devil's Lake
Roll of ITC
Levels & flaws impacts

Strong support for improvement
will be posting summary
on internet site...

Jim Bruce how many will
Ontario take on Board and
take to the table.

Have a strategy session to
determine this.

Strong indications from
their jurisdictions they have
strong deal breakup
level of regulation on
metastasis uses
objection to prohibiting intra-

Casein transfers -

limited necessity to strengthening

significant support
you draw-backs

Look for allies

should also take more
operational than he is

the deal now may no longer
lie on the table
something else may be
there.

will need the visit
support.....

we need to review the
U.S. comments re: pushback
so we can frame our
arguments.

some instructions just
they did consultation what
in about hand.

would appear over
to report this amount
short

conflictive with the share
is in the agreement now
to implement unobscure
will create the relevant
Intrabasing transfer -

level of U.S. investment
lower than last year.
from all U.S. jurisdictions

quite differences from the
messages from jurisdictions
MFR communications Bremen
do an analysis of
the messaging and the
media

could certainly be happy
with the existing drafts
that exists now.

No - will be seeking
further improvements
current deal may be
weakened.

we need to biller against
the status quo.

will be asking advisory panel
if they should continue
to support...

will be bringing all
comments and sharing
them with jurisdictions
& advisory committees.
leverage points
public reaction to
Katrina... ecosystem integrity, precaution

environmental groups
should be stressing the
need in the U.S.

ball back shifting the
timeline
7~~to~~ of 8 states gubernatorial
elections.
could this play to our
advantage.

... Ralph Kentland
assurances that congress
will not weaken what we
have.

two further working group
sessions

Sept 20-21
mid-October scheduled


~~what~~ to have a good airing
of major issues
exhausted in Scotik
meeting.

competitiveness; economic

do we have science to
make decisions.

will frame issues for
September 30, 2005
meeting
including Skobie
discussion.
20th - 23rd

11th - 14th of October
last scheduled negotiators
meeting.



[Faint, illegible handwriting in blue ink, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Handwritten word in blue ink, possibly "love" or "love's".]

[Handwritten symbol or word in blue ink, possibly "love" or "love's".]

