

Canadian Environmental Law Association
L'Association canadienne du droit de l'environnement

8 York Street, 5th Floor South, Toronto, Ontario M5J 1R2, telephone (416) 366-9717

6 May 1982

The Honourable Mark McGuigan
Secretary of State for External Affairs
Lester B. Pearson Building
125 Sussex Drive
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0G2

Dear Mr. McGuigan:

RE: U.S. v. Hooker Chemicals and Plastics Corp. et al

As you are aware, CELA represented Pollution Probe and Operation Clean-Niagara in regard to the above-noted matter. On April 30, 1982, Judge John Curtin approved the settlement agreement entered into between U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), New York State, and HookerChemicals in January, 1981.

Our position continues to be that the settlement agreement will not adequately protect the health of the millions of Canadians and Americans who take their drinking water from Lake Ontario and the Niagara River and that chemicals will continue to migrate into these bodies of water.

It has recently come to our clients' attention that U.S. EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice are using diplomatic channels to try to both silence and discredit Dr. Douglas Hallett. It is our understanding that these agencies have launched complaints concerning Dr. Hallett's right to comment publicly on the Court's decision and to participate in this case.

Apparently, the most recent attack on Dr. Hallett stemmed from the program aired on CBC "Metro Morning" on Monday, May 3, 1982. Our information is that the U.S. Department of Justice alleged that Judge Curtin had criticized Dr. Hallett's remarks on Metro Morning. Pollution Probe spoke to Judge Curtin's office on Wednesday, May 6, 1982 and determined that, while the Judge had expressed concern about a few factual errors* on the program, he in no way intended to inhibit Dr. Hallett's right to comment on or criticize the decision.

.../2

* The Judge thought the program had said that Hyde Park contained 80,000 tons of dioxin rather than 80,000 tons of toxic wastes. However, this was not the case. Pollution Probe checked the transcripts which showed that no error had been made by the program.

The Honourable Mark McGuigan
6 May 1982
page two

We feel that these tactics by the U.S. agencies should be strongly condemned by your office. Further, we are aware of at least two occasions when attorneys for EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice have approached the Toronto media to make comments on the case. It is also ironic that EPA continues to attack the credibility of Dr. Hallett after having brought forward the now infamous "Mr. Twedell".

We urge your office to notify U.S. EPA and the Department of Justice of your displeasure with these undermining tactics.

Dr. Hallett's valuable scientific contribution to an understanding of the Hyde Park landfill and to amici's presentation to the Court cannot be emphasized enough.

Thank you for your attention to the concerns raised in this letter. We would appreciate being informed of the steps you will be taking in regard to this matter.


Yours truly,
CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW ASSOCIATION



Toby Vigod
Counsel

TV:kh

c.c. Honourable John Roberts
Mr. Howard Ferguson, Environment Canada
Mr. Harry Sterling, External Affairs
Mr. Michael Elder, U.S. Department of Justice



Canadian Environmental Law Association
L'Association canadienne du droit de l'environnement

8 York Street, 5th Floor South, Toronto, Ontario M5J 1R2, telephone (416) 366-9717

6 May 1982

The Honourable Mark McGuigan
Secretary of State for External Affairs
Lester B. Pearson Building
125 Sussex Drive
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0G2

Dear Mr. McGuigan:

RE: U.S. v. Hooker Chemicals and Plastics Corp. et al

As you are aware, CELA represented Pollution Probe and Operation Clean-Niagara in regard to the above-noted matter. On April 30, 1982, Judge John Curtin approved the settlement agreement entered into between U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), New York State, and HookerChemicals in January, 1981.

Our position continues to be that the settlement agreement will not adequately protect the health of the millions of Canadians and Americans who take their drinking water from Lake Ontario and the Niagara River and that chemicals will continue to migrate into these bodies of water.

It has recently come to our clients' attention that U.S. EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice are using diplomatic channels to try to both silence and discredit Dr. Douglas Hallett. It is our understanding that these agencies have launched complaints concerning Dr. Hallett's right to comment publicly on the Court's decision and to participate in this case.

Apparently, the most recent attack on Dr. Hallett stemmed from the program aired on CBC "Metro Morning" on Monday, May 3, 1982. Our information is that the U.S. Department of Justice alleged that Judge Curtin had criticized Dr. Hallett's remarks on Metro Morning. Pollution Probe spoke to Judge Curtin's office on Wednesday, May 6, 1982 and determined that, while the Judge had expressed concern about a few factual errors* on the program, he in no way intended to inhibit Dr. Hallett's right to comment on or criticize the decision.

