environmental impact statements

Vol. 4 No. 8 September, 1974

council on environmental quality

monitor

CHAIRMAN PETERSON AT THE WORLD POPULATION CONFERENCE

On August 21, 1974, Council Chairman Russell W. Peterson addressed the United Nations World Population Conference, Committee on Population, Resources and Environment in Bucharest, Rumania. The full text of Chairman Peterson's speech appears at page 3, below.

102 MONITOR INCLUDES A STATE-COUNTY INDEX

Because the focus of a large number of those who follow NEPA activities is based upon geographic location rather than on agency jurisdiction, this month's <u>Monitor</u> includes, for the first time, a monthly index of the statements that is arranged by state and county. This is expected to be a monthly feature of the <u>102 Monitor</u>. The index for the month of August appears at page 28.

SCS FILES NOTICE OF ACTIONS FOR WHICH EIS ARE REQUIRED UNDER NEPA

Under Section 1500.6(e) of the Council Guidelines, each agency is responsible for preparing an "early notice system" for informing the public of the decision to prepare draft environmental impact statements on proposed actions. CEQ will publish these notices in the <u>Federal</u> <u>Register</u> as they are filed, and reprint the notices in the <u>102 Monitor</u>. The first of the notices appears at page 9 of this issue.

Contents

ŗ.

1	United Nations World Population Conference
9	SCS Notice of Preparation
21	CEQ Publications List
28	State-County Index of Environmental Impact Statements Filed During August, 1974.
35	Environmental Impact Statements Filed During August, 1974
81	EPA Listings (per Section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as Amended)
105	Cumulative Summaries of Statements Received Tnrough August, 1974 (By Agency)

ADDRESS BY RUSSELL W. PETERSON CHAIRMAN, COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY UNITED NATIONS WORLD POPULATION CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON POPULATION, RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT Bucharest, Romania August 21, 1974

I welcome the opportunity to join with delegates from nations around the world to evaluate the impact of population on our resources, the environment and the quality of life of people everywhere.

I sense that most of us at this conference share a feeling of urgency in approaching this matter.

The number of people living in poverty is increasing. Food shortages, resource depletion, pollution, congestion, unemployment, inflation, rocketing costs for food and fuel continue to intensify. Each problem is compounded and becomes more difficult to resolve as the world's population grows.

In the developing countries, according to the Food and Agriculture Organization, 20 percent of the people are undernourished and 60 percent malnourished. In many of the heavily populated developing countries, fresh water, agricultural land, forests, and fisheries are almost fully utilized, and modernization of agriculture is prohibitively expensive. As populations in such countries continue to grow, they become more dependent upon outside supplies. The Food and Agriculture Organization projects that global demand for fresh water will increase 240 percent by the end of the century. Yesterday a speaker pointed out that such quantities of water will not be available where needed most. Desalinating and transporting the water would seem to be too expensive.

I want to associate myself with the remarks of the representative from Sweden, France, and Canada, who so capably emphasized what we think are overly optimistic stories about resource availability in the world. I want to suggest to those delegates who have spoken about "unlimited" productivity to meet the needs of an unlimited population, that they listen to the warnings issued yesterday in the plenary by the Deputy Director of the FAO, Mr. Jackson, and by Lester Brown from the Population Tribune. These experts in the field of resource availability and food productivity reported that we are in the midst of a very, very serious situation. They sound a warning which I suggest all of us listen to very, very carefully. We are, I fear, facing a situation, worldwide, that Garret Hardin described simply, but powerfully, in his story, "Tragedy of the Common." The message is something like this:

A farmer decided to graze his sheep on the common, a village green. His sheep grew well on the plentiful grass there and multiplied. The farmer prospered and was happy. Eventually a second farmer, viewing the success of the first, put his sheep to graze on the common; then a third, a fourth, and so on.

Shortly, the grass was overgrazed and it died. So did the sheep. The farmers started to fight. They had, through their individual actions, exceeded the carrying capacity of the common. The same thing can happen on our planet. It has already happened, in fact, in the Sahel in Africa, and what happened in the Sahel can be duplicated elsewhere.

In 1950, about 21 million tons of fish were caught in the waters of the world. Rapidly growing fishing fleets with ever more efficient fishing equipment raised the catch to 40 million tons by 1960 and to 70 million tons by 1970. But the catch began to decline after 1970 and was 65 million tons last year. Has man exceeded the carrying capacity of the oceans? Some think, that by overfishing on the one hand and by polluting nursery grounds and estuaries on the other, he has. Man has often abused

natural resources and caused local catastrophies. The Oklahoma Dust Bowl in the United States was one example 40 years ago. The overgrazing in the Sahel and the flooding in Bangladesh, which was caused to a great degree by excessive de-forestation, are examples of present man-made tragedies of the common. The reaction to the Oklahoma Dust Bowl was to move to California, but today we have run out of new places to which to move. We are receiving warnings that the earth's carrying capacity is being strained and that things must change or surely there will be a larger tragedy than the demise of a local common.

While it is imperative that we not panic at the threats of widespread famine, poverty, and so forth, it is equally imperative that we heed the warning that both mankind and the earth are already straining to support the current population of approximately 4 billion people. Each person added to the world increases the need for more resources and adds to the pollution In the more affluent nations, an additional pressure. person, on the average, will find his needs fulfilled in abundance. In the developing countries, an additional person, on the average, will obtain a bare subsistence. Thus, the farmer will use more resources and contribute more pollution pressure. In both cases, however, the additional person will lower the potential resources available to the existing population.

The gap between citizens in developed countries is widening with respect to health, food supplies, energy available, other resources, housing, and social security. Though most developing countries are making some improvements, relatively speaking they are being left farther and farther behind the more rapidly advancing developed nations because of large expanding populations and low levels of financial resources available for economic growth.

The question is, will we heed the warnings?

Economic development and resource depletion are critical threats to the quality of the environment. But will we do what must be done to balance needed economic growth with necessary pollution control and resource management techniques? We have not always done so in the past. In 1950, when the Gross World Product (GWP) reached its first trillion, there was little concern about pollution. The GWP is now \$3.5 trillion and will probably reach \$12 trillion by the end of the century. Environmental quality is recieving high priority throughout most of the world today, because the prime cause of pollution -- economic development -- has increased more than three-fold in the last 23 years.

The tremendous pressure on the environment from increased economic activity will continue. It is important to remember that the developing nations need substantial economic development to provide a higher standard of living for their people. But even with a rapid growth in their per capita Gross National Product over the next several decades, developing nations will still have less of an impact on environmental degradation than will the developed nations.

Thus, it is clear that the developed nations' emphasis on pollution control is essential to preventing an economic avalanche from further despoiling the environment. At the same time, it would be most prudent for developing countries to learn and apply the lessons economic development has imparted to the more developed parts of the world: It is easier and cheaper to establish controls to protect the environment from the beginning of an industrial revolution than to mount a counter-revolution to clean up the mess from past abuses.

It is of major importance that all nations and especially the developed nations make the maximum effort to stop waste and to use their resources in the most efficient way. In the use of energy, for example, there are many opportunities for conservation. In our country, we are currently developing a major conservation program involving waste waste reduction, recycling, and resource management.

As people everywhere work to assure every human being a good quality of life, it is important to work to provide everyone an adequate economic well-being, food health care and shelter. In doing this, prevention of pollution of our environment and conservation of our resources are basic. But probably most important of all is the need to reduce the growth in the number of persons for whom the better quality of life will need to be provided.

In the United States, fertility has been declining steadily for several years and is now below the level necessary for parents to replace themselves. Ι personally hope the birth rate in the United States will continue to drop until a stable population is The United States Government has actively reached. made family planning assistance available to all who could not otherwise afford it. Our citizens are using these services which have been an important factor in reaching the replacement birth level. Parents in our country are exercising their basic human rights to determine freely the number and spacing of their children. The markedly improved status of women in our country has been an important factor here.

The interrelationship of the status of women and family planning has been noted by the Assistant Secretary General of the U.N., Helvia Sipila. Countries should make every effort to fully integrate women into all aspects of society. In the United States, women are entering all field in greater numbers. For example, the enrollment of women in medical and dental schools had doubled in the last year. When the talents of both men and women are utilized, development and economic growth are enhanced.

In recent years, the United States has, through a major effort on pollution abatement and control, done much to minimize adverse impacts on the world's ecosystem.

The decline in the growth in the production of goods and the increase in the growth of service industries has, similarly, reduced the impact of commerce on the environment. Most of the growth in our national economy is in the service sector and it is predicted that the wave of the future in economic growth will continue to be from service, not production.

But we realize that our efforts to balance economic growth and protection of the environment will only be effective if we continue to be successful in reducing population growth. As the U.S. Population Commission pointed out a couple of years ago, there is nothing to be gained by a large increase in our population. This finding accepts the fact that the carrying capacity of the United States is limited. The carrying capacity of the world is, likewise, limited and we must strive together to see that it is not exceeded.

The United States strongly endorses the world population plan of action calling for parents to determine freely the size of their families, because that plan, which is before this conference, is aimed at achieving a balance between the number of people on earth and the planet's carrying capacity and, thus will prevent a tragedy--not for one small village common, but for the world.

#

notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

The following list, filed with the Council by the Soil Conservation Service of the Department of Agriculture pursuant to CEQ Guideline 40 CFR 1500.6(e), indicates those administrative actions that SCS has determined will require the preparation of an environmental impact statement under NEPA.

Son. Conservation Service Assisted Actions on Which Environmental Impact Statements Are To Be Prepared as of July 1, 1974

Responsible Federal official	Name of project or R.C. & D. measure	County
	Alabama	
State Conservationist, P.O. Box 311, Auburn, Ala. 36830.	Oypress Creek Upper Brushy Creek Mud Creek Dynne Creek Choccolocco Creek Factory Creek Talladega Creek Southeast Choctawhatchee Creek Ketchepedrakee Creek Wahut Creek (R.C. & D.)	Escambia. Oullman. Cleburne. Calhoun, Talladega, Cleburne, and Clay. Supular
<u></u>	, Alaska	
State Conservationist, 204 East 5th Ave., Room 217, Anchorage, Alaska 99501.	Noue	
	Arizona	
State Conservationist, 6029 Federal Building, Phoenix, Ariz. 85025.	Buckhorn-Mesa Harquahala Valley Apache Junction-Gilbert Williams-Chandler Cottonwood Wash. Gila Ploodway-Lower Queon Creek. Dos Cabezas Eagle Tail.	Maricopa and Yuma. Maricopa and Pinal. Do. Navajo. Maricopa and Pinal. Cochise.
· ·	Arkansas	· · · · · ·
State Conservationist, P.O. Box 2323, Little Rock, Ark. 72203.	Upper Petit Jean Upper Strawberry River South Fourche Fish Bayou North Fork of Cadron Creek Lawer Cadron Creek Lawer Cadron Creek Lake Wallace-Jackson Sough (R.C. & D.).	Fulton, Izard, and Sharp. Perry, Yell, Saline, and Garland, Lee and St. Francis. St. Francis and Crittenden. Faulkner, Van Buren, Oleburne,
	California	
State Conservationist P.O. Box 10 Davis, Calif. 95616.	Central Sonoma—Spring Creek. Central Sonoma—Matanzas Lower Liagas Creek. Marsh-Kellogg Creek. Napa River. Upper Liagas Creek. Newman. Me Coy Wash. Capay Valley. Hungry Hollow Davis Creek (R.C. & D.) Stone's Canyon (R.C. & D.)	Do, Do, Santa Clara, Stantslaus, Riverside, Yolo.
	Colorado .	
State Conservationist, P.O. Box 171 Denver, Colo. 80217.	Henrylyn Sedgwick-Sand Draws	Weld and Adams. Cheyenne, Deuel (Nebraska), and Sedgwick. Saruache.

NOTICES

Responsi ble Fede ral official	Name of project or R.C. & D. measure	County
<u> </u>	Connecticut	
State Conservationist, Mansfield Pro- fessional Park, Storrs, Conn. 06268.	Farm Brook Norwalk River Neck River Avery Brook	Fairfield. New Haven.
	Delaware.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
State Conservationist, Treadway Towers, 9 East Loockerman St., Dover, Del. 19901.	Upper Choptank River, Hartly Tax Ditch. Upper Nanticoke River, Robins Tax Ditch, Shorts Tax Ditch. Silverbrook Run Project (R.C. & D.). Christina River Project (R.C. & D.). Route 9 bicentennial project (R.C. & D.). Peppers Creek flood and drainage project (R.C. & D.).	Sussex. New Castle. Do. Delaware (statewide).
and the second	Florida	
State Conservationist, P.O. Box 1208, Gainesville, Fla. 32602.	California Lake South Florida conservancy district Upper Tampa Bay Jumper Creek. Pahokee drainage district Pelican Lake drainage district Sarasota west coast.	Dixie. Palm Beach and Hendry. Hillsborough, Pinellas, and Pasco. Sumter. Palm Beach. Do. Sarasota and Manatee.
	Georgia	
State Conservationist, P.O. Box 832, Athens, Ga. 30601.	Big Mortar-Snuff Box Swamp Mill Branch Evans County Black Creek Hard Labor-Big Sandy Creeks Woodbine area Turtie River Lower Little Tallapoosa River Hudson River Hi Hat measure plan (Coastal Geor- gia R. C. & D.). Recreation Lake and development	Bacon. Candler, Evans, and Tattnall. Bulloch and Bryan. Morgan and Walton. Camden and Charlton. Glynn, Brantley, and Wayne. Carroll, Haralson, Heard, and Cle burne (Alabama). Banks, Franklin, and Madison. Camden.
	measure plan (Gwinnett County R.C. & D.).	
	Hawaii	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
State Conservationist, 440 Alexander Young Bldg., Honolulu, Hawaii 96813.	Honolua watershed Wailuku-Alenaio watershed Happy Valley project measure (R.C. & D.).	Maui. Hawaii. Maui.
	Idaho	N
State Conservationist, 304 North 8th St., room 345, Bolse, Idaha 83702.	None	·
	Illinois	· · ·
State Conservationist, P.O. Box 678, Champaign, Ill. 61820.	None	· ·
	Indiana	
State Conservationist, Atkinson Square- West 5610 Crawfordsville Rd., Indianapolis, Ind. 46224.	Anderson River	Crawford. Sullivan, Clay, Green, and Vigo. Clay, Putnam, and Parke. Washington. Gass. Posey. Dubois. Warren, and Vermillion (Illinois.) Orange, Martin, Washington, Dubois, and Lawrence. Montgomery. Perry and Crawford. Clark, Floyd, and Washington. Vigo. Pike. Cass. Jefferson, Scott, Clark, and Washington.
	Upper Big Blue River White Water River-Fayette Upper West Fork Whitewater River.	Favette.

