

Anti-nuke call - April 19/06 ①

→ one big theme - "streamlining" nuclear regulatory + approvals reg to procedure timelines, details, \$, public note etc)

- Shawn Patrick

→ "refurbishment" → proponents looking for "accommodation" of procedures
→ "new reactors" → industry is really pushing this
- delay is helpful - 3 yrs. EA plus licensing
- OPA report →
- But we can't construct until 2016, even if EA starts today for site-specific facility (Feb 06)

① Fed. licensing regime doc - the regulator is not ready + under started to even look at rebuilding, let alone

→ 10 yrs to start to train (contractor)
→ customer panel review, not comp study
→ can slow the process w lots of questions

→ waste - NMMO - didn't deal w waste - very actual EA

- fuel waste / decommissioning waste

→ get a dialogue going w NGO's on licensing process

② Jan Carr - Can Nuke speech

→ issues of programming → he says get the EA approval, build it + then send on to someone else
→ no props, no site, no design

→ Murray - legal options ³ down to failure,
but stay to the court if public opinion (?)
- if nuclear waste really is a matter
in public, not "deficit of proportion"

per se

- attack the "plan" vs "facility"??

∴ must work in parallel in OEB, the NSCT,
and courts, but the economic
pie is only so big

- get high-profile lawyer(?)

- 2007 full election

ERC to give the logjam to David
Porch + Joe Castelli, once
I get the green light

[prov FOI → O'Mara letter]

[ERC to help to scope
the question]

- for response to NRC
recommendations by summer or fall,
@ the very time Ont wants
a new plant to produce more
nuclear waste

→ IIR legal challenge should
include nuclear waste as an
unresolved issue

David - reduce cost of
the house in fact
I just don't want to
pay for it now, rather wait (as
permanently as it)
→ find CTOLand side of way