### **Great Lakes United**

7700.30A9

# **Burlington Focus Group Board of Directors**

### December 17, 1993

# What are your Hopes and Concerns for Strategic Planning?

DEC SS '93 15:32

- what structure do w e need in order to deliver what we want to deliver -how do we creatively structure the E. D. role -Strategic Planning(SP) can be a catalyst for change -develop solid proposals -get us thinking about the Board, its role, structure, its role, its relations with staff-I'm tired of the debate over who does what jobs. -governance -how the Board can function between meetings -explore the possibility of one person committees -figure out lake level alliances and how they keep falling out of GLU -how to deal with the large geographic area & diverse interests -look at how we serve our member organizations -are we an activist group, a liaison group or a resource group? To some extend we are a reflection of Board member interests. -decide what we work on and what we don't work on. -are we bi-national, sometimes yes sometimes no. we are not clear. -it is important to state we are all of these things and we may only want some of these thing-our strength and our weakness. -explore making the coalition more functional -explore why issues didn't succeed-Gender?-Health TF, Lab & Envir. TF -does the Board give direction to the E.D.? -We need to examine our structural difficulties -we need to clarify what we want to do-objectives and responsibilities and getting set up to follow through -explore how the Board can be clearer about its direction -explore a process for dropping things as priorities change that has an evaluation process for deciding whether the right priorities were set. -explore accountability-what its is and how to attach it to plans -define two different levels of leadership-staff and Board. -integrate Canadian and US issues, information and successes -explore staff managing volunteers -explore dynamics of two budgets Cdn/US as the US/Cdn dynamic gets played out. explore changing the structure to have Co-Presidents, who; will represent both countries -evaluate the role of president -the E.D. needs to run the office, examine staff functional roles

-explore charitable status in Cd, -acquire staff support on Cdn issues -if legislation watch is not a focus then against Toronto as a Centre for Canada -do Board members do legislation work? -explore the field staff idea.

# Internal Strengths

-some committees have worked -it is easy to work with people like myself. I have had to learn an d stretch by working with people who have differing ideologies from mine -staff jumps into vacuums are they arise

### Internal Weaknesses

- there is no recent strong work

- there are no gripping proposals for leading edge work

-we need ore funding to facilitate more activity from directors-travel, time

-maybe we haven't tried hard enough to work together between meetings -explore how GLU is off on its own unconnected to other organizations. -legislative watch, we are not doing it in the US (Sierra Club is) and in

Canada?

-we are rife with differences

-we have mixed agendas on the Board . Board meetings seem like a psychodrama.

-there is a lack of understanding of the three cultures

-we have been on the leading edge and were too slow and locust that lead by default

-we have too many issues

-we are spread to thin

-we need to set what our limits are at our retreats

-we need to budget money and work

-we have not been effective at making resolutions an work plan

-directors an staff are not producing

-we want strong leadership

-the president is now overwhelmed

# External Opportunities

-explore the possibility of establishing field staff around the basin. This would coalesce the Board members of that region

-explore GLU becoming a co-ordinating body and information source role -explore value of regional conferences to facilitate interchange of ideas among groups and to facilitate networking-GLU has a facilitation role we occupy a unique space

-members want to work on international issues

-we have a unique set of relationship that we are and represent

-we are important to small grass roots groups

-retailer/wholesaler image for GLU

-big groups need us to help them get numbers behind campaigns.

-explore including members organizations on proposals as co-respondents

#### **External Threats**

-we under scrutiny from our funders

### What Direction Should GLU take in the Long Term-It is Five Years From Now?

-cover the whole waterfront

-get a feel for people around the basin

-a fund raising and development committee is going

-make the AGM a network time -call it a conference and get funding for it. -re-structure the AGM into a conference in order to energize people

-develop a workable resource centre for member organizations-a Board electronic bulletin Board

-have a referral number a 1-800 #

-we will have five field offices, one for each lake/river

-GLU is involve with other groups doing work in communities on health and environment issues.