31659

(

NOTICE	S
--------	---

	NOTICES	
Responsible Federal official	Name of project or R.C. & I measure	D. County
	Iowa	
State Conservationist, 823 Federal Bidg., Des Moines, Iowa 50309.	Badger Creek Watershed Bee Jay watershed Big W yacondah watershed Blockton watershed Dane Ridge watershed Davids Creek watershed Deer Creek watershed Held watershed.	Taylor. Crawlord: Audubon and Gutbrie; Worth.
	Held watershed Indian Creek-Van Buren watershe Ledgewood Creek watershed Leutzinger-Lowe Run watershed Little River watershed Little Sioux River flood preventio project. Mill-Picavune Creek watershed	 d. Van Buren, Clark (Missouri). Fremont. Muscatine; Decatur. O'Brien, Plymouth, Cherokee Buena Vista, Woodbury, Ida, Sao Monona, and Harrison. Crawford, Harrison, and Shelby. Harrison and Shelby. Patawattamie. Page and Montgomery. Mills and Prottawattamle. Union and Adair.
	Mosquito of Harrison watershed North Pigeon watershed Pierco Creek No. 2 watershed Pony Creek watershed Three Mile Creek watershed Watbonsie Creek watershed West Buttrick Creek watershed White Breast Creek watershed	Harrison and Shelbý, Poge and Montgomery, Mills and Prottawattamile, Union and Adair, Cass, Guthrie, Audubon, and Adair Wibls and Fremont, Webster, Clarke and Luces.
	Kansas	
State Conservationist, Box 600, Salina,	North Black Vermillion	Marshall and Nemaha.
Kans. 67401.	Upper Black Vermillion Big Sugar Creek Sand Creek	Do; Anderson and Linn. Harvoy and Marion. Miami and Linn. Butler, Cowley, Sedgwick, and Sum
	Elk Creek Grasshopper-Coal Wet Walnut No. 1. Wet Walnut No. 2. Wet Walnut No. 3. Wet Walnut No. 4. Wet Walnut No. 5. Wolf River. Rock Creek WSD No. 45	ner. Nemaha, Jackson, and Atchison. Atchison and Jefferson, Barton and Rush. Ness, Pawnee, and Rush. Ness, Lane, and Scott. Do. Brown and Doniphan. Pottawatomie. Joyvell, Cloud, Mitchell, and Reput
	Diamond Creek Middle Creek Peyton Creek	Chase and Morris: Chase, Marion, and Morris:
	Kentucky.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
State Conservationist, 333 Waller Ave., Lexington, Ky. 40504.	Big Muddy Creek Donaldson Creek East Fork Pond River Fox Creek Humphrey-Clanton Creek Obion Creek Salt Lick Creek Upper Tradewator River West Fork Mayfield Creek West Fork Mayfield Creek	Caldwell. Christian, Todd, and Muhlenberg. Fleming. Ballard. Hickman and Graves. Menlice and Bath. Christian. Carlisie and Graves.
<u> </u>	Louisiana	
State Conservationist, P.O. Box 1630, Alexandria, La. 71301.	West Fork of Bayou Lacassine Upper Bayou Nezpique Duralde-des Cannes Lake Verret	Jefferson Davis: Allen and Evangellne: Acadia, St. Landry, and Evangellne Iberville, Ascension, and Assump tion.
	Bayou Bonne Idee Kinder East Franklin Bayou Plaquemine Brule Bayou Grosse Tete Choetaw Bayou	Madison and East Carroll: Madison. Rapidos and Avoyelles: Concordia, Tensas, and Catahoula: Avoyelles, Rapides, and St. Landry Morehouse. Allen. Franklin, Catahoula, and Richland Acadia and St. Landry: Pointe Coupee. Roure. Batro.
	Johnson Bayou East Ascension Bayou Mallet West Franklin East Carroll	

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 39, NO. 170-FRIDAY, AUGUST 30, 1974

~

NOTICES

31661

ł

(

Responsible Federal official	Name	of project or R.C. & measure	D. County
		Maine	
State Conservationist, USDA Office Bl University of Maine, Orono, Maine 04	4473. s U	hed. pper Piscataquis River wat	ter- Waldo, Penabscot, Somerset, and Kennebcc. ter- Piscataquis and Somerset.
	N	shed. orthwest River fish and wi life (R.C. & D.).	ld- Sebago.
		Maryland	
State Conservationist, 4321 Hartwick I College Park, Md. 20740.			
		ttle and Middle Patux River,	ent Howard.
			Garrett (Maryland) and Somersel (Pennsylvania).
k.	Bi	g and Little Elk Creeks	Cecil (Maryland) and Chester (Penn
and the second sec	Pi M	scataway Creek iddletown Branch	sylvania). Prince Georges. Dorchester.
		Massachusetts	
State Conservationist, 29 Cottage Str Amherst, Mass. 01002.	reet, D	lamond-Traphole Brow watershed.	oks Norfolk.
Amileist, mass. 01002.	U		ter- Worchester, Hampden, and Hamp shire. ver Do.
		watershed.	blie Franklin.
		water-based fish and wild development (R.C. & D.).	life
		Michigan	······································
State Conservationist, 1402 Sor Harrison Rd., room 101, East Lansi Mich. 48822	uth In ing,	dian Creek watershed	Lapeer, Sanilac, and Tuscola.
State Conservationist, 1402 So Harrison Rd., room 101, East Lansi Mich. 48823.	BI R	ack Creek-Mason watershe	ed. Mason, Newaygo and Kent.
State Conservationist, 1402 So Harrison Rd., room 101, East Lansi Mich. 48823.	BI R	ack Creek-Mason watershe	ed. Mason, Newaygo and Kent.
Mich. 48823.	Bl R St W	ack Creek-Mason watersh ogue River watershed ate road drain watershed. est Upper Maple watershed Minnesota	ed Mason, Newaygo and Kent, Shlawassee, 1 Clinton and Gratiot,
Mich. 48823.	BI R St W	ack Creek-Mason watershed ogue River watershed ate road drain watershed sst Upper Maple watershed Minnesota ni Polk watershed	ed. Mason. Newaygo and Kent, Shiawasseo. d Clinton and Gratiot. Norman and Polk. Dogs and Obystod
Mich. 48823.	Bl R St W Norms South Tyler Burnh Turtle	ack Creek-Mason watershed or River watershed ate road drain watershed st Upper Maple watershed Minnesota n Polk watershed Creek watershed am Creek	ed. Mason. Newaygo and Kent. Shiawasseo. d Clinion and Gratiot. Norman and Polk. Doge and Olmsted. Lyon, Lincoln, and Pipestone. Polk. Freeborn and Mower.
Mich. 48823.	Bl R St W Norms South Tyler Burnh Turtle	ack Creek-Mason watershed ogue River watershed ate road drain watershed set Upper Maple watershee Minnesota an Polk watershed Zumbro watershed Creek watershed Gwaker Management (R.	ed. Mason. Newaygo and Kent. Shiawasseo. d Clinion and Gratiot. Norman and Polk. Doge and Olmsted. Lyon, Lincoln, and Pipestone. Polk. Freeborn and Mower.
Mich. 48823.	Bl R St WW Norms South Tyler Burnh Tyrtle Pallsa	ack Creek-Mason watershed ogue River watershed ate road drain watershed set Upper Maple watershee Minnesota an Polk watershed Zumbro watershed Creek watershed Gwaker Management (R.	ed. Mason. Newaygo and Kent. Shiawasseo. d Clinion and Gratiot. Norman and Polk. Doge and Olmsted. Lyon, Lincoln, and Pipestone. Polk. Freeborn and Mower.
Mich. 48823. Stato Conscrvationist, 316 North Robert St., St. Paul, Minn. 55101.	Bl R St W Norma South Tyler Burnh Turtle Pallsa & D	ack Creek-Mason watershe ogue River watershed ate road drain watershed set Upper Maple watershee Minnesota an Polk watershed Zumbro watershed Creek watershed do Water Management (R.). Mississippi ma Creek	 Mason, Newaygo and Kent, Shiawassee, Clinton and Gratiot, Norman and Polk. Doge and Olmsted, Lyon, Lincoln, and Pipestone, Polk. Freeborn and Mower, C. Aitkin, Covington, Simpson, Smith, Jones,
Mich. 48823. State Conservationist, 316 North Robert St., St. Paul, Minn. 55101. State Conservationist, P.O. Box 610,	Bl R St St W Norma South Tyler Burnh Turtle Pallsa & D Okato: Leake	ack Creek-Mason watershed or River watershed ake road drain watershed st Upper Maple watershed Minnesota m Polk watershed Zumbro watershed Creek watershed do Water Management (R.). Mississippi ma Creek County Five Creeks	 Mason, Newaygo and Kent, Shiawassee, Clinton and Gratiot, Norman and Polk, Doge and Olmsted, Lyon, Lincoln, and Pipestone, Polk, Freeborn and Mower, C. Aitkin, Covington, Simpson, Smith, Jones, and Forrest, Leake,
Mich. 48823. State Conservationist, 316 North Robert St., St. Paul, Minn. 55101. State Conservationist, P.O. Box 610,	Bl R St St W Norma South Tyler Burnh Turtle Pallsa & D Okato: Leake	ack Creek-Mason watershed or River watershed ake road drain watershed st Upper Maple watershed Minnesota m Polk watershed Zumbro watershed Creek watershed do Water Management (R.). Mississippi ma Creek County Five Creeks	 Mason, Newaygo and Kent, Shiawassee, Clinton and Gratiot, Norman and Polk, Doge and Olmsted, Lyon, Lincoln, and Pipestone, Polk, Freeborn and Mower, C. Aitkin, Covington, Simpson, Smith, Jones, and Forrest, Leake,
Mich. 48823, State Conservationist, 316 North Robert St., St. Paul, Minn. 55101. State Conservationist, P.O. Box 610,	Bl R St St W Norma South Tyler Burnh Turtle Pallsa & D Okato: Leake	ack Creek-Mason watershed or River watershed ake road drain watershed st Upper Maple watershed Minnesota m Polk watershed Zumbro watershed Creek watershed do Water Management (R.). Mississippi ma Creek County Five Creeks	 Mason, Newaygo and Kent, Shiawassee, Clinton and Gratiot, Norman and Polk, Doge and Olmsted, Lyon, Lincoln, and Pipestone, Polk, Freeborn and Mower, C. Aitkin, Covington, Simpson, Smith, Jones, and Forrest, Leake,
Mich. 48823, State Conservationist, 316 North Robert St., St. Paul, Minn. 55101. State Conservationist, P.O. Box 610,	Bl R St St W Norma South Tyler Burnh Turtle Pallsa & D Okato: Leake	ack Creek-Mason watershed or River watershed ake road drain watershed st Upper Maple watershed Minnesota m Polk watershed Zumbro watershed Creek watershed do Water Management (R.). Mississippi ma Creek County Five Creeks	 Mason, Newaygo and Kent, Shiawassee, Clinton and Gratiot, I Clinton and Polk, Doge and Olmsted, Lyon, Lincoln, and Pipestone, Polk, Freeborn and Mower, C. Aitkin, Covington, Simpson, Smith, Jones, and Forrest, Leake,
Mich. 48823. State Conservationist, 316 North Robert St., St. Paul, Minn. 55101. State Conservationist, P.O. Box 610,	Bl R St St W Norma South Tyler Burnh Turtle Pallsa & D Okato: Leake	ack Creek-Mason watershed or River watershed ake road drain watershed st Upper Maple watershed Minnesota m Polk watershed Zumbro watershed Creek watershed do Water Management (R.). Mississippi ma Creek County Five Creeks	 Mason, Newaygo and Kent, Shiawassee, Clinton and Gratiot, I Clinton and Polk, Doge and Olmsted, Lyon, Lincoln, and Pipestone, Polk, Freeborn and Mower, C. Aitkin, Covington, Simpson, Smith, Jones, and Forrest, Leake,
Mich. 48823. State Conservationist, 316 North Robert St., St. Paul, Minn. 55101. State Conservationist, P.O. Box 610,	Bil R Sti South Tyler Burnh Turtle Pallsa & D Okato: Leake Tallah Soulh Deer (Shayou Manta Black Big Ca Chund	ack Creek-Mason watershed or River watershed	 Mason. Newaygo and Kent, Shiawasee. Shiawasee. Clinton and Gratiot. I Older and Polk. Doge and Olmsted. Lyon, Lincoln, and Pipestone. Polk. Freeborn and Mower. C. Altkin. Covington, Simpson, Smith, Jones, and Forrest. Leake. Jasper, Jones, and Newton. Colarke, Jasper, and Newton. Bolivar, and Washington. Noxubee and Kemper. Coylah and Lincoln. Holmes. Jasper, Jones, and Monroe. Holmes. Jasper, Jones, and Smith. Novable and Kemper. Coplah and Lincoln. Holmes. Jasper, Jones, and Smith.
Mich. 48823. State Conservationist, 316 North Robert St., St. Paul, Minn. 55101. State Conservationist, P.O. Box 610,	Bil R R Sti Stile South Tyler Burnh Turtle Pallsa & D Okato: Leake Tallah Souini Deer (Shuqu Bayou Manta Big Co Chunh Hollid Richla	ack Creek-Mason watershed or River watershed	 Mason, Newaygo and Kent, Shiawasee, Cilnton and Gratiot, Norman and Polk, Doge and Olmsted, Lyon, Lincoln, and Pipestone, Polk, Freeborn and Mower, C. Altkin. Covington, Simpson, Smith, Jones, and Forrest. Loake, Jasper, Jones, and Newton, Colarko, Jasper, and Newton, Bolivar, and Washington. Noxubee and Kemper. Copiah and Lincoln, Itawanba, Lee, and Monroe, Holmes. Jasper, Jones, and Smith. Novubee and Kemper. Kayamaba, Lee, and Monroe, Holmes. Jasper, Jones, and Smith. Neshoba and Newton, Jefferson Davis, Rankin, Jones, Lawranea, and
Mich. 48823, Stato Conservationist, 316 North Robert St., St. Paul, Minn. 55101.	Bil R R Sti Stile South Tyler Burnh Turtle Pallsa & D Okato: Leake Tallah Souini Deer (Shuqu Bayou Manta Big Co Chunh Hollid Richla	ack Creek-Mason watershed or River watershed	 Mason. Newaygo and Kent, Shiawasee. Shiawasee. Cilnton and Gratiot. Norman and Polk. Doge and Olmsted. Lyon, Lincoln, and Pipestone. Polk. Freeborn and Mower. C. Aitkin. Covington, Simpson, Smith, Jones, and Forrest. Loake. Jasper, Jones, and Newton. Colarke, Jasper, and Newton. Bolivar, and Washington. Noxubee and Kemper. Copiah and Lincoln. Itaiwamba, Lee, and Monroe. Holmes. Jasper, Jones, and Smith. Neshoba and Newton. Neshoba and Newton. Mankin. Nethona and Newton. Master, Jasper, Jones, and Smith. Neshoba and Newton. Methona and Smith.
Mich. 48823. Stato Conservationist, 316 North Robert St., St. Paul, Minn. 55101. State Conservationist, P.O. Box 610,	Bil R Sti South Tyler Burnh Turtle Pallsa & D Okato: Leake Tallah Boer (Shayou Manta Black Big Cr Chunh Hollid Richla Silver Tallah	ack Creek-Mason watershed or River watershed	 Mason. Newaygo and Kent, Shiawasee. Shiawasee. Cilnton and Gratiot. Incomparison of the second seco
Mich. 48823, Stato Conservationist, 316 North Robert St., St. Paul, Minn. 55101.	Bil R Sti Sti South Tyler Burnh Turtle Pallsa & D Okato: Leake Tallah Soulni Deer (Shuqu Bayou Manta Black Bilote Richla Silver Tallah Tuscu Upper Whitesu	ack Creek-Mason watershed or or River watershed	 Mason. Newaygo and Kent, Shiawasee. Shiawasee. Cilnton and Gratiot. Norman and Polk. Doge and Olmsted. Lyon, Lincoln, and Pipestone. Polk. Freeborn and Mower. C. Aitkin. Covington, Simpson, Smith, Jones, and Forrest. Loake. Jasper, Jones, and Newton. Colarke, Jasper, and Newton. Bolivar, and Washington. Noxubee and Kemper. Copiah and Lincoln. Itaiwamba, Lee, and Monroe. Holmes. Jasper, Jones, and Smith. Neshoba and Newton. Neshoba and Newton. Mankin. Nethona and Newton. Master, Jasper, Jones, and Smith. Neshoba and Newton. Methona and Smith.