.../2

* The Judge thought the program had said that Hyde Park contained 80,000 tons of dioxin rather than 80,000 tons of toxic wastes. However, this was not the case. Pollution Probe checked the transcripts which showed that no error had been made by the program.

The Honourable Mark McGuigan
6 May 1982
page two

We feel that these tactics by the U.S. agencies should be strongly condemned by your office. Further, we are aware of at least two occasions when attorneys for EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice have approached the Toronto media to make comments on the case. It is also ironic that EPA continues to attack the credibility of Dr. Hallett after having brought forward the now infamous "Mr. Twedell".

We urge your office to notify U.S. EPA and the Department of Justice of your displeasure with these undermining tactics.

Dr. Hallett's valuable scientific contribution to an understanding of the Hyde Park landfill and to amici's presentation to the Court cannot be emphasized enough.


Thank you for your attention to the concerns raised in this letter. We would appreciate being informed of the steps you will be taking in regard to this matter.

Yours truly,
CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW ASSOCIATION



Toby Vigod
Counsel

TV:kh
c.c. Honourable John Roberts
Mr. Howard Ferguson, Environment Canada
Mr. Harry Sterling, External Affairs
Mr. Michael Elder, U.S. Department of Justice



Canadian Environmental Law Association
L'Association canadienne du droit de l'environnement

8 York Street, 5th Floor South, Toronto, Ontario M5J 1R2, telephone (416) 366-9717

6 May 1982

The Honourable Mark McGuigan
Secretary of State for External Affairs
Lester B. Pearson Building
125 Sussex Drive
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0G2

Dear Mr. McGuigan:

RE: U.S. v. Hooker Chemicals and Plastics Corp. et al

As you are aware, CELA represented Pollution Probe and Operation Clean-Niagara in regard to the above-noted matter. On April 30, 1982, Judge John Curtin approved the settlement agreement entered into between U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), New York State, and Hooker Chemicals in January, 1981.

Our position continues to be that the settlement agreement will not adequately protect the health of the millions of Canadians and Americans who take their drinking water from Lake Ontario and the Niagara River and that chemicals will continue to migrate into these bodies of water.

It has recently come to our clients' attention that U.S. EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice are using diplomatic channels to try to both silence and discredit Dr. Douglas Hallett. It is our understanding that these agencies have launched complaints concerning Dr. Hallett's right to comment publicly on the Court's decision and to participate in this case.

Apparently, the most recent attack on Dr. Hallett stemmed from the program aired on CBC "Metro Morning" on Monday, May 3, 1982. Our information is that the U.S. Department of Justice alleged that Judge Curtin had criticized Dr. Hallett's remarks on Metro Morning. Pollution Probe spoke to Judge Curtin's office on Wednesday, May 6, 1982 and determined that, while the Judge had expressed concern about a few factual errors* on the program, he in no way intended to inhibit Dr. Hallett's right to comment on or criticize the decision.

.../2

* The Judge thought the program had said that Hyde Park contained 80,000 tons of dioxin rather than 80,000 tons of toxic wastes. However, this was not the case. Pollution Probe checked the transcripts which showed that no error had been made by the program.

The Honourable Mark McGuigan
6 May 1982
page two

We feel that these tactics by the U.S. agencies should be strongly condemned by your office. Further, we are aware of at least two occasions when attorneys for EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice have approached the Toronto media to make comments on the case. It is also ironic that EPA continues to attack the credibility of Dr. Hallett after having brought forward the now infamous "Mr. Twedell".

We urge your office to notify U.S. EPA and the Department of Justice of your displeasure with these undermining tactics.

Dr. Hallett's valuable scientific contribution to an understanding of the Hyde Park landfill and to amici's presentation to the Court cannot be emphasized enough.


Thank you for your attention to the concerns raised in this letter. We would appreciate being informed of the steps you will be taking in regard to this matter.

