

Knox,Louise [CEAA]

From: Knox,Louise [CEAA]
Sent: November 10, 2005 4:16 PM
To: Young,Bruce [CEAA]
Subject: RE: AECL meeting follow-up

Thanks Bruce. I'm keenly interested, as you may have guessed. Have a good long-week-end and we'll talk next week!

Louise Knox
Director, Ontario Region
416-952-1575
louise.knox@ceaa-acee.gc.ca
Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency
55 St. Clair Ave. E. Suite 907 Toronto ON M4T 1M2

-----Original Message-----

From: Young,Bruce [CEAA]
Sent: November 10, 2005 4:05 PM
To: Knox,Louise [CEAA]; Burgess,Steve [CEAA]
Cc: Robinson,David J. [CEAA]; Leboeuf,Yves [CEAA]; Sylvester,Peter [CEAA]; Streiner,Scott [CEAA]
Subject: Re: AECL meeting follow-up

Louise, I spoke with Sharon as well, filled her in on options and indicated that we are anxious to meet with CNSC asap. On that front, I was speaking with Jim Clarke at CNSC last Wednesday and we have agreed to find a time for Agency and CNSC VPs to meet and discuss potential panel level reviews for new nuclear generation in Ontario as well as a potential mine expansion in Saskatchewan. I am sure that both joint panels as well as substitution will be raised. I will keep you informed on how this develops.

Bruce.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld (www.BlackBerry.net)

-----Original Message-----

From: Knox,Louise [CEAA] <Louise.Knox@ceaa-acee.gc.ca>
To: Burgess,Steve [CEAA] <Steve.Burgess@ceaa-acee.gc.ca>
CC: Robinson,David J. [CEAA] <DavidJ.Robinson@ceaa-acee.gc.ca>; Young,Bruce [CEAA] <Bruce.Young@ceaa-acee.gc.ca>; Leboeuf,Yves [CEAA] <Yves.Leboeuf@ceaa-acee.gc.ca>; Sylvester,Peter [CEAA] <Peter.Sylvester@ceaa-acee.gc.ca>
Sent: Thu Nov 10 08:54:53 2005
Subject: AECL meeting follow-up

Hello all,
Just want to let you know that Sharon Baillie-Malo of NRCan called me yesterday because she was preparing a briefing note for her senior management on the possibility of a new nuclear generation facility in Ontario, and she wanted to understand what the options might be on the EA front. I noticed in the AECL deck (which you should have by now) that they identify the CEAA-CNSC interaction as an issue. Just want to flag that the CNSC may not be the only RA, also to agree with Bruce and encourage early dialogue on whether a panel is preferable to a comp study and if so, what steps could be taken to get as much up-front planning done as possible, in a timely fashion.

If we are going to be engaged in either a panel or a comp study of a new nuclear facility in Ontario, as well as an EA of deep geologic disposal of low and medium-level nuclear waste at the Bruce site (which people will suspect is eventually intended also for high-level waste) while the Nuclear Waste Management Office continues its process to select a site for long-term disposal of high-level nuclear waste, we are going to be busy.

Louise Knox
Director, Ontario Region
416-952-1575
louise.knox@ceaa-acee.gc.ca
Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency
55 St. Clair Ave. E. Suite 907 Toronto ON M4T 1M2