(

(

NOTICES

Responsible Federal official	Name of project or R.C. & D: measure	County
/	Missouri	
State Conservationist, P.O. Box 459, Columbia, Mo. 65201.	Upper and Lower Little Black watersheds. West Fork of Big Creek watershed Mississippi County spillway area watershed. Upper and Lower. Middle Fablus watersheds. Grassy-Troublesome Creeks water- shed.	Harrison, Hinggold, and Decau (Iowa). Mississippi: Schuyler, Knoz, Scotland, Adair and Lowis.
	Montana	
State Conservationist, P.O; Box 970, Bozeman, Mont. 59715.	City of Browning, Boulder River Pintlar Creek, headwaters R.C. & D. project area.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Nebraska	
Biate Conservationist, 134 Bouth 12th St., room 604, Lincoin, Nebr. 08508.	Blackwood Creek Long Branch South Branch Little Nemaha Middle Big Nemaha. Bone Creek. Upper Little Nemaha Wan Creek. Wolf Wildcat Maple Creek.	Lincoln, Hayes, Hitchcock, and Rec Willow. Johnson, Nemalía, Pawnee, and Richardson. Lancaster, Otos, and Johnson. Gago, Johnson, and Pawnee. Buttor
	Nevada	
State Conservationist, P.O. Box 4850, Reno, Nev. 80505.	None	
	New Hampshire	
State Conservationist, Federal Build- ing, Dorham, N.H. 03824:	Baker River Watershed Indian Brook Gunstock Brook flood prevention (R.O. & D.). Canaan flood prevention (R.C. & D.).	Grafton: Coos. Belknap:
	New Jersey	
State Conservationist, 1370 Hamilton St., P.O. Box 219, Somerset, N.J. 08873.	Furnace Brook watershed Merrill Orock watershed	Warren: Do;
	New Mexico	
Btate Conservationist, Box 2007, Albu- querque, N. Mex. 97103.	Belen-Los Lunas watershed Cottonwood-Walnut Creek water- shed. Espanola-Rio Chama watershed Sandia watershed Trujillo, Montoya, Tierra Blanca and Rincon Arroyos watershed. Zuni Pueblo watershed Tucumeari Draw watershed	Eddy and Chaves: Rio Arriba: Bernalillo and Sandoval: Dona Ana and Siorra: MoKinley:
	New York	
State Conservationist, 700 East Water St., Syracuse, N. Y. 13210.	Deposit watershed Mill Brook watershed Nanticoke watershed Newtown-Hoffman watershed Oak Orchard watershed.	Delaware and Broome. Chenango. Broome and Tloga:
Olate Generalization D. D. Day MONT	North Carolina	Pitt, Edgecombe, Wilson, an
State Conservationist, P.O. Box 27807, Raleigh, N.C. 27611.	Swift Creek Upper Bay River Hobbstille Coddle	Greene. Pitt, Craven, and Beaufort: Pamlico. Sunbury (Sunbury portion), Gates Coldwater, Dutch, Buffalo, Caba rus, Iredell, Rowan. Caswell and Rockingham:
1 	Stoney Creek	
	North Dakota	

NOTICES

Responsible Federal official	Name of project or R.C. & D. measure	County
	Ohio	
State Conservationist, 311 Old Federal Bldg., Columbus, Ohio 43215.	Leading Creek watershed Rush Creek watershed South Fork Licking watershed Upper Paint Creek watershed Little Auglalze River watershed Middle branch of the Little Auglalze River.	cock. Meigs, Athens, and Gallia. Perry, Fairfield, and Hocking. Licking and Fairfield. Monroe. Fayette and Madison. Yan Wert, Paulding, and Putnam. Yan Wert and Paulding.
	Prairie-Hoaglin branch of the Little Auglaize River.	Do.
	Oklahoma	
State Conservationist, State Office, Stillwater, Okla. 74074.	Big Beaver Creek Kicapoo Nations McKinney-Buzzard Creek Pott-Sem-Turkey Creek Robinson Creek Sans Bois Creek Upper Muddy Boggy Creek	Stephens, Lincoln and Oklahoma, McCurtain, Seminole and Pottawatomie, Lincoln, Haskell, Pittsburg, and Latimer,
	Oregou	
State Conservationist, 1218 Southwest Washington St., Portland, Oreg. 97205.	Little Lucklamute watershed Rock Creek watershed Calapooya Creek watershed Oak Grove watershed South Beach Marina (R.C. & D.) Grand Prairle watershed	Gilliam and Morrow. Douglas. Hood River. Lincoln.
	Pennsylvania	
State Conservationist, Box 985, Federal Square Station, Harrisburg, Fa. 17103.	Neshaminy Creek Brandywine Creek Wheeling Creek Middle Creek Briar Creek Upper Tioga River Pine Run (Wissahlekon) Sacony Creek Big and Little Elk Creeks	(Pennsylvania) and New Castle (Delaware). Fayette and Westmoreland. Greene and Washington (Ohio) and Marshall (West Virginia).
	Puerto Rico	
Director, Carlbbean Area, Carlbbean Area, GPO Box 4868, San Juan, P.R.	Guayanes River watershed Yaurel Creek watershed	
	Rhode Island	
State Conservationist, 222 Quaker Lane, West Warwick, R.I. 02893.	None	
	South Carolina	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
State Conservationist, 901 Sumter St., Columbia, S.C. 29201.	Catfish Creek-Smith Swamp Little River Upper New River South Tyger River. Gray's Lake low country project (R.C. & D.)	Abbeville, Anderson, and Mo- Cormick. Beaufort and Jaspar.
	South Dakota	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
State Conservationist, P.O. Box 1357, Huron, S. Dak. 57350.	Mission Hill watershed Brule Creek watershed	

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 39, NO. 170-FRIDAY, AUGUST 30, 1974

31663

NOTICES

Responsible Federal official	Name of project or R.O. & D. measure	County
	Tennessee	
State Conservationist, 561 U.S. Court-	Clover Creek	Hardeman, Madison, Chester.
house, Nashville, Tenn. 37203.	North Fork Forked Deer River	Gibson
•	MoNalry-Cypress Creek Bogota Sulphur Fork	McNairy.
	Bogota	Dyer and Obion.
*	Supnur Fork	and Cheatham.
	Pine Creek	Scott.
	Mudd Creek	Weakley and Obion.
	Martin Creek	Carroll and Henry.
	Middle Fork Obion River	Henry and Weakley.
	Fine Oreos Mudd Creek Reelfoot-Indian Creek Martin Creek Middle Fork Oblon River. Cane Creek Erin flood prevention (R.C. & D.)	Houston.
<u></u>		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TEXAS	·
State Conservationist, P.O. Box 648, Temple, Tex. 76501.	Deport Creek watershed	Lamar and Red River.
Temple, Tex. 76501.	Elm (Cen-Tex) Creek	Bell, Falls, McLennan, and Milam.
	Sandy Creek	Jasper.
	Doport Creek watersted Three Mile Sulfur Draw Elm (Cen-Tex) Creek Sandy Creek Pollard Creek Pine Creek	Palo Pinto.
	Big Creek	Brazos.
· · · · ·	Big Creek	Hill, Limestone, and McLennan.
	Attoyac Bayou	Rusk, Nacogdoches, Shelby, ar
	Lower Brushy Creek	Milam and Williamson.
$\Phi_{i,j} = \{1, \dots, n_{i+1}, \dots, \dots, n_{i+1}, \dots, \dots,$	Choetaw Creek	Grayson.
· · · ·	Willow Creek	Tom Green and Runnels.
1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	Upper San Marcos	Comal and Hays.
	Lower Brusly Creek Choctaw Creek Allens Creek Dyper San Marcos Sabanna River. Meridian and Neils Creeks Southwest laterals. Pilot Grove. Big Sandy Cedar Creek Brownwood laterals.	Comanche, Eastland, and Callaha
	Meridian and Neils Creeks	Bosque, Coryell, and Hamilton.
	Pilot Grove	Hunt Collin, Fannin, and Gravso
	Big Sandy	Clay, Montague, and Wise.
	Cedar Creek	Henderson, Kaufman, Rockwa
,		Brown and Mills.
	Utah	· · · ·
State Conservationist, 4012 Federal	Muddy Creek	Sevier, Emery, and Sanpete.
Bldg., Salt Lake City, Utah 84138.	Dry Fork Richfield-West Sevier Enterprise Irrigation Co. (R.C. & D.)	Sevier. Iron and Washington.
	Vermont	
Btate Conservationist, 96 College St., Burlington, Vt. 05401.	None	
	Virginia	
State Conservationist, P.O. Box 10026,	Nibbs Creek	Amelia
State Conservationist, P.O. Box 10026, Richmond, Va. 23240.	Great Creek Cedar Run	Brunswick and Lunenburg. Fauquier.
	Washington	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
State Conservationist. U.S. Court-	East and West Green River water-	King.
State Conservationist, U.S. Court- house, room 360, Spokane, Wash.	shed.	
99201.	Lake Creek watershed	Lewis, . Lincoln.
•	Goose Creek watershed Wilson Creek watershed	Kittitas.
	Clear Creek watershed	Pierce.
	Clear Creek watershed Salzor-Coal Creek watershed Sumas watershed	Whatcom.
	West Virginia	
		Grant Dandlaton and Ward-
State Conservationist, P.O. Box 865, Morgantown, W. Va. 26505.	North and South Mill Creek South Fork	Pendleton and Hardy.
Branco and and a star star star	New Creek	Grant and Mineral.
	North River	Hampshire and Hardy. Harrison and Barbour
	Howard Creek.	Lewis and Upshur.
	Howard Creek	Greenbrier.
		summers and Fayette.
	Meadow Creek	
	Upper Mud River	Lincoln and Boone.
-	Upper Mud River	Lincoln and Boone. Bitchie and Doddridge.
-	Upper Mud River	Lincoln and Boone. Bitchie and Doddridge.
- - -	Upper Mud River	Rateign. Lincoln and Boone. Ritchie and Doddridge. Mercer. Cabell, Putnam, Lincoln, and Boon Fayette.

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 39, NO. 170-FRIDAY, AUGUST 30, 1974

GARY L. WIDMAN, General Counsel.

NOTICES

[FR Doc.74-19600 Filed 8-29-74;8:45 am]

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY 722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

102 Monitor

The <u>102 Monitor</u> is the monthly publication of the Council on Environmental Quality. In addition to its listing of environmental impact statements which have been filed with the Council under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the <u>Monitor</u> contains a list of EPA's comments, filed under the provisions of section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended; items of current concern in NEPA case law; and information on the environmental studies of the Council.

The <u>102 Monitor</u> is printed and distributed by the Government Printing Office. The subscription rate for the <u>Monitor</u> is \$13.20 annually, with an additional \$3.30 charge for foreign mailing; individual copies of the <u>Monitor</u> are \$1.15 from GPO.

Superintendent of Documents Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402

(Difficulties in obtaining copies of the <u>102 Monitor</u> should be referred to GPO's Service Section, P.O. Box 1533, Washington, D.C. 20013)

SOURCE FOR BACK ISSUES OF THE 102 MONITOR

The Congressional Record is a standard library reference.

Vol. 1, Nos. 1, 2, & 3 Congressional Record (page E 3607) - April 28, 1971 Vol. 1, No. 4 Congressional Record (page E 5151) - May 27, 1971 Vol.1, No. 5 Congressional Record (page E 6023) - June 16, 1971 Vol. 1, No. 6 Congressional Record (page E 8458) - July 28, 1971 1, No. 7 Vol. Congressional Record (page E 9483) - September 13, 1971 Vol. 1, No. 8 Congressional Record (page E 10002) - September 24, 1971 Vol. 1, No. 9 Congressional Record (page E 11596) - November 1, 1971 Vol. 1, No. 10 Congressional Record (page E 12213) - November 15, 1971 Vol. 1, No. 11 Congressional Record (page E 13322) - December 11, 1971 Vol. 1, No. 12 Congressional Record (page E 76) - January 18, 1972 Vol. 2, No. 1 Congressional Record (page E 1886) - March 2, 1972 Vol. 2, No. 2 Congressional Record (page E 2409) - March 13, 1972 <u>Vol. 2, No. 3</u> Congressional Record (page E 3778) - April 13, 1972 Vol. 2, No. 4 Congressional Record (page E 4929) - May 13, 1972 Vol. 2, No. 5 Congressional Record (page E 6489) - June 27, 1972

(There has been no secondary source for the <u>102 Monitor</u> since Vol. 2, No. 5, June 1972)

-18-

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY 722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

March 1, 1974

MEMORANDUM TO THE HEADS OF ALL FEDERAL AGENCIES

SUBJECT: NTIS and the Public Availability of Environmental Impact Statements Under NEPA

Cost factors have led the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) of the Department of Commerce to terminate its agreement with CEQ, under which NTIS provided a secondary (commercial) source for environmental impact statements. With the loss of NTIS as a secondary source for statements, it is appropriate at this time to re-emphasize the importance of the statutory responsibility of the originating agency for making copies of the statements available to the public.

As stated in the Council's Memorandum of May 15, 1973, NEPA's policy of being a 'full disclosure' Act is achieved only to the extent that the environmental impact statement is made as publicly available as practicable. The substance of that Memorandum has been incorporated in §1500.9(d) of the Council's August 1, 1973 guidelines.

Each agency has the responsibility to announce the availability of its statements, and to make them available both to those public parties known to be interested in the agency's activities and to others who have explicitly requested copies for review. Copies should be made available without charge to the extent practicable.

The alternative, "a fee which is not more than the actual cost for reproducing copies required to be sent to other Federal agencies, including the Council," is self-explanatory. Costs to an agency for each statement should be minimal when a sufficient printing is made, and statements in

[MORE]

such situations should be distributed free of charge. In addition to responding to individual requests for information during the on-going NEPA process, we suggest that each agency retain historical files of its own statements.

Effective immediately, agencies are requested to reduce the number of copies of each impact statement filed with CEQ from ten (10) to five (5). Five copies previously provided to NTIS by CEQ in order to assure a continued secondary source for the documents are no longer needed. No statements filed with the Council after February 22, 1974 will be available through NTIS. The Environmental Law Institute will continue to provide a secondary source for impact statements.

Russell W. Setuson

Russell W. Peterson Chairman

CEQ STUDIES

Environmental Quality - The First Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality 1970 [PREX 14.1:970, \$3.00]

Ocean Dumping - A National Policy. 1970. [PREX 14.2:Oc2, \$1.15]

The President's 1971 Environmental Program. 1971. [PREX 14.2:En8, \$3.70]

Toxic Substances. 1971. [PREX 14.2:T66, \$0.65]

Environmental Quality - The Second Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. 1971. [PREX 14.1971 S/N 4111-0005, \$4.05]

The President's 1972 Environmental Program. 1972. [PREX 14.2:EN8/972, \$3.00]

Environmental Quality - The Third Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. 1972. [PREX 14.1:972, \$3.80]

Integrated Pest Management. 1973. [PREX 14.2:P43, \$1.10] (PB-214 173, microfiche, \$1.45)

The President's 1973 Environmental Program. 1973. [PREX 14.2EN8/973, \$5.30]

The Federal Environmental Monitoring Directory. 1973. [PREX 14.2:EN8/973, \$1.20]

Energy and the Environment - Electric Power. 1973. [PREX 14.2:EN8/4, \$1.00]

Environmental Quality - The Fourth Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. 1973. [PREX 14.1.973, \$4.30]

102 Monitor [issued monthly, annual subcription, \$13.20 beginning January 1, 1974]

Note: The information given in square brackets indicates that the item is available from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402; that given in parentheses indicates availability from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22151. Because it is not feasible for the Superintendent of Documents to correct the prices manually in all of the publications stocked, the prices charged on your order may differ from the prices printed in the publications.