-one half of program grants are done with member organizations

-we have a whole set of audio/video materials that bring in money.- we produce these and we sell these

-50% of our revenue is not based in foundations

-we will have double the membership

-we will have another basin tour and these will continue on regular cycle

-the organizations is well known and preeminent in the Great Lakes Basin

-we have a solid fund raising base for operations

-build on our success and show progress

-we will always have a good issues campaign

-we will set up a communications network to serve member organizations with action alert and with response cards

-we will reassess the newsletter and what it does

-the executive committee will meet regularly and more frequently

-we will reduce the burden of responsibility on the President.

-look at the role of President and Vice-President with the intent of moving towards a co-chair model

-when people go off the Board we will have a way of recognizing them-we will have a more structured way in which we recognize people as they leave the board.

-we are truly beyond nations

-we are a model of diversity working

-there will be implementation of Great Lakes Water Quality

-Government commitment of 0 discharge

-Industry commitment to 0 discharge

-there will be a chlorine ban on Lake Superior

-strong labor and environment working on issues and on job security

-we will network with environmental justice groups

-need to put more moral spin on issues

-we are on top of legislative issues in Canada and US

-we address issue of popular life style of resource acquisition as fundamental to environmental issues.

# **Great Lakes United**

# **Burlington Focus Group Member** Organizations

#### **December 18, 1993**

#### **Participants**

Bill & Eileen Faust Camilla Smith Robyn McClellan Paul Muldoon Jeanne Jabanoski Sarah Miller John Jackson Pat Lupo Sean Enright

**Buffalo Audubon Society** GLU Board member

### **Issues the member organization faces**

-preservation of park land-Adirondack example

-Îumbering issues in the North and North Western US.

-wetlands problems

-demise of bird species

-audabon looks at the whole eco-system

-Hydro Quebec-we were instrumental in the cancellation of the power contract in Up state NY.

GLU staff

#### **General Comments**

-we believe in what GLU is trying to accomplish

-we have not worked closely with the office, we don't phone the office for help, our own organizations provides support

What could GLU do better? -organize meetings like this better Feedback on what GLU does. -

-publication very good

-periodic reports helpful

-good work being done

Ideas and issues for the Buffalo AGM-wetlands and pure water -we support GLU because it is an excellent organization -as a basin wide organization it can exert pressure on local governments -GLU is an entirely different organization from other groups-Sierra,

Audobon

-do what supports a coalition

-serve as an information clearing house

-present information and the IJC

-coalition members need to see that GLU isn't competing with other member organizations

-GLU can be a conduit for funds to member organizations by having member organizations sing on with funding projects

-do more with regional meetings

-facilitate member organizations-be a consultant to other organizations and share information

--encourage GLU to be a back ground group help other groups to be front and center

### **More General Comments**

pay attention to organizational needs, i.e. Strategic Planning

-go more deeply into the Task Force process and have it driven be member organizations

-in the Task Force process identify any member organizations who would tale a lead on issues- we become more of a network and facilitate the transfer on information

-GLU's strength as a transnational organization for example-GLWQA

#### Paul Muldoon

-GLU needs to have a service function and an advocacy function

-GLU needs to be invisible and visible depending on the issues

-GLU needs to have a name and a profile in order to raise money -our reputation was built around the water quality agreement during the 1984-88

-times have changed we need a new focus

-the nationality barrier is down-what is the next barrier, the next new challenge

#### General Discussion

-GLU is losing momentum regarding round table discussions

-communication is not what it could be, this might be the cause of a loss in momentum

-develop personal relationships with members

-develop a process of regional meetings/multinational

-establish a yearly meeting in addition to the AGM

-set-up a member organization meeting schedule

-people are looking for solutions today

-viability of group will depend on the right issues and their solutions defined -everyone is an environmentalist

-GLU has to define its niche

-environmental issues tend to be pushed off the table- GLU needs to integrate environmental issues with other social issues, -health, education, economy.

-GLU needs to show the link between environmental issues, as fundamental to social interaction issues.

-tie events like the Exxon Valdiz to zero discharge, use the media.