Yours truly,
CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW ASSOCIATION



Toby Vigod
Counsel

TV:kh
c.c. Honourable John Roberts
 Mr. Howard Ferguson, Environment Canada
 Mr. Harry Sterling, External Affairs
 Mr. Michael Elder, U.S. Department of Justice



Canadian Environmental Law Association
L'Association canadienne du droit de l'environnement

8 York Street, 5th Floor South, Toronto, Ontario M5J 1R2, telephone (416) 366-9717

6 May 1982

The Honourable Mark McGuigan
Secretary of State for External Affairs
Lester B. Pearson Building
125 Sussex Drive
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0G2

Dear Mr. McGuigan:

RE: U.S. v. Hooker Chemicals and Plastics Corp. et al

As you are aware, CELA represented Pollution Probe and Operation Clean-Niagara in regard to the above-noted matter. On April 30, 1982, Judge John Curtin approved the settlement agreement entered into between U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), New York State, and HookerChemicals in January, 1981.

Our position continues to be that the settlement agreement will not adequately protect the health of the millions of Canadians and Americans who take their drinking water from Lake Ontario and the Niagara River and that chemicals will continue to migrate into these bodies of water.

It has recently come to our clients' attention that U.S. EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice are using diplomatic channels to try to both silence and discredit Dr. Douglas Hallett. It is our understanding that these agencies have launched complaints concerning Dr. Hallett's right to comment publicly on the Court's decision and to participate in this case.

Apparently, the most recent attack on Dr. Hallett stemmed from the program aired on CBC "Metro Morning" on Monday, May 3, 1982. Our information is that the U.S. Department of Justice alleged that Judge Curtin had criticized Dr. Hallett's remarks on Metro Morning. Pollution Probe spoke to Judge Curtin's office on Wednesday, May 6, 1982 and determined that, while the Judge had expressed concern about a few factual errors* on the program, he in no way intended to inhibit Dr. Hallett's right to comment on or criticize the decision.

.../2

* The Judge thought the program had said that Hyde Park contained 80,000 tons of dioxin rather than 80,000 tons of toxic wastes. However, this was not the case. Pollution Probe checked the transcripts which showed that no error had been made by the program.

The Honourable Mark McGuigan
6 May 1982
page two

We feel that these tactics by the U.S. agencies should be strongly condemned by your office. Further, we are aware of at least two occasions when attorneys for EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice have approached the Toronto media to make comments on the case. It is also ironic that EPA continues to attack the credibility of Dr. Hallett after having brought forward the now infamous "Mr. Twedell".

We urge your office to notify U.S. EPA and the Department of Justice of your displeasure with these undermining tactics.

Dr. Hallett's valuable scientific contribution to an understanding of the Hyde Park landfill and to amici's presentation to the Court cannot be emphasized enough.


Thank you for your attention to the concerns raised in this letter. We would appreciate being informed of the steps you will be taking in regard to this matter.

Yours truly,
CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW ASSOCIATION



Toby Vigod
Counsel

TV:kh
c.c. Honourable John Roberts
Mr. Howard Ferguson, Environment Canada
Mr. Harry Sterling, External Affairs
Mr. Michael Elder, U.S. Department of Justice



Canadian Environmental Law Association
L'Association canadienne du droit de l'environnement

8 York Street, 5th Floor South, Toronto, Ontario M5J 1R2, telephone (416) 366-9717

6 May 1982

The Honourable Mark McGuigan
Secretary of State for External Affairs
Lester B. Pearson Building
125 Sussex Drive
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0G2

Dear Mr. McGuigan:

RE: U.S. v. Hooker Chemicals and Plastics Corp. et al

As you are aware, CELA represented Pollution Probe and Operation Clean-Niagara in regard to the above-noted matter. On April 30, 1982, Judge John Curtin approved the settlement agreement entered into between U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), New York State, and Hooker Chemicals in January, 1981.

Our position continues to be that the settlement agreement will not adequately protect the health of the millions of Canadians and Americans who take their drinking water from Lake Ontario and the Niagara River and that chemicals will continue to migrate into these bodies of water.

It has recently come to our clients' attention that U.S. EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice are using diplomatic channels to try to both silence and discredit Dr. Douglas Hallett. It is our understanding that these agencies have launched complaints concerning Dr. Hallett's right to comment publicly on the Court's decision and to participate in this case.

Apparently, the most recent attack on Dr. Hallett stemmed from the program aired on CBC "Metro Morning" on Monday, May 3, 1982. Our information is that the U.S. Department of Justice alleged that Judge Curtin had criticized Dr. Hallett's remarks on Metro Morning. Pollution Probe spoke to Judge Curtin's office on Wednesday, May 6, 1982 and determined that, while the Judge had expressed concern about a few factual errors* on the program, he in no way intended to inhibit Dr. Hallett's right to comment on or criticize the decision.