CONTRACT STUDIES

Monitoring the Environment of the Nation. The Mitre Corporation. 1971. (PB-205.989, \$6.75; microfiche, \$1.45)

Monitoring the Environment of the Nation - Appendices. The Mitre Corporation. 1971. (PB-205 990, \$12.75; microfiche, \$1.45)

The Quiet Revolution in Land Use Control. Fred Bosselman and David Callies. 1971. [PREX 14.2:L22, \$3.65]

The Quiet Revolution in Land Use Control - Summary Report. Fred Bosselman and David Callies. 1971. [PREX 14.2:L22/Summ., \$1.00]

Predator Control - 1971. Advisory Committee on Predator Control, Institute for Environmental Quality, The University of Michigan. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality and the Department of the Interior. 1971. (PB-210 120, \$3.00; microfiche, \$1.45) Also available from Charles D. Saults, Chief, Conservation Education, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240

The Economic Impact of Pollution Control - A Summary of Recent Studies. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality, Department of Commerce, and Environmental Protection Agency. 1972. [PREX 14.2:P76, \$3.85] (PB-207 205, \$3.75; microfiche, \$1.45)

The Economic Impacts of Meeting [Automobile] Exhaust Emission Standards, 1971-1980. Chase Econometric Associates, Inc. Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 200, \$3.00; \$1.45) Part II. Baseline Forecasts of Economic Performance. (PB-207 201, \$3.75; \$1.45) Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement. (PB-207 202, \$3.75; \$1.45) Part IV. Appendix. Presentation of Baseline and Alternative Impact Forecasts of Macroeconomic and Industry Performance. (PB-207 203, \$5.45; \$1.45)

Analysis of Economic Impacts of Environmental Standards on the Bakery Industry. Ernst & Ernst. Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 169; \$3.00; \$1.45) Part II. [A descriptive analysis of the bakery products industry detailing industry trends and characteristics relevant to economic impact analysis of environmental standards]. (PB-207 170, \$3.00; \$1.45) Part III. [A study of the impact of pollution standards and charges on the bakery industry]. (PB-207 171, \$3.75; \$1.45]

The Cement Industry: Economic Impact of Pollution Control Costs. The Boston Consulting Group, Inc. Volume I. Executive Summary. (PE-207 150; \$3.00; \$1.45) Volume II. [Industry description, pollution problems, market structure, financial resources, demand, foreign trade, and employment impact]. (PE-207 151, \$6.00; \$1.45)

Possible Impact of Costs of Selected Pollution Control Equipment on the Electric Utility Industry and Certain Power Intensive Consumer Industries. National Economic Research Associates, Inc.

Volume I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 168, \$3.00; \$1.45) Volume II. [Introduction, structure of the electric utility industry, and the economic impact of pollution abatement upon the industry and upon selected power intensive consumer industries]. (PB-207 167, \$3.00; \$1.45)

Economic Impact of Environmental Controls on the Fruits and Vegetable Canning and Freezing Industries. Agri Division, Dunlap and Associates, Inc. Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 140; \$3.00; \$1.45) Part II. Industry Structure. (PB-207 141, \$5.45; \$1.45) Part III. Impact Analysis. (PB-207 142, \$6.00; \$1.45) Part IV. Statistical Supplement. (PB-207 143, \$5.45; \$1.45) Study of the Economic Impacts of Pollution Control on the Iron Foundry Industry. A.T. Kearney & Company, Inc. Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 147, \$3.00; \$1.45) Part II. The Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 148, \$5.45; \$1.45) Part III. The Ecomomic Impact of Pollution Abatement upon the Industry. (PB-207 149, \$4.50; \$1.45) The Leather Industry: A Study of the Impact of Pollution Control Costs. Urban Systems Research & Engineering, Inc. Volume I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 152, \$3.00; \$1.45) Volume II. Description of the Industry. (PB-207 153, \$6.00; \$1.45) Volume III. Impact of Pollution Control Costs on the Tanning Industry. (PB-207 154, \$3.00; \$1.45) The Effects of Pollution Control on the Nonferrous Metals Industries. Charles River Associates Incorporated. Aluminum: Part I. Introduction and Executive Summary. (PB-207 164, \$3.75; \$1.45) Part II. Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 165, \$5.45; \$1.45) Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement on the Industry. (PB-207 166, \$3.75; \$1.45) Copper: Part I. Introduction and Executive Summary. (PB-207 161, \$3.75; \$1.45) Part II. Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 162, \$5.45; \$1.45) Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement on the Industry. (PB-207 163, \$3.75; \$1.45) Lead: Part I. Introduction and Executive Summary. (PB-207 155, \$3.75; \$1.45) Part II. Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 156, \$4.85, \$1,45) Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement on the Industry. (PB-207 157, \$3.00; \$1.45) Zinc: Part I. Introduction and Executive Summary. (PB-207 158, \$3.00; \$1.45) Part II. Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 159, \$4.85; \$1.45) Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement on the Industry. (PB-207 160, \$3.00; \$1.45) Economic Impact of Anticipated Paper Industry Pollution-Abatement Costs. Arthur D. Little, Inc. Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 144, \$3.00; \$1.45) . Part II. Industry Structure. (PB-207 145, \$4.50; \$1.45) Part III. Economic Analysis. (PB-207 146, \$4.50; \$1.45)

The Impact of Costs Associated with New Environmental Standards upon the Petroleum Refining Industry. Stephen Sobotka & Company. Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 197, \$3.00; \$1.45) Part II. Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 198, \$4.50; \$1.45) Part III. The Impact of Environmental Control Costs. (PB-207 199, \$4.50; \$1.45) A Study of the Economic Impact on the Steel Industry of the Costs of Meeting Federal Air and Water Pollution Abatement Requirements. Booz-Allen Public Administration Services, Inc. Volume I. Executive Summary. (PB-211 917, \$3.00; \$1.45) Volume II. The Structure of the Steel Industry. (PB-211 918, \$5.45; \$1.45) Volume III. Economic Analysis. (PB-211 919, \$5.45; \$1.45) Volumes I, II, III. (PB-211 920, \$10.00) The Chase Econometrics Macroeconomic and Inter-Industry Forecasting Models. Chase Econometric Associates, Inc. (PB-207 204, \$5.45; \$1.45) Land Use Indicators of Environmental Quality - An Examination of Existing Federal Data and Future Needs. Earth Satellite Corporation. 1972. (PB-211 399, \$3.00; microfiche, \$1.45) Environmental Indicators for Pesticides. Stanford Research Institute. 1972. (PB-210 666, \$5.45; microfiche, \$1.45) National Environmental Indices: Air Quality and Outdoor Recreation. The Mitre Corporation. 1972. (PB-210 668, \$6.75; microfiche, \$1.45) Development of a Continuing Program to Provide Indicators and Indices of Wildlife and the Natural Environment. Smithsonian Institution, Ecology Program. 1972. (PB-210 734, \$3.00; microfiche, National Assessment of Trends in Water Quality. Enviro Control, Inc. 1972. (PB-210 669, \$4.50; microfiche, \$1.45) Possible Effects of Construction and Operation of a Supertanker Terminal on the Marine Environment in the New York Bight. State University of New York. (PB-219 649, \$3.00; microfiche, \$1.45) Environmental Aspects of a Supertanker Port on the Texas Gulf Coast. Texas A&M University. (PB-220 051, \$10.60; microfiche, \$1.45) Resource Recovery: The State of Technology. Midwest Research Institute. 1973. [\$0.70] (PB-214 149, \$2.95; microfiche, \$1.45) Resource Recovery: Catalogue of Processes. Midwest Research Institute. 1973. (PB-214 148, \$6.00; microfiche, \$1.45) Preliminary Assessments of the Environmental Impact of a Superport on the Southeastern Coastal Area of Louisiana. Louisiana Superport Studies. Louisiana State University. 1973. (COM-73-10544, \$6.00; microfiche, \$1.45) A Preliminary Assessment of the Environmental Vulnerability of

\$1.45)

Machias Bay, Maine to Oil Supertankers. Massachusetts Institute of Technology. 1973. (COM-73-10564, \$3.00; microfiche, \$1.45)

Quantitative Energy Studies and Models. Decision Sciences Corporation. 1973. A State of the Art Review, Appendix I. (PB-220 131, \$4.85; microfiche, \$1.45) Appendices II, III. (PB-220 132, \$5.45; microfiche, \$1.45)

Environmental Vulnerability of the Delaware Bay Area to Supertanker Accommodation. University of Delaware. 1973. Volume I. Summary. (PB-219 801, \$4.85; microfiche, \$1.45) Volume II. Biology. (PB-219 802, \$9.00; \$1.45) Volume III. Chemistry, Engineering, Geology and Physical Oceanography. (PB-219 803, \$9.00; \$1.45) Volume IV. Biology Appendix. (PB-219 804, \$10.60; \$1.45) Volumes I, II, III, IV. (PB-219 800, \$29.70)

Report on Channel Modifications. Arthur D. Little, Inc. 1973. Volume I. [4111-00014, \$3.70] Volume II. [4111-00015, \$8.15]

Criteria for National Land Use Planning Indicators. Development Sciences Inc. 1973. (PB-220 650, \$3.00; microfiche, \$1.45)

Land Use Change and Environmental Quality in Urban Areas: Some Comparative Studies. Earth Satellite Corporation. 1973. (PB-220 742, \$9.00; microfiche, \$1.45)

The Taking Issue - An Analysis of the Constitutional Limits of Land Use Control. Fred Bosselman, David Callies, and John Banta. 1973. [4111-00017, \$2.70]

Potential Onshore Effects of Deepwater Oil Terminal-Related Industrial Development. Arthur D. Little, Inc. 1973. Volume I. Part One. Executive Summary. (PB-224 O18, \$3.50; microfiche, \$1.45) Volume II. Part Two. Mid-Atlantic Region. Part Three. Maine. (PB-224 O19, \$6.25; \$1.45) Volume III. Part Four. Gulf Coast Region. (PB-224 O20, \$6.25; \$1.45) Volume IV. Appendices. (PB-224 O21, \$6.25; \$1.45) Volumes I, II, III, IV. (PB-224 O17-SET, \$18.00)

Who Bears the Cost of Pollution Control?: The Impact on the Distribution of Income of Financing Federally Required Pollution Control. Public Interest Economics Center. 1973. (PB-226 447, \$4.50; microfiche, \$1.45)

A Study of the Quarterly Demand for Gasoline and Impacts of Alternative Gasoline Taxes, Interim Report. Data Resources, Inc. 1973. (PB-226 122/AS, \$3.75; microfiche, \$1.45)

		BINK To Superintendent of Do ments, Government Pr ing Office, Washingt D.C. 20402		Ta Su Ra	be scale be scale later becription	d.	
State				Pe	9 99 8	h e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	. 4
CATALOO NO.	QUAN- TITY DESIRED	• TITLE OF PUBLICAT	1100/		Pricr Per Copy	107/	u.
6						\$	<u> </u>
							
8240 +						*******	
8244 1405 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140		*****					
00400 00000 0 000 00, 9 8 4 6000 0 4 9 9 7 8 9 7 8 00400 00000 0 0000 00, 9 8 4 6000 0 0 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8		***************************************					
<u> 2008-90-00-00 84 84 84 84 84 94 854 94 84 84 8</u>		***************************************		*********			
1000-00 600-00 6000 to 01 01 60 0 00 00 00		** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	-21-40-5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	****		0-1 P === 0 === === ==	
420 620ur 1 - 62 hu + 2 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 - 2 - 0 - 0		*******				6400 e es 1.000 s	
	<u></u>	***************************************					
FOR ADDITIONAL	SPACE ATTA	oh another sheet.	total ai	MOUNT ENCL	.QSED	§	
	Please in	IMPORTANT clude your ZIP CODE when filling out the	muting leb	al balaw.			
0000 + 10 4 U) 4 5 9 0 4 8 9 4 5 4 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	******		,
U.A. GOVERNMENT PRINT PUBLIC DOCUMENTS DES WASHINGTON, D.C. OFFICIAL BUSIN ESTUAN AFTER 5 E	PARTMENT F0402 FESS						M

POSTAGE AND FILL PAD WA COVERENT PREVIOUS OFFICE

Name	
Smeet address	
City and State	20

(

Table of Abbreviations

In many cases the <u>102 Monitor</u> refers to Federal agencies through the use of abbreviations. While many of these are of standard usage, others may be unfamiliar. For the convenience of the reader, therefore, the following table has been produced.

USDA	DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
ARC	APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION
AEC	ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
DOC	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
DOD	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
USA	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army
USAF	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Air Force
DSA	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Supply Agency
-USN	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy
COE	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps
DRBC	DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION
epa	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
FPC	FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION
GSA	GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION
HEW	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE,
HUD	DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
DOI	DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR
IBWC	INTERNATION BOUNDARY AND WATER COMM.
DJUS	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Nasa	NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMIN.
nsf	
NERB	NEW ENGLAND RIVER BASINS COMMISSION
OST	OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
PRBC	
STAT	
TVA	TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
DOT	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
	TREASURY DEPARTMENT
	U.S. WATER RESOURCES COUNCIL
VA	VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

PROM 08-01-74

TO 08-31-74

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE Filed	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Iowa Missouri Arizona	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT	Convention For the Conservation of Antarctic Seals Added Parking Facilities, VA. Hosp., Iowa City St. Charles Quarry Company, Inc., Missouri River Colorado River Salinity Control, Supplement Rehabilitation of Enewetak Atoll, Marshall Islands	41286 41301 41354	08-12-74 08-12-74 08-19-74 08-27-74 08-30-74	STAT VA COE DOI DOD
Rnode Island ,		PINAL FINAL PINAL FINAL	Ex. Parte 270, Railroad Freight Rates, Iron Ores Bulk Chemical Carrier Construction Program Eascogas, Algonquin Gas, LNG LegisLation to amend the Price-Anderson Act BPA Wholesale Power Rate Increase	41250 41264 41270 41285 41300	08-02-74 08-07-74 08-08-74 08-12-74 08-19-74	JCC DOC FPC AEC DOI
	• •	PINAL PINAL PINAL FINAL	Icebreaking Activities on the Great Lakes Rule Promulgation, Marine Mammal Protection Act Noise Standards, Propeller-Driven Small Airplanes BPA FY 1975 Proposed Program Proposed Regulation of Vinyl Chloride	41310 41314 41351 41361 41370	08-19-74 08-20-74 08-27-74 08-28-74 08-29-74	DOT DOC DOT DOI DLAB
Alabama	Jefferson Mobile Washington	FINAL : FINAL :	West Point Lake, Chattahoochee River Project I 20-1(30), Jefferson Co. Ala. US 43, Calvert to Sunflower US 43, Calvert to Sunflower	4 1366 4 1258 4 1330 4 1330	08-29-74 08-06-74 08-22-74 08-22-74	COE I DOT N DOT Ö DOT i
Alaska		DRAFT	Lake Otis Parkway, Alaska	41367	08-29-74	DOT
Arizona		DRAFT	Cholla Project	41298	08-15-74	USDA
	Maricopa		F-15 Beddown, Luke AFB, Arizona	41246	08-01-74	USAF
Arkansas	Lincoln	FINAL	Cane Creek Recreational Development RC&D	41340	08-26-74	USDA
California		PINAT.	Timber Management Plan, Klamath N.P.	41375	08-30-74	USDA
· · ·	Humboldt Maria Mendocino Ventura	FINAL PINAL PINAL PINAL	Naval Air Station, Miramar King Range National Conservation Area, California Corte Madera Creek Flood Control Project King Range National Conservation Area, California Port Fueneme Harbor	4 1377 4 1297 4 1297 4 1365 4 1297 4 1373	08-26-74 08-15-74 08-28-74 08-15-74 08-30-74	USN DOI COE DOI COE

-28-

.

TO 08-31-74		COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY				
STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENC NUMBER
Colorado	several		astewater Treatment, Southwest Denver ransmission Lines, Colorado-Ute Assoc.	4 1249 4 1 3 4 1	08-01-74 08-26-74	EPA USDA
	Eagle		ampa Project, Generating Station eadow Mountain, White River N.F.	41256 41267	08-05-74 08-08-74	USDA USDA
	Garfield Mesa		-70, DeBeque-Grand Valley -70, DeBegue-Grand Valley	41292 41292	08-15-74 08-15-74	DOT DOT
				. · · .		
Connecticut	Hartford	PINAL I	-291, Hartford County (Final Supplement)	41307	08-19-74	DOT
lorida	· .		ampa Harbor Project (2) o. Dade Conveyance Canals, East Coast Backpumping	4 1271 41282	08-08-74 08-12-74	COE
	Dade Nassau	FINAL R	ichmond Naval Air Station, Plorida tate Road 200	41360 41268	08-28-74 08-08-74	GSA DOT
Seorgia	Fulton		est Point Lake, Chattahoochee River est Peachtree Street Extension, Atlanta	41366 41357	08-29-74 08-27-74	COE DOT
Tawaii	Maui Oahu	FINAL W	.S. Coast Guard Base, Sand Island, Hawaii astewater Treatment, Wailuku and Kahului apiolani Interchange	41265 41356 41327	08-08-74 08-27-74 08-21-74	USCG EPA Dot
Idaho	Butte Eliore	FINAL I DRAFT M	onneville Power Administration 1975 Program daho Chemical Processing Plant ountain Home Unit, Boise N.F.	41315 41324 41252	08-20-74 08-21-74 08-05-74	DOI ABC USDA
	Lemhi	DRAFT S	ilverleads Planning Unit, Salmon N.P.	41295	08-15-74	USDA
Illinois		DRAFT F	ulton Local Flood Protection Project	41290	08-14-74	COE
	Cook		each Erosion, So. Boulevard. Evanston rant Hospital	41349 41348	08-26-74 08-26-74	COE HEW
• 	Menard Sangamon	FINAL R FINAL R	oute 142, Menard and Sangamon Counties oute 142, Menard and Sangamon Counties	41279 41279	08-12-74 08-12-74	DOT DOT
· · ·	- -					
Iowa	Black Hawk	PINAL W FINAL H	Matertown - Sioux City - Moville Transmission Line Preeway 520, Iowa	e 41318 41254	08-20-74 08-05-74	DOI DOT

FROM 08-01-74 TO 08-31-74

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Iowa	Buchanan	FINAL	Freeway 520, Iowa	41254	08-05-74	DOT
-	Sac		Sac City Municipal Airport	41294	08-15-74	DOT
	· · · · ·	. •				•
Kansas	Brown ,	DRAFT	Plood Control Project, Gypsum, Kansas	41269	08-08-74	COE
	Doniphan		U.S. 36, Brown and Doniphan Counties U.S. 36, Brown and Doniphan Counties	41308 41308	08-19-74 08-19-74	DOT
	Johnson	FINAL	71st Street and Metcalf Avenue, Overland Park	41248	08-01-74	DOT DOT
	Shawnee	DRAFT	Disposal of Forbes AFB, Kansas	41359	08-28-74	GSA
		FINAL	Federal Office Building, Topeka	41317	08-20-74	GSA
	Wyandotte	PINAL	Central Avenue, Kansas City	41306	08-19-74	DOT
			۰.			
Kentucky	several	DRAFT	I 24, Marshall to Trigg County, Kentucky	41346	08-26-74	DOT
	Graves		US 45, Kentucky	41275	08-12-74	DOT
	Hopkins		US 41A, Kentucky	41304	08-19-74	DOT
	McCracken .	FINAL	US 45, Kentucky	41275	08-12-74	DOT
M. and Marcola, Society and a stranding straining of the second second second second sec						
Louisiana		DRAFT	Deep Drafts Access-New Orleans, Baton Rouge Ports	41322	08-20-74	COE
	St Tammany		Covington By-Pass, St. Tammany Parish	41283	08-12-74	DOT
	· ·					
lichigan		PINAL	Pederal Office Building, Ann Arbor	41364	08-28-74	GSA
	Kent		Rogue River, Rockford	41339	08-23-74	DOT
finnesota	Lake	DRAFT	Replacement of Prairie Portage Dam, Superior N.F.	41329	08-22-74	USDA
	Norman		Norman-Polk Watershed	41328	08-22-74	USDA
	Polk		Norman-Polk Watershed	41328	08-22-74	USDA
	several \	DRAFT	Minnkota Transmission Line	41334	08-23-74	USDA
					• •	
Missouri	Boone	FINAL	Missouri Route 63	41259	08-06-74	DOT
+-	Vernon	DRAFT	Nevada Municipal Airport	41321	08-20-74	DOT
Hontana		PTNAT.	Libby Face Planning Unit, Kootenai N.F.	41312	08-19-74	USDA
	Flathead		Lake Five Planning Unit, Flathead N.F.	4 1296	08-15-74	USDA
			Spotted Bear Unit, Flathead N.F.	41251	08-05-74	USDA
• • •	Mineral		Ward - Eagle Planning Unit, Lolo N.F.	41311	08-19-74	USDA
	Missoula		Gold Creek Planning Unit, Lolo N.F.	41274 ·	08-12-74	USDA

PROM 08-01-74 TO 08-31-74

and the second s

. .

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

•

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBES
lev Jersey		DRAFT	Great Swamp Nat. Wildlife Refuge, N.J.	41316	08-20-74	DOI
						·
Nev Mexico	Chaves		US 380 and US 70, New Mexico	41281	08-12-74	DOT
·	Rio Arriba	DRAFT	Espanola Bridge, Espanola	41338	08-23-74	DOT
		- -				
lev York	Allegany	DRAFT	Wellsville Arterial, Allegany County	41276	08-12-74	DOT
	Chenango		Mill Brook Watershed Project	41333	08-23-74	USDA
	Nassau	DRAFT	Gas Turbine Facility, Glenwood L.I.	41345	08-26-74	COE
	-					
and and the second s						
forth Dakota	BcClean	FINAL	Underwood Generating Station, Transmission Lines	4 1253	08-05-74	USDA
ior en paño ca	Mercer		Underwood Generating Station, Transmission Lines	41253	08-05-74	USDA
	Oliver		Underwood Generating Station, Transmission Lines	41253	08-05-74	USDA
Dhio	Carroll	FINAL	State Routes 43,9, and 39	41263	08-07-74	DOT
Oklahoma			Keystone Lake, Arkansas River, Oklahoma North and West Outer Loops, Oklahoma City	4 126 1 4 1374	08-06-74 08-30-74	COE DOT
ay na sina sina. S					· .	
TAGAN		PTNAT.	Bonneville Power Administration 1975 Program	41315	08-20-74	DOI
Oregon			Timber Management Plan, Klamath N.F.	41375	08-30-74	USDA
and a second s	Tillamook	FINAL	US 101, Green Timber Road, Oregon	41376	08-30-74	DOT
·	Umatilla	FINAL	Elgin Planning Unit, Umatilla NAtional Porest	41350	08-26-74	USDA
·····	Union	FINAL	Elgin Planning Unit, Umatilla NAtional Forest	41350	08-26-74	USDA
					<u>.</u> .	
Pennsylvania	Lycoming	PTNAT.	Pederal Office Building, Williamsport	4 1289	08-14-74	GSA
-	DICOMTHÀ	1 7041	I CHORME CHERCE DATE ATHRE I THE MENALARS			
Puerto Rico		DRAFT	Route 111, Moca - San Sebastian	41336	08-23-74	DOT
and the second	Aiken	PTNAT	High Level Waste Facilities, Savannah River Plan	t 41355	08-27-74	AEC
South Carolina	NIVEN	L THUR	High Level Waste Facilities, Savannah River Plan		08-27-74	AEC

	31-74		C (11) 11)	NETER WINTE THREE _ BY COMMEND AND COMMEND			
a ara na mina ka mi		· · · ·	STAT	MENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY			
STATE		COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENC NUMBER
South Card		Beaufort Oconee		Beaufort River Crossing Chauga Unit Plan, Sumter N.P.	4 126 2 4 1320	08-07-74	DOT USDA
outh Dake	ota.	Lake		Watertown - Sioux City - Moville Transmission Line SD 34, Lake and Moody Counties	41318	08-20-74	DOI DOT
		Nooda		SD 34, Lake and Moody Counties	41302	08-19-74	DOT
······································				······································			
·							
ennessee				West Tennessee Tributaries Project	41342	08-26-74	COE
		Quelle 1 7		SR 1 Bypass, Madison Co., Tenn.	41368	08-29-74	DOT
		Cumberland		Obed. National Wild and Scenic River	41335 41287	08-23-74 08-13-74	DOI USDA
		Dyer Reptroce		Bogota Watershed, Dyer and Obion Counties Obed. National Wild and Scenic River	41287	08-23-74	DOI
	• ••	Fentress Monroe		Upper Hiwassee Unit, Cherokee N.F.	41260	08-06-74	USDA
		Morgan		Obed. National Wild and Scenic River	41335	08-23-74	DOL
		Obion		Bogota Watershed, Dyer and Obion Counties	41287	08-13-74	USDA
	•	Polk		Upper Hiwassee Unit, Cherokee N.F.	41260	08-06-74	USDA
······································		Roane		Waste Facilities, Oak Ridge National Laboratory	41288	08-13-74	AEC
				Fuel Fabrication Pilot Plant, Oak Ridge Laboratory		08-19-74	AEC
		Shelby		Federal Youth Center, Memphis	41352	08-27-74	GSA
		Humphreys		Peaking Units, Jonnsonville Steam Plant	41331	08-23-74	TVA
		Sumner		Peaking Units, Gallatin Steam Plant	41332	08-23-74	TVA
exas			DRAFT	1974 OCS Lease Sale, Texas	41358	08-28-74	DOI
	•	Nolan		US 84, Nolan County	41313	08-20-74	DOT
		Nueces		S.H. 44, Nueces County	41305	08-19-74	DOT
		Panola		Loop 436, US 59, Panola Co., Texas	41347	08-26-74	DOT
		Upshur		SR 300, Upshur County	41280	08-12-74	DOT
		Wilson		Cibolo Creek Project, Wilson County	41291	08-14-74	DOI
,	· · · · ·						
Itah		Utah		American Fork - Provo Peak Unit, Uinta N.F.	41369		USDA
		Wasatch	FINAL	American Fork - Provo Peak Unit, Uinta N.F.	41369	08-29-74	USDA
			·				
irginia			FINAL	James River Project	41344		
		Arlington		Fourmile Run Protection Project, Supplement	41247	08-01-74	Ċ
•		Augusta		North River Unit, George Washington N.F.	41284	08-12-74	USDA
	10 m. m. m. m. 17	James City	DRAFT	Marina Pacilities, James River	41278	08-12-74	COE
lashingto	n		FINAL	Bonneville Power Administration 1975 Program	41315	08-20-74	DOI
				·			-

-

FROM 08-01-74 TO 08-31-74

.

1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 -

---, ···

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Washington	several	FINAL Puget Sound Vessel Traffic System	41266	08-08-74	DOT
	Asotin	FINAL Asotin Planning Unit, Umatilla N.F.	41363	08-28-74	USDA
	Garfield	PINAL Asotin Planning Unit, Umatilla N.F.	41363	08-28-74	USDA
····	Skagit	FINAL Fidalgo Bay, Anacortes	41325	08-21-74	COE
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
West Virginia	Kanawha	DRAFT I 79, Kenawha Co., West Virginia	41343	08-26-74	DOT
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
_Wisconsin	Barron	FINAL USH 53 and USH 8, Wisconsin	41303	08-19-74	DOT
	Calumet	DRAFT US-10, Winnebago, Calumet, Ontagamie Cos.	41353	08-27-74	DOT
	Chippewa ,	FINAL USH 53 and USH 8, Wisconsin	41303	08-19-74	DOT
	Columbia	FINAL Columbia Generating Station, Columbia County	41371	08-30-74	COE
	Dodge	DRAFT STH 19, Dodge and Jefferson Counties	41299	08-16-74	DOT
	· -	FINAL Horicon National Wildlife Refuge	41319	08-20-74	DOI
	Jefferson	DRAFT STH 19, Dodge and Jefferson Counties	41299	08-16-74	DOT
	Outagamie	DRAFT US-10, Winnebago, Calumet, Ontagamie Cos.	41353	08-27-74	DOT
	Ozaukee	DRAFT S.R. 167, Meguon Road	41337	08-23-74	DOT
	Richland	DRAFT STH 131, Viola-LaFarge Road	41293	08-15-74	DOT
•	Vernon	DRAFT STH 131, Viola-LaParge Road	41293	08-15-74	DOT
	Winnebago	DRAFT US-10, Winnebago, Calumet, Ontagamie Cos.	41353	08-27-74	DOT
والتصفيد فراد والالالا والم	La Crosse 🛬	DRAFT STH 93	41255	08-05-74	DOT
Wyoming		FINAL Rock Springs Circumferential Rte. (Belt Loop)	41326	08-21-74	DOT

.

-3 4 -

On the following pages are summaries of the environmental impact statements which were received by the Council on Environmental Quality during the month of August, 1974. At the head of the list of statements for each agency is the name of the agency environmental contact who can answer questions regarding those statements.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Dr. Fred H. Tschirley Acting Coordinator Environmental Quality Activities Office of the Secretary U.S.Department of Agriculture Room 331-E, Administration Building Washington, D.C. 20250 (202) 447-3965

Forest Service

Draft

Date

Cholla Project

08/15

Arizona

The statement refers to the proposed addition by the Arizona Public Service Company of two 250 MW coal-fired generating units to the existing 115 MW Cholla Plant near Joseph City, Arizona. A 500 KV transmission line, approximately 211 miles long, from the Cholla Plant to the Saguaro Plant near Red Rock is planned for construction. Adverse impacts include: the emission of 51.3 tons of S02, and 44.8 tons of NOx, and 6.4 tons of particulates per day; approximately 8,000 acres will be disturbed at the McKinley mine during the life of the project; and, construction will result in disturbance of soil, vegetation, and wildlife. (ELR ORDER # 41298)

Mountain Home Unit, Boise N.F.

08/05

ldaho

County: Elmore

The statement refers to a proposed land use plan for the 443,946 acre Mountain Home Planning Unit of the Boise National Forest. The Unit has been divided into five management areas, which are divided into management units. Management will be for timber, range, recreation, wildlife, and wilderness and backcountry values. There are 124,760 acres of inventoried roadless areas within the Unit. Of these, 38,600 acres will remain undeveloped. The remainder may be developed as the need arises. (two volumes) (ELR ORDER # 41252)

Silverleads Planning Unit, Salmon N.F.

08/15

Idaho County: Lemhi The statement refers to the 25,560 acre Silverlands Planning Unit, Lemhi County in the Salmon N.F. The planning area was divided into two management areas. The management areas are further divided into smaller segments called managementt units, which are separate parcels of land for which management requirements, protection needs, and resource uses are

are indicated. Adverse impacts will be to soil, water, vegetation, wildlife, scenics, lifestock grazing, and roadless area values. (86 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41295)

Replacement of Prairie Portage Dam, Superior N.F. Minnesota County: Lake The project involves the replacement of a cofferdam with a permanent structure (120 feet X 14 feet) at the outlet of Sucker Lake in the Boundary Waters Canoe Area of the Superior National Forest, Lake County. Adverse impacts are the loss of some vegetation, slight air and noise pollution during construction, and inconveniences related to construction. (65 pates) (ELR ORDER # 41329) 08/15 Lake Five Planning Unit, Flathead N.F. Montana

County: Flathead The proposal is concerned with the implementation of a revised multiple-use plan for 74,330 acres referred to as the Lake Five Planning Unit, Flathead N.F., Montana. The planning unit has been divided into 12 land areas or management units. Adverse impacts are the closing of some roads, potential water pollution, and disturbance to wildlife. (ELR ORDER # 41296)

Ward - Eagle Planning Unit, Lolo N.F.

08/19

08/22

Montana

County: Mineral The action is the implementation of a more intensive Multiple Use Plan for the Ward - Eagle Planning Unit, Lolo N: F. The action affects 31,770 acres of land. The planning unit is subdivided into nine management units. The plan prescribes that a major portion, 7,480 acres of the 8,960 acre inventoried roadless area, be managed for dispersed outdoor recreation with the remaining to be managed for various combinations for recreation, esthetics, fisheries, timber, wildlife, watershed, and minerals. Adverse impacts include: alteration of landscape; disturbance of soil, water, vegetation, and wildlife values; and, the reduction of (ELR ORDER # 41311)

Chauga Unit Plan, Sumter N.F.

08/20

South Carolina County: Oconee The statement discusses the proposed management plan for Chauga Unit, Sumter National Forest, located in Oconee County, South Carolina. The unit contains 40,200 acres of National Forest land. Adverse impacts will be to wildlife, water guality, soils, vegetative cover, and aesthetics due due to timber harvest, road and trail construction, recreational use, and motor vehicles. (60 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41320)

Upper Hiwassee Unit, Cherokee N.F.