.../2

* The Judge thought the program had said that Hyde Park contained 80,000 tons of dioxin rather than 80,000 tons of toxic wastes. However, this was not the case. Pollution Probe checked the transcripts which showed that no error had been made by the program.

The Honourable Mark McGuigan
6 May 1982
page two

We feel that these tactics by the U.S. agencies should be strongly condemned by your office. Further, we are aware of at least two occasions when attorneys for EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice have approached the Toronto media to make comments on the case. It is also ironic that EPA continues to attack the credibility of Dr. Hallett after having brought forward the now infamous "Mr. Twedell".

We urge your office to notify U.S. EPA and the Department of Justice of your displeasure with these undermining tactics.

Dr. Hallett's valuable scientific contribution to an understanding of the Hyde Park landfill and to amici's presentation to the Court cannot be emphasized enough.


Thank you for your attention to the concerns raised in this letter. We would appreciate being informed of the steps you will be taking in regard to this matter.

Yours truly,
CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW ASSOCIATION



Toby Vigod
Counsel

TV:kh
c.c. Honourable John Roberts
 Mr. Howard Ferguson, Environment Canada
 Mr. Harry Sterling, External Affairs
 Mr. Michael Elder, U.S. Department of Justice



Canadian Environmental Law Association
L'Association canadienne du droit de l'environnement

8 York Street, 5th Floor South, Toronto, Ontario M5J 1R2, telephone (416) 366-9717

6 May 1982

The Honourable Mark McGuigan
Secretary of State for External Affairs
Lester B. Pearson Building
125 Sussex Drive
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0G2

Dear Mr. McGuigan:

RE: U.S. v. Hooker Chemicals and Plastics Corp. et al

As you are aware, CELA represented Pollution Probe and Operation Clean-Niagara in regard to the above-noted matter. On April 30, 1982, Judge John Curtin approved the settlement agreement entered into between U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), New York State, and Hooker Chemicals in January, 1981.

Our position continues to be that the settlement agreement will not adequately protect the health of the millions of Canadians and Americans who take their drinking water from Lake Ontario and the Niagara River and that chemicals will continue to migrate into these bodies of water.

It has recently come to our clients' attention that U.S. EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice are using diplomatic channels to try to both silence and discredit Dr. Douglas Hallett. It is our understanding that these agencies have launched complaints concerning Dr. Hallett's right to comment publicly on the Court's decision and to participate in this case.

Apparently, the most recent attack on Dr. Hallett stemmed from the program aired on CBC "Metro Morning" on Monday, May 3, 1982. Our information is that the U.S. Department of Justice alleged that Judge Curtin had criticized Dr. Hallett's remarks on Metro Morning. Pollution Probe spoke to Judge Curtin's office on Wednesday, May 6, 1982 and determined that, while the Judge had expressed concern about a few factual errors* on the program, he in no way intended to inhibit Dr. Hallett's right to comment on or criticize the decision.

.../2

* The Judge thought the program had said that Hyde Park contained 80,000 tons of dioxin rather than 80,000 tons of toxic wastes. However, this was not the case. Pollution Probe checked the transcripts which showed that no error had been made by the program.

The Honourable Mark McGuigan
6 May 1982
page two

We feel that these tactics by the U.S. agencies should be strongly condemned by your office. Further, we are aware of at least two occasions when attorneys for EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice have approached the Toronto media to make comments on the case. It is also ironic that EPA continues to attack the credibility of Dr. Hallett after having brought forward the now infamous "Mr. Twedell".

We urge your office to notify U.S. EPA and the Department of Justice of your displeasure with these undermining tactics.

Dr. Hallett's valuable scientific contribution to an understanding of the Hyde Park landfill and to amici's presentation to the Court cannot be emphasized enough.

Thank you for your attention to the concerns raised in this letter. We would appreciate being informed of the steps you will be taking in regard to this matter.

Yours truly,
CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW ASSOCIATION



Toby Vigod
Counsel

TV:kh
c.c. Honourable John Roberts
Mr. Howard Ferguson, Environment Canada
Mr. Harry Sterling, External Affairs
Mr. Michael Elder, U.S. Department of Justice