08/06

Date

Tennessee County: Polk Monroe The statement refers to a proposed ten year plan for the 47,710 acre Upper Hiwassee Unit of the Cherokee National Forest. The management will be aimed towards timber harvest, wildlife habitat improvement, watershed restoration projects control of ORV*s, and concentrated recreational use along the Biwassee River. There will be some road construction. There will be adverse impact to soil and aesthetic quality. (ELR ORDER # 41260)

Final

Timber Management Plan, Klamath N.F. 08/30

California Oregon

The statement refers to a proposed timber management plan for the 1,695,000 acre Klamath National Forest, which is located within Siskiyou County, California, and Jackson County Oregon. The plan proposes an annual harvest of 267 million board feet; in carrying out the plan, between 1000 and 1100 miles of roadway will be constructed within the next ten years. Implementation of the plan will have impact upon water quality and quantity, air quality, aesthetic values, and fish and wildlife habitat.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA USDA

state agencies and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41375)

Meadow Mountain, White River N.F.

Colorado

County: Eagle

The statement refers to the proposed implementation of a land use plan for the 31,000 acre Meadow Mountain area of the White River National Forest. Under the proposed plan 7, 250 acres of roadless areas would be included in the Holy Cross Unit, and backcountry values would be maintained. The remaining 9,000 roadless acres would be added to the Grouse Creek, McCoy, and Beaver Creek Units. Management of the firs two would be for scenic, wildlife, watershed, and dispersed recreation values; management of the latter would be towards development of a winter sports site. The sports site would stimulate second home and condominium construction in Eagle County, with resultant changes in local traditions.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI EPA state agencies and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41267) 08/05 Spotted Bear Unit, Flathead N.F. Montana County: Flathead Proposed is the implementation of a revised multiple use plan for the 142,824 acre Spotted Bear Planning Unit of the Flathead National Forest. The plan will include wildlife habitat enhancement, logging activities, and road construction. Adverse impact will include the effects of road construction on elk migration and other wildlife habitat. (125 pages)COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI state agencies and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41251) 08/12 Gold Creek Planning Unit, Lolo N.F. Montana County: Missoula The statement refers to the proposed implementation of a revised multiple use plan for the 17,332 acre Gold Creek Planning Unit of the Lolo National Forest. The planning unit is divided into seven management units, which will be managed for timber production, recreational use, wildlife habitat, and watershed values. A roadless condition will be maintained on 4,871 acres. Roads and other activites will result in the development of 9,248 acres of land which is presently roadless. Adverse impact will include the disturbance of natural conditions of soil, water, vegetation, and wildlife. (204 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI EPA state and local agencies and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41274) 08/19 Libby Face Planning Unit, Kootenai N.F. Montana The statement refers to the multiple use plan for the 67,356acre Libby Face Planning Unit of the Kootenai National Forest. Under the plan there will be recreational use, timber harvesting and road constriction, and enhancement of big game wildlife habitat. Adverse impact will include soil disturbance, erosion, and fish habitat degradation. (85 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOI state and local agencies (ELR ORDER # 41312)

-38-

Oregon County: Umatilla Union The statement refers to the proposed management of six units of the 233,708 acre Elgin Planning of the Umatilla National Forest. Management will be directed towards such goals as timber production, recreational use, wildlife habitat maintenance, and the maintenance of back-country values. Impact will result from road construction, timber harvest, and recreation development. COMMENTS MADE BY: state and local agencies and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41350) American Fork - Provo Peak Unit, Uinta N.F. 08/29 Utah County: Utah Wasatch The statement refers to the proposed land use plan for the 130,848 acre American Fork Canyon-Provo Peak Planning Unit of the Unita National Forest. The protection of watershed values and the continuation of recreational uses are the key items of the plan. Adverse impact will result from the construction of new campgrounds, roads, and other facilities Expanded recreational use will have particular adverse effects upon wildlife habitat. COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA state and local agencies and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41369) North River Unit, George Washington N.F. 08/12 Virginia County: Augusta The statement refers to a proposed 10 year management plan for the North River Unit, Dry River and Deerfield Ranges Districts, George Washington N.F. The Unit contains 48,913 acres of National Forest lands and 4,056 acres of private lands. The management directive emphasizes watershed protection, dispersed recreation, and improvements to increase featured wildlife species. Adverse impact will result from timber harvest and wildlife habitat develop ments. (134 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA state agencies and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41284) 08/28 Asotin Planning Unit, Umatilla N.F. Washington Garfield County: Asotin The statement refers to a proposed land use plan for the

103,267 acre Asotin Planning Unit of the Umatilla National Forest. Under the plan the Unit would be divided into six sub-units, which would be managed for such values as wildlife habitat, livestock grazing, timber production, recreation, and back-country primitive experience. COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE HUD DOI EPA state and local agencies and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41363)

Rural Electrification Administration

Draft

Date

08/26

Transmission Lines, Colorado-Ute Assoc.

Colorado

County: several Proposed is the granting of loans to the Colorado - Ute Electric Association for the financing of 42 miles of 115 kV transmission line from Bayfield to Pagosa Springs, and 16.5 miles of 115 kV line from Basalt to Aspen, along with related work. There will be negative visual impact, especially in the crossing of the Piedra River, which has been nominated for designation as a "Scenic River". The lines will cross both the San Juan and White River National Forests. Soil erosion may affect nearby waterways, and minor limitations will be placed on use of adjacent lands. (ELR ORDER # 41341)

Final

Date

08/05

Yampa Project, Generating Station

Colorado County: several Proposed is the granting of insured and guaranteed loan funds from the REA in order to partially finance a new generating station near Craig. The project will include two new 380 MW coal fuel units, a supplemental water supply from an addition to the Elkhead Creek Reservoir, 11 miles of railroad spur line, and substantial sections of transmission connectors. Coal for the plant will be mined at a nearby open-pit mine; about 6,000 acres will be strip-mined during the life of the plant. (three volumes) COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA USDA FPC COE DOT state agencies and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41256)

Underwood Generating Station, Transmission Lines

08/05

North Dakota County: McClean Oliver Mercer Proposed is the granting of insured or guaranteed loan funds to the Cooperative Power Association and the United Power Association, for the construction of a new generating station. The station will include two lignite-fuled 450 MW units; associated works will include 409 miles of 450 kV line, 83 miles of 345 kV line, and 12.5 miles of 230 kV line. Fuel will be obtained through strip mining operations which will involve a total of 20,000 acres during the life of the plant. (four volumes) COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOI DOT EPA FPC USDA stat agencies and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41253)

Soil Conservation Service

Draft

Norman-Polk Watershed

Minnesota

County: Norman Polk The statement discusses a project for watershed protection, flood prevention, and drainage in Norman and Polk Counties. The plan includes land treatment and structural measures consisting of 28 miles of channel work and 6 grade stabilization structures. Adverse impacts are temporarily reduced soil fertility, removal of 252 cropland farm production, increased sediment and peak discharge into Red River. (60 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41328)

Mill Brook Watershed Project

New York County: Chenango The statement refers to watershed protection, flood prevention, and fish and wildlife development in Chenango County. The project will consist of conservation land treatment measures on 923 acres, one floodwater retarding structure, one multiple-purpose structure, one public fish and wildlife development, and approximately 0.25 mile of channel work. Adverse impacts include! elimination of 51 acres of cropland, 118 acres of pasture land, and 19 acres of forest land; increased noise and dust pollution; increased shortterm dedimentation downstream; elimination of 800 feet of natural stream channel; elimination of annual (ELR ORDER # 41333)

Bogota Watershed, Dyer and Obion Counties

Tennessee County: Dyer Obion The project involves watershed protection and flood prevention in the Bogota Watershed which encompasses the drainage area of Daugherty Creek. Action called for includes

08/23

08/13

Date

conservation measures on 5,910 acres of land, and about 29.2 miles of stream channel work with appurtenant measures construction of one grade stabilization structure, and mitigating measures. Adverse impacts include the loss of 61 acres of schrubs and trees, loss of 220 acres of cropland and 10 acres of pasture, and minor increases in sediment load during construction. (33 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41287)

Final

Date

08/26

Cane Creek Recreational Development RC&D

Arkansas County: Lincoln Proposed is a watershed protection and recreation development project on Cane Creek. Project measures will include a 1,750 acre recreational lake and a 1,900 acre state park. Production will be lost from 3,550 acres of forest land, 646 acres of cropland, 99 acres of grassland, and 85 acres of ponds; bottom land hardwood wildlife habitat will be lost on 1,220 acres. (81 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: COE HEW DOT DOI EPA state agencies and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41340)

REA

Draft

Minnkota Power Transmission Line

Minnesota

County: several

Proposed is the granting of an \$8,000,000 REA loan to the Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc. for the financing of 105 miles of 230 kV transmission line from the Canadian border to a future substation near Littlefork. Two substations will also be constructed. There will be some timber cutting due to the project, as well as some construction disruption. (ELR ORDER # 41334)

Contact: For Non-Regulatory Matters: Mr. W. Herbert Pennington Office of Assistant General Manager E-201, AEC Washington, D.C. 20545 (301) 973-4241 For Regulatory Matters: Mr. A. Giambusso, Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing P-722, AEC Washington, D.C. 20545 (301) 973-7373

Final

Date 08/12

Legislation to amend the Price-Anderson Act

The statement refers to proposed legislation which would amend the Price-Anderson Act (sec. 170 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, 42 USC 2210). The requirement of the Act that certain licensees provide financial protection and be idemnified for public liability claims arising out of a nuclear incident would be extended for 10 years, from August 1, 1977 to August 1, 1987. Other aspects of the legislation would provide a mechanism which would result in the phasing out of government indemnity now provided through appropriated funds; and change the maximum amount of liability insurance required by law. COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW DOT DOI EPA (ELR ORDER # 41285)

Idaho Chemical Processing Plant

08/21

Idaho

County: Butte The statement refers to the proposed legislative authorization and appropriation of funds for the construction and operation of an addition to the Idaho Chemical Processing Plant. The new facility will provide the capacity for reprocessing fuel from High Temperature Gas Reactors (HTGR). Wastes from the addition will be disposed of utilizing exist ing procedures and facilities. COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC HEW DOI USCG EPA state agencies

(ELR ORDER # 41324)

High Level Waste Facilities, Savannah River Plant 08/27

South Carolina County: Aiken Barnwell The statement refers to proposed legislative authorization and appropriation for the design and construction of additional high level radioactive waste management facilities at the Savannah River Plant. New facilities will include a

-43-

waste evaporator and associated auxiliaries, and six 1.3 million gallon steel tanks, each of which will be enclosed in a steel-lined, underground, concrete containment vessel. Nuclear wastes will be dewatered by a factor of one third. Impacts of the action will include those resulting from construction disruption, and the release of small amounts of radioactivity during operation of the evaporator. (120 pages COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HEW DOI EPA (ELR ORDER # 41355)

Waste Facilities, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Tennessee County: Roane The statement refers to the proposed legislative authorization and appropriation of funds for the construction and operation of two additional radioactive waste storage tanks, an evaporator, and associated equipment at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. The facilities would become operational in 1977. COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC HEW DOI USCG EPA state agency (ELR ORDER # 41288)

Fuel Fabrication Pilot Plant, Oak Ridge Laboratory 0

08/19

08/13

Tennessee

County: Roane

The statement refers to the proposed legislative authorization and appropriation of funds for a pilot project which is intended to demonstrate the technology for refabrication of uranium-233 for use in high-temperature gas-cooled reactors (HTGR) operating on the thorium fuel cycle. The plant will be located at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Effluents from the plant will be discharged to existing waste handling and treatment systems. (148 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC HEW DOI DOT EPA

state agencies

(ELR ORDER # 41309)

Draft

Mixed Oxide Fuels, Light Water Reactors

08/21

The generic statement refers to the use of mixed oxide fuel in light water nuclear reactors. The statement analyzes as principal issues: the environmental impacts which result from plutonium recycle in the LWR fuel cycle, and their acceptability on a cost-benefit-risk analysis; the safety in operation when a portion of the low enriched uranium fuel (UO2) is replaced with mixed fuel (UO2 + PuO2); and the adequacy of materials and plant protection in a plutonium recycle economy. (four volumes) (ELR ORDER # 41323) DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Contact:

Dr. Sidney R. Galler Deputy Assistant Secretary for Environmental Affairs Department of Commerce Washington, D.C. 20230 (202) 967-4335

Final

Date

08/20 Rule Promulgation, Marine Mammal Protection Act

The statement refers to the proposed promulgation of rules and issuance of permits pursuant to the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972. The permits would be necessary in order to allow the taking of marine mammals by commercial fishing operations, after October 21, 1974. The goal of the Act and the permit system is to reduce damage to marine mammals. The statement indicates that no significant adverse impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposal. (200 pages)COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA USDA STAT

state agencies and concerned citizens and organizations (ELR ORDER # 41314)

Maritime Administration

Final

Date

08/07

Bulk Chemical Carrier Construction Program

The statement refers to a proposed program, authorized by the Merchant Marine Act of 1970, for the subsidized construction of bulk chemical carriers for operation in U.S. foreign trade. Environmental effects of the program would result from the construction, operation, maintenance, and repair of the ships involved; and from the construction and operation of harbor and port facilities required to accommodate these ships. The environment effected by the program therefore includes landward areas, coastal ocean areas, the open oceans, and inland water-ways and lakes.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USCG HEW USN DOT GSA agencies of several States, the government of Canad, and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41264)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Draft

Date

Rehabilitation of Enewetak Atoll, Marshall Islands 08/30

The statement, prepared by the Defense Nuclear Agency with the assistance of the Atomic Energy Commision and the Depart ment of the Interior, refers to the proposed clean-up of the island and the resttlement of the Enewetak people. The island was used after World War II as a proving ground for modern weapons, including nuclear weapons, and clean-up will include the removal and disposal of materials which could pose radiation or other hazards. Among the adverse impacts of the action are a projected population increase which could result in overcrowding in future years; and expected fish kills from the blasting of ship channels in the lagoon.

(ELR ORDER # 41372)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Air Force

Contact:

Dr. Billy Welch Room 4D 873, The Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20330 (202) OX 7 - 9297

Final

Date

08/01

F-15 Beddown, Luke AFB, Arizona

Arizona

County: Maricopa The statement refers to the proposed basing of the first squadron of F-15 fighter aircreaft at Luke AFB, in order to provide combat crew training in terms of operation and weapons delivery. The major impacts associated with the action are those relating to operational noise and engine emissions; the noise of the F-15 is approximately equivalent to that of the F-4. Future operations will be similar to those which are presently conducted with F-4 and F-104 aircraft; there will be some new construction to support the aircraft. COMMENTS MADE BY: DOD HEW DOT DOI EPA state agencies and concerned citizens

(ELR ORDER # 41246)

Contact: Mr. Francis X. Kelly Director, Office of Public Affairs Attn: DAEN-PAP Office of the Chief of Engineers U. S. Army Corps of Engineers 1000 Independence Avenue, S. W. Washington, D. C. 20314 (202) 693-7168

Draft

Date

St. Charles Quarry Company, Inc., Missouri River 08/19 Missouri The statement refers to the issuance of permits authorizing: (1) the past modification of and temporary occupation and use of COE Dike No. 31.65 for barge loading operations; (2) the completed construction and continued use of a piered conveyor system for sand unloading; and (3) past construction of an additional 800 feet. The permits would stipulate that the St. Charles Quarry Co., Inc. maintain the natural drainage of the downstream slough into the Missouri River. Adverse impacts include: displacement of fish and wildlife; potential damage from reduction of upstream flood protectio; and, increased air and noise pollution. (Kansas City District) (ELR ORDER # 41301)

West Point Lake, Chattahoochee River

Alabama Georgia (ELR ORDER # 41366)

Tampa Harbor Project (2)

Florida (ELR ORDER # 41271)

So. Dade Conveyance Canals, East Coast Backpumping 08/12

Florida

The proposals consist of deepening, modifying, and realigning existing canal stretches, construction of new canals, and provisions of new inlet and water control structures and pumping stations in the West Palm Beach and South Dade County areas. The construction and modifications will provide flood control in the backpumped areas and will provide water for secondary water supply, salinity intrusions control, and Everglades N.P. Adverse impacts include: temporarily increased turbidity; possible displacement of people and businesses; possible disruption of archaeological sites; loss of wildlife habitat; and increased noise and dust during construction. (Jacksonville District) (ELR ORDER # 41282)

08/29

Fulton Local Flood Protection Project

Illinois

The project consists of constructing 10 miles of levee designed to protect 9,000+ acres within the project area against a Mississippi River Design flood. Additional structures would consist of 3 new railroad bridges, 1 new highway bridge, sand bag closures, outlet structures and 3 pumpingstations. Three ponding areas planned involve approximately 2400 acres. Adverse impacts are loss of 24 acres of bottomland woods, loss and disruption of the benthic community, siltation in the ponding areas, and the draining of current wetland habitat for agriculture. (Rock Island District) (ELR ORDER # 41290)

Beach Erosion, So. Boulevard. Evanston

Illinois

Proposed is the construction of a 600 foot groin into Lake Michigan at South Boulevard Beach in Evanston, and beach nourishment with 30,000 cu. yds. of sand. Adverse impacts include: probable development of a scour hole directly downdrift from the groin; creation of a possible safety hazard; and disturbance to both human and aguatic populations during construction activites. (Chicago District) (ELR ORDER # 41349)

Flood Control Project, Gypsum, Kansas

Kansas (ELR ORDER # 41269)

Deep Drafts Access-New Orleans, Baton Rouge Ports 08/20

Louisiana

The recommended plan consists of enlargement of the Mississippi River from Baton Rouge to the Head of Passes and Southwest Pass with training works in Pass a Loutre and South Pass, anchorage areas in the Port of New Orleans and just above Head of Passes, and a turning basin at the upper limits of the enlarged channel. Adverse impacts include: increased water turbidity; degradation of water quality; and, negative impacts on swamp, marsh, and bay and gulf bottoms. (New Orleans District) (ELR ORDER # 41322)

Gas Turbine Facility, Glenwood L.I.

08/26

New York County: Nassau Proposed is the issuance of apermit to the Long Island

08/26

Lighting Co. for dredging and construction of a bulkhead, along with the placement of fill in Hempstead Harbor, in conjunction with a gas turbine power facility. Adverse impacts are the loss of 9 acres of harbor bottom, the temporary degradation of water guality, and the emission of various air pollutants. (New York District) (ELR ORDER # 41345)

Keystone Lake, Arkansas River, Oklahoma

Oklahoma

The statement refers to operation and maintenance activities at Keystone Lake. Included are: reservoir regulation, flood control, hydorelectric power generation, management of land resources and facilities, and related functions. The major adverse impacts occur to the lake shoreline, and result from lake fluctuations and heavy recreational use. (Tulsa District) (ELR ORDER # 41261)

West Tennessee Tributaries Project

Tennessee

The project involves channel work on 225 miles of the Obion and Forked Deer River tributaries, in order to reduce the frequency, depth, and duration of flooding. Additionally, approximately 32,000 acres of woodlands will be acquired for wildlife manafement, recreational, and environmental purposes. Adverse impact will include erosion, water quality degradation, and loss of some wetlands. (Memphis District) (ELR ORDER # 41342)

Marina Facilities, James River

Virginia County: James City Busch Properties, Inc. is presently developing a 2,900acre residential community known as Kingsmall. A portion of the development will affect navigable waters of the James River. This work includes the construction of a 100slip marina with an 84-foot wide breakwater, dredging 86, 000 cu.yds. of bottom sediments from the James River, and the construction of a channel from the dam site to the James River to allow passage of lake overflow. Adverse impacts include destruction and displacement of benthic and terrestrial organisms, conversion of 8 acres of wetlands to a terrestrial habitat, and alterations in near shore curr-

ent patterns and water flow. (Norfolk District) (ELR ORDER # 41278)

Final

Date

Corte Madera Creek Flood Control Project

08/28

08/26

08/12

California County: Maria The Corte Madera Creek Flood Control Project involves dredging and channel excavation in lower tidal reaches (completed rectangular concrete channel on Tamalpais Creek (completed); rectangular concrete channel with stilling basin from earth channel to 600 feet below Lagunitos Road Bridge completed in 1971; and, rectangular concrete channel for the remaining 3,000 feet upstream to Sir Francis Drake Boulevard in Ross. Adverse impact is the loss of marshland and riparian woodlands along the banks. (San Francisco District) DOI USDA COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA state and local agencies and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41365)

Port Hueneme Harbor

California County:

1

County: Ventura Proposed dredging of the Central Basin and Channel A of Port Hueneme Harbor to a depth of 35^{*}. Marine ecosystems will be disturbed and/or destroyed by the dredge and dumping operations. Increased use of the harbor will increase the potential for oil spillage and leakage. COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC HUD DOI USN USCG DOT EPA FPC state agencies and concerned citizens (FUR ONDER # 41272)

(ELR ORDER # 41373)

James River Project

Virginia

The statement refers to the proposed maintenance dredging of the James River navigation channel to its authorized dimensions. The project extends from Hampton Roads to Richmond, a distance of 90.8 miles. Approximately 1.51 million cubic yards of spoil material will be removed. The action will remove or disturb benthic organisms such as oysters and clams, and may also disturb pelagic species through increased turbidity. (Norfolk District) (200 p.) COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC DOI state and local agencies and

concerned citizens

(ELR ORDER # 41344)

Fidalgo Bay, Anacortes

Washington County: Skagit The basic project is a barge channel in Fidalgo Bay at the southeast edge of Anacortes, Skagit County. The project calls for dredging a 150-ft. wide channel approximately 1 mile from deep water in Guemes Channel to a point near the western shore of Fidalgo Bay. Adverse impacts include the destruction of some of the benthic community, degradation

08/26

08/30

of water quality, and navigational interference with recreational and sport fisheries. (Seattle District) COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA HUD DOT DOC state and local agencies and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41325) Columbia Generating Station, Columbia County 08/30 Wisconsin County: Columbia The statement refers to the proposed construction and opera tion of 527,000 kilowatt fossil fuel generating plant on the Wisconsin River in Columbia County. Facilties to be constructed or incorporated into the plant include a 22,000 volt tandem-compound turbine generating unit, a shell and tube surface condenser; feed water pumping equipment; two hot-side electrostatic precepitators; a water treatment system; accessory power station equipment; transmission facilities; a cooling lake; an ash basin; a coal yard; and an intake structure on the river. Adverse impacts are the loss of 850 acres of land, and increased air and water pollution. (St. Paul District) COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOT EPA FPC state and regional agencies and concerned citizens

(ELR ORDER # 41371)

Draft

Jonn Day and Dalles Locks and Dam

U8/28

Oregon

The statement discusses the management of Corps facilities, properties and authorities along the Columpia River from Umatilla to the Dalles. Action includes completion of construction and continuing operation of the Jonn Day development, and processingof real estate entitlements and Dept. of the Army permits. Adverse impacts are consumption of nonrenewable mineral resources; loss of existing grassland vegetation and wildlife habitat; air and water pollution from aluminum production; and solid waste problems associated with recreation sites. (Portland District)

Fourmile Run Protection Project, Supplement

08/01

Virginia County: Arlington COMMENTS MADE BY: (ELR ORDER # 41247)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy

Contact: Mr. Peter W. McDavitt Special Assistant to the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Installations and Logistics) Washington, D.C. 20350 (202) 697-0892

Final

Date

08/26

Naval Air Station, Miramar

California Proposed is the development of a 105-site, 40 acre camping facility on Navy owned land in San Clemente Canyon. The facility would be utilized by naval personnel and dependants. Some vegetation will be removed, and wildlife displaced. COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA local agency (ELR ORDER # 41377)

-53-

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Contact: Mr. Sheldon Meyers Director, Office of Federal Activities Room 3630 Waterside Mall Washington D.C. 20460 (202) 755-0940

Final

Date

Wastewater Treatment, Southwest Denver

08/01

Colorado The statement refers to four separate applications for Federal funds for wastewater treatment facilities in the southwest Denver metropolitan area. Included are: a 20 MGD sewage treatment plant as the initial phase of an ultimate 60 MGD plant; an interceptor between Cherry Hills Village and Greenwood Village; a major interceptor along the South Platte River; and a major interceptor in the vicinity of Columbine Valley. There will be adverse impact from construction disruption, and project-induce urbanization. COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOT

state and local agencies (ELR ORDER # 41249)

Wastewater Treatment, Wailuku and Kahului

08/27

Hawaii

in the second

County: Maui

Proposed is the construction of a new wastewater treatment and disposal system to serve Wailuku and Kahului, on the island of Maui. The project will include a 6 MGD activated sludge treatment plant with sand filtration, 16,000° of force main, 2 pump stations, and 4 deep wells for gravity injection disposal. The project will result in short-term inconvenience from construction disruption; the plant may encourage area population growth: (appr. 400 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI AHP COE DOT USDA HUD state and local agencies and concerned citizens

(ELR ORDER # 41356)

Contact:

Dr. Richard F. Hill Acting Advisor on Environmental Quality 441 G Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20426 (202) 386-6084

Draft

Eascogas, Algonquin Gas, LNG Permits

80/80

Rhode Island

The statement refers to the proposed authorization of LNG importation from Algeria by Eascogas, LNG, Inc.; and the construction of receiving, storing, revaporizing, and distributing facilities in Providence by Algonquin, LNG, Impact would result from construction activity. Inc. COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP AEC DOC HEW HUD DOL USCG agencies of Massachusetts and Rhode Island, and concerned corporations

(ELR ORDER # 41270)

Date

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Contact:

Mr. Andrew E. Kauders Executive Director of Environmental Affairs General Services Administration 18th and F Streets, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20405 (202) 343-4161

Draft

Date

Disposal of Forbes AFB, Kansas

08/28

08/27

Kansas

County: Shawnee

The statement refers to the eventual disposal of the 3,152 acre Forbes AFB. Three parcels (known collectively as the 700 area), totalling 41.78 acres and 15 buildings are studied in this statement. The parcels would be ultimately conveyed or sold to the State of Kansas, for health laboritories, a printing plant, and highway department use. The remainder of the property will ultimately disposed of for airport, housing, educational, recreational, and defense uses. (ELR ORDER # 41359)

Federal Youth Center, Memphis

Tennessee

County: Shelby

The proposed action calls for construction of a Federal Youth Center in Memphis, Shelby County to be operated by the FBI. The Center will provide correctional treatment programs for Federal offenders from the Memphis and surround ing area. The Youth Center will contain a gross area of 165,000 sq. ft. in approximately 14 rectangular shaped build ings. There will be short-term inconveniences associated with normal construction activities. (97 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41352)

Final

Date

Richmond Naval Air Station, Plorida

08/28

Florida

County: Dade

Proposed is the disposal of 1,042 acres of land at the former Naval Air Station. Approximately 1,010 acres will be assigned to BOR of DOI for subsequent conveyance to Dade County for recreational and zoological purposes; 32 acres will be assigned to HEW for conveyance to Dade County for use for a vocational school; 217.17 acres and 32 buildings will be retained by GSA for possible further Federal use. (A total of 205.41 acres have already been transferred to the Justice Department for a Federal Youth Center. There will be adverse impacts from the various new uses; BOR and HEW will prepare impact statements on their proposals. (55 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW EPA AHP DOI (ELR ORDER # 41360)

Federal Office Building, Topeka

Kansas Shawnee County: Proposed is the construction of an eight story Federal Office Building, with a four story open parking structure in Topeka. The facility will have a gross area of 361,000 square feet, and will house 690 employees. The primary impact anticipated is that of construction disruption. (103 pages) USDA COE HEW HUD DOI DOT EPA COMMENTS MADE BY: ABP state and local agencies (ELR ORDER # 41317)

Federal Office Building, Ann Arbor

Michigan Proposed is the construction of a Federal office building in Ann Arbor, in order to provide space fpr 255 employees of the Department of the Interior, the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, and other Federal agencies. The three story facility will have a gross area of 78,300 sg.ft. parking will be provided for 90 vehicles. (96 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP COE DOT EPA USDA DOI HEW state and local agencies (ELR ORDER # 41364)

Federal Office Building, Williamsport

08/14

Pennsylvania County: Lycoming The statement refers to the proposed construction of a four story, 74,000 gross square foot federal office building and courthouse in Williamsport. There will be provision for 35 parking spaces. Site selection and design concepts have been completed. There will be adverse impact from demolition and construction activities. (86 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HUD USDA DOI HEW state and local agencies (ELR ORDER # 41289)

08/20

Contact: Mr. Paul Cromwell Acting Director, Office of Environmental Affairs Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administrati and Management Room 3718 HEW-North Washington, D.C. 20202 (202) 963-4456

Final

Date

Grant Hospital

08/26

Illinois Cook County: The statement considers the renovation of 2 existing hospital buildings; the construction of an 11 story pavilion which would result in an increase in beds from 339 to 555; and the construction of a 350 car parking garage. Short term inconveniences associated with construction will result. (102 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOT USDA EPA AEC AHP HUD state and local agencies and concerned businesses (ELR ORDER # 41348)

-58-

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact:

Mr. Bruce Blanchard Director, Environmental Project Review Room 7260 Department of the Interior Washington, D.C. 20240 (202) 343-3891

Draft

Date

08/20

Great Swamp Nat. Wildlife Refuge, N.J.

New Jersey A program is proposed for controlled public hunting of white-tailed deer on 4,200 acres of Great Swamp National Wildlife Refuge, New Jresey. The proposed consists of an initial phase commencing in the winter of 1974 with a 6-day hunt to reduce the deer population of 600 to carrying capacity of about 250. Future hunts would periodically be held to maintain a balance between deer numbers and refuge habitat. Adverse impacts would be noise from firearms, loss of crippled deer, potential of wildlife lead poisoning, temporary closure of the refuge to other activities, and emotional distress to those who believe that public hunting on a refuge is improper. (ELR ORDER # 41316)

Bureau of Land Management

Draft

1974 OCS Lease Sale, Texas

Texas

Proposed is the sale of leases on 551 tracts, totalling 3,062,519.73 acres, offshore Texas. No leases are in water depths greater than 200 meters. The sale is scheduled for late fall, 1974. All tracts pose some degree of pollution risks to the environment and adjacent shoreline. Each tract is subjected to a matrix analytical technique in order to evaluate significant environmental impacts should leasing and subsequent oil and gas exploration and production ensue. (ELR ORDER # 41358)

Pinal

Date

Date

08/28

King Range National Conservation Area, California 08/15

California

County: Mendocino Humboldt Proposed is the establishment of the 54,000 King Range National Conservation Area. Included is the designation of Area boundaries, a multiple use plan, a management program, and regulations to govern mining in the Area. The proposal will result in the construction of 37 miles of new roads and the reconstruction of 30 miles of existing road; the reseeding of 1200 acres of eroding soils; and the reforestation of 2600 acres. Recreation use will increase by over 100 percent, leading to adverse impacts on wildlife and primitive recreation values. There will be restrictions placed on mineral exploration. (94 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE EPA DOI state and local agencies (ELR ORDER # 41297)

Bureau of Outdoor Recreation

Draft

Date

Obed. National Wild and Scenic River

08/23

Date

08/14

Tennessee

County: Cumberland Fentress Morqan The statement refers to the designation of 100 miles of the Obed. River, Clear Creek, Daddys Creek, and the Emory River in Cumberland, Pentress, and Morgan Counties as components of the National Wild and Scenic River System. A corridor averaging 1,300 feet in width totaling 15,644 acres is proposed for control by fee acgiusition, assesment, and intergovernmental agreement. Adverse impacts would be felt from the removal from the market of 4,364 acres of timber, 400 acres of cropland and pastureland, and 950,000 tons of coal. (100 pages)(ELR ORDER # 41335)

Final

Cibolo Creek Project, Wilson County

Texas

County: Wilson

The project involves the construction of an earthfill dam on Cibolo Creek in Wilson County, Texas. The project would provide municipal and industrial water supply plus flood control, fish and wildlife, and recreation benefits. Adverse impacts include relocation of 75 families; relocation of powerlines, roads, and irrigation facilities; require plugging of oil and gas wells; involve removal or loss of archeological resources; and, eliminate 24 miles of fish habitat in Cibolo Creek, and 10,000 acres of wildlife habitat. (184 pages)

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA COE DOC HEW HUD DOT EPA FPC state agencies and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41291)

Bonneville Power Administration

BPA Wholesale Power Rate Increase

The statement refers to an increase in BPA's wholesale power rates to a level which is intended to meet fiscal payout requirements covering costs and projected facilities for the Federal Columbia River Power System. The increase considered is in the 20-30 percent range, and is dated for December 20, 1974. There will be an estimated 2 percent reduction in demand as a result of the increase in rates, with a concomitant avoidance and/or delay in construction of new power plants. The statement discusses impacts upon transportation and transmission of electricity; increased use of fuel oil and utility gas; and social and economic impacts on the ultimate consumers. (367 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOD HUD DOI AEC EPA FPC state and local agencies

and concerned citizens

(ELR ORDER # 41300)

BPA FY 1975 Proposed Program

COMMENTS MADE BY: (ELR ORDER # 41361)

Bonneville Power Administration 1975 Program

Washington Oregon Idaho The statement refers to the fiscal year 1975 new additions to BPA*s electric transmission system. Included will be 600 miles of transmission line: 8 new substations and related structures; the maintenance of 12,373 miles of existing transmission lines and related works; and the control of vegetation on 16,152 acres. Impacts of the program will ininclude those from construction activities, right-of-way com mitment, and herbicide use in vegetation control. (119 pages)COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA HUD EPA AEC FPC AHP COE state and local agencies and concerned citizens

(ELR ORDER # 41315)

Bureau of Reclamation

Draft

Date

08/27 Colorado River Salinity Control, Supplement Arizona This draft supplement discusses the construction of a protective and regulatory groundwater pumping project. The proposed project, located in the extreme southwest corner of Yuma County inudes acquisitions of 23,500 acres of land on Yuma Mesa and the construction, operation, and maintenance of two well fields. The purpose of the project is to protect and utilize the U.S. groundwater resource for

08/28

the benefit of U.S. interests. Adverse impacts are loss of vegetation, and substantial losses of fish and wildlife. (66 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41354)

Final

Watertown - Sioux City - Moville Transmission Line 08/20

South Dakota Iowa Proposed is the construction of 200 miles of 345 kV transmission line connecting Watertown, Sioux City, and Moville. Steel towers of lattice construction with three duplex conductors and two overhead static wires are planned. The line, part of the Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program, will require 70 acres of agricultural and pastureland for right-of-way. The line will cross a State Game Refuge, and there will be some loss of bird life from collisions with the structure. (104 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI AHP USDA COE DOC DOT EPA FPC state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 41318)

Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife

Final

Date

Horicon National Wildlife Refuge

08/20

Wisconsin County: Dodge The statement refers to the proposed purchase of 42.86 acres of land for addition to the Refuge. Planned development will include a visitor information center, trails, 1.5 miles of new road, a parking area, and road rehabilitation. (66 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA DOI state agencies (ELR ORDER # 41319)

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Final

Date

Ex. Parte 270, Railroad Freight Rates, Iron Ores 08/02

The statement refers to an investigation of the freight rate structure for iron ore and iron and steel scraps. The statement indicates an inelastic demand for scrap iron and steel with the result that near term fluctuations in the freight rate for scrap will have very little impact on scrap consumption and a concomitant negligible effect on the environment. Holddowns in the freight rate may retard the growth of small, electric furnace nonintegrated steel mills.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA DOI concerned corporations (ELR ORDER # 41250)

-63-

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Date

08/29

Proposed Regulation of Vinyl Chloride

Proposed is the establishment by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration of a standard of "no detectable level" for vinyl chloride in order to protect employees potentially exposed to the substance from its health hazards, particularly liver angiosarcoma. Possible adverse impacts of the action will include increased capital expenses for manufacturers, overall reduced productivity, and the substitution of scarce raw materials for vinly chloride. COMMENTS MADE BY: CEQ TREA COE AEC DOC USA concerned citizens and industry officials

(ELR ORDER # 41370)

Final

Contact:

Act: Mr. Christian Herter, Jr. Special Assistant to the Secretary for Evironmental Affairs Room 7819 Washington, D.C. (202) 632-7964

Draft

Date

Convention For the Conservation of Antarctic Seals 08/12

At an inter-governmental conference convened in London, 3-11 February 1972, the "Convention for the Conservation of Antarctic Seals" was drafted for signature by the representatives of 12 nations. The general outline of this Convention provides: that the seas south of 60 degrees so. latitude comprise the Convention area; and, an annex specifying regulatory measures such as (1) permissable catch, (2) protected and unprotected species, (3) open and closed seasons as well as open and closed areas, (4) limits relating to sex, size or age, (5) types and specifications of gear to be used, (6) the collection of statistics and biological records. (ELR ORDER # 41277)

TVA

Draft

Peaking Units, Johnsonville Steam Plant

Tennessee County: Humphreys Proposed is the construction of a nominal 350 MW gas turbine peaking plant at the Johnsonville Steam Plant. There will be some land disturbance and construction disruption; increases in discharge of NO_x and SO2 and particulates; and possible spillage of oil into Kentucky Reservoir.

(ELR ORDER # 41331)

Peaking Plant, Gallatin Steam Plant

Tennessee

County: Sumner

Proposed is the construction and operation of a nominal 300 MW gas turbine peaking plant at the Gallatin Steam Plant. There will be some land disturbance and construction disruption; increases in the discharge of SO_2 and NO_X , and particulates; and possible spillage of oil into Old-Hickory Reservoir. (ELR ORDER # 41332)

08/23

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact:

Mr. Martin Convisser, Director Office of Environmental Quality 400 7th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20590 (202) 426-4357

Federal Aviation Administration

Draft

Date

08/15

08/20

Date

Sac City Municipal Airport

Iowa County: Sac The statement refers to the development of the Sac City Airport, Sac City, Iowa. Included in the development are acquisition of land, runway lighting and marking, and the expansion of runway 18/36. There will be slight increases in air and noise pollution. (ELR ORDER # 41294)

Nevada Municipal Airport

Missouri County: Vernon The statement refers to the proposed development of the Nevada Municipal Airport, Vernon County, Missouri. Development consists of: acquisition of 60 acres of land: strengthening, lengthening and widening 2 runways, and construction of parallel taxiways. There will be slight increases in air and noise pollution. (54 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41321)

Final

08/27 Noise Standards, Propeller-Driven Small Airplanes

The statement refers to the amendment of Pederal Avaiation Regulations to add noise standards and noise type certification procedures for propeller driven small airplanes. The proposed standards have potential direct impact upon noise, air guality, and energy usage. Adverse impacts of the regulations are a slight increase in fuel consumption, and a neligible deterioration in air quality due to a very small increase in undesirable engine emissions. (56 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA (ELR ORDER # 41351)

Federal Highway Administration

Date

08/29 Lake Otis Parkway, Alaska Alaska (ELR ORDER # 41367) 08/15 1-70, DeBegue-Grand Valley Colorado County: Garfield Mesa The project involves the construction of a 37 mile segment of I-70 located in both Garfield and Mesa Counties in west central Colorado. Adverse impacts include acquisition of 820 acres of land for right-of-way, temporary degradation of water quality, increased dust, noise and congestion during construction, and the displacement of several families and businesses. (120 pages)(ELR ORDER # 41292) 08/27 West Peachtree Street Extension, Atlanta Georgia Fulton County: The statement refers to the proposed improvement of the West Peachtree Street Extension in Atlanta. The improvement extends northeastward approximately 2.6 miles from the Pershin Point area to Piedmont Road terminating at the intersection It is intended to be a multilane, controlled Marion Road. access facility. Adverse impacts are the displacement of 175 residential units and a maximum of 67 businesses, increased noise levels, and traffic disruptions during construction. (ELR ORDER # 41357) 08/26 I 24, Marshall to Trigg County, Kentucky Kentucky County: several The project involves the construction of I 24 in Marshall, Livingston, Lyon, Caldwell, and Trigg Counties. The four lane facility will total 33.66 miles. Adverse impacts are the loss of land, displacement of homes, and increased air, noise and water pollution during construction. (ELR ORDER # 41346) 08/12 Covington By-Pass, St. Tammany Parish Louisiana County: St Tammany The project involves the proposed construction of the southerly two lanes of a future four lane divided highway. The The new facility will be an eastward extension of the Route LA - US 190 Bypass north of the city of Covington. The length of the project is approximately 2.94 miles.

-68-

(52 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41283)

Rogue River, Rockford

Michigan County: Kent The project involves the construction or reconstruction of a bridge and approaches spanning the Rogue River in the city of Rockford, Kent County. The facility will have four twelve foot lanes. Adverse impacts include, increased air, noise, and dust pollution during construction, possible erosion, sedimentation and pollution in and near the Rogue River, displacement of wildlife, and the displacement of families and businesses. (50 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41339)

Espanola Bridge, Espanola

New Mexico County: Rio Arriba The project involves the construction of the Espanola bridge over the Rio Grande River in the city of Espanola. The proposed construction will consist of a 4-lane road and bridge to relieve the demand on the present crossing. Adverse impacts are the loss of some farm land, some siltation, increased noise levels, and the displacement of 2 residences and 1 business. (12 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41338)

Wellsville Arterial, Allegany County

New York Allegany County: The statement refers to the construction of a segment of highway in the Village of Wellsville in Allegany County between the SE junction and the northwest junction of Rtes. 17 and 19. The project is about 1.6 miles in length, and is located along the Genesee River, by-passing the main business section and residential areas. Adverse impacts are slightly increased air, water and noise pollution in some areas, the use of land for additional right-of-way, and construction impacts normally associated with construction. A 4(f) determination will be necessary concerning Tullar Field and Island Park. (70 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41276)

North and West Outer Loops, Oklahoma City

Oklahoma (ELR ORDER # 41374) 08/12

08/30

08/23

08/23 Route 111, Moca - San Sebastian Puerto Rico The proposed project involves the relocation and reconstruction of State Route 111 between Moca and San Sebastian, including North and South Bypasses around urban San Sebastian, for a total project length of approximately 16 kilometers. There will be increased air and noise pollution in some areas, and 77 families and 9 businesses will be displaced. (66pages) (ELR ORDER # 41336) 08/29 SR 1 Bypass, Madison Co., Tenn. Tennessee (ELR ORDER # 41368) 08/19 S.H. 44, Nueces County Texas County: Nueces The statement concerns improvement of S.H. 44 from a 4-lane divided highway with at-grade crossings and crossovers over a narrow median to a full control access freeway with interchanges and grade separations over major intersecting roads from S.H. 358 in Corpus Christi to U.S. 77 in Robstown all in Nueces County. The total length is 11.5 miles. Adverse impacts are the use of 387.59 acres of land, the displacement of a few families, and air and noise levels. (135 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41305) 08/20 US 84, Nolan County Texas County: Nolan The statement refers to the construction of US 84 in Nolan County for a distance of 3.3 miles in Nolan County. The proposed project is a four lane divided highway on new location around the north and east side of Roscoe. Adverse impacts are the loss of revenue to local businesses from cross-county traffic, the conversion of 150 acres of fertile farm land to public roadway, and the severance of 3 farm units. (ELR ORDER # 41313) 08/26 Loop 436, US 59, Panola Co., Texas Texas

County: Panola The project involves the construction of Loop 436, which

-70-

will extend for 6.1 miles from US 59 north of Carthage to US 59 south of Carthage. Adverse impacts are the acquisition of land for right-of-way, the dsiplacement of 6 families, a and negative impacts normally associated with construction (ELR ORDER # 41347)

I 79, Kenawha Co., West Virginia

West Virginia County: Kanawha The statement refers to the construction of I 79, a four land, limited access, divided highway, for 14 miles between Big Chimney and the Roane County Line. Adverse impacts are increased air and noise pollution, and the displacement of families and businesses. (ELR ORDER # 41343)

STH 131, Viola-LaFarge Road

Wisconsin

County: Richland Vernon The statement refers to the construction of STE 131 between the villages of Viola in Richland County, and LaFarge in Vernon County. The reconstruction of 6.6 miles of STE 131 will be along its existing right-of-way with some areas of correction. The Kickapoo River Bridge will be replaced. Adverse impacts are acquisition of 44 acres of land, temporary increases in noise, air and water pollution, and inconveniences normally associated with construction. (42 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41293)

STH 19, Dodge and Jefferson Counties

08/16

Wisconsin County: Dodge Jefferson The statement refers to the proposed construction of STH 19 in Dodge and Jefferson Counties in south central Wisconsin. The length of the project is 7.3 miles and is located between Waterloo and Hubbleton. Adverse impacts are the conversion of 67 acres of land to highway purposes, increases in noise and dust during construction, loss of wildlife and associated habitat, and the displacement of 1 family and 1 business. A 4(f) determination is necessary concerning the use of public lands that are part of a public hunting and fishing area belonging to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. (73 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41299)

S.R. 167, Meguon Road

08/23

Wisconsin County: Ozaukee The project involves the improvement of a 3.5 mile 08/26

segment of Mequon Road (S.T. H. 167) in Ozaukee County. The improvement would consist of widening the existing 22-foot highway carrying two-way traffic to a multi-laned roadway. A new bridge over the Milwaukee River will be required. There will be increased air and noise pollution, and displacement of 7 families and 1 businesss. (138 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41337)

US-10, Winnebago, Calumet, Ontagamie Cos.

Wisconsin County: Winnebago Calumet Outagamie The statement involves the reconstruction of 2.4 miles of USH 10 between its junction with STH 114 in Winnebago and Calumet Counties to the intersection of West Seymour Street in Outagamie County. Adverse impacts are the displacement of 3 families, and increased noise pollution. (69 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41353)

Final

Project I 20-1(30), Jefferson Co. Ala.

Alabama County: Jefferson The statement refers to the proposed construction of 3.53 miles of I 20 near Irondale. There will be a small number of displaced farms, families, and businesses. COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI COE EPA BUD USN DOC (ELR ORDER # 41258)

US 43, Calvert to Sunflower

Alabama County: Mobile Washington The project involves the improvement of US 43 from Calvert to Sunflower in Mobile and Washington Counties. The typical improvement consists of a four-lane, rural, divided facility having a total length of 13.08 miles. Adverse impacts are the conversion of approximately 245 acres of rural lands to highway right-of-way, and dislocation of 1 tenam individual, 15owner families, 7 tenant families, 10 owner businesses, 3 tenant businesses and 1 non-profit organization. (64 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA HUD HEW DOT DOT DOE DOD (ELR ORDER # 41330)

I-291, Hartford County (Final Supplement)

08/19

Connecticut County: Hartford This statement is a supplement to a previously approved Final impact statement received by the Council on

08/22

Date 08/06

September 20,1972. The highway improvement is the construction of the southwest quadrant of I-291 from its interchange with I-91 in Rocky Hill to its interchange with I-84 in Farmington. The 8 mile section passes through the Towns of Rocky Hill, Newington, New Britain, and Farmington. Adverse impacts are the use of land and the displacement of 56 homes and 15 businesses. A 4(f) determination was necessary con-Eagle Lantern Village Open Space Section and Churchill Park. (3 volumes) COMMENTS MADE BY: (ELR ORDER # 41307)

State Road 200

08/08

08/21

Florida County: Nassau Proposed reconstruction of 6.9 miles of S.R. 200, from the town of Yulee to the Amelia River Bridge. The 4-lane roadway will span Lofton Creek in 2, 200' bridges. COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOC state agencies

(ELR ORDER # 41268)

Kapiolani Interchange Hawaii

County: Oahu

The statement refers to the Kapiolani Interchange Ramp located approximately 700 feet east of the existing King Street On-Ramp on I-Hawaii-I. The purpose of the project is to improve the traffic movement from Central Honolulu to Eastern Honolulu. Project lenth is 0.23 miles. There will be the negative impacts normally associated with construction. (100 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOT HUD HEW COE DOD (ELR ORDER # 41327)

Route 142, Menard and Sangamon Counties

08/12

Illinois County: Menard Sangamon The proposed project consists of improvement to 9.7 miles of F.A. Route 142 in Menard and Sangamon Counties. The project involves the widening and resurfacing of 5.5 miles of highway, and the relocation of 4.2 miles of highway. Adverse impacts are the use of approximately 43.8 acres of land for expanded right-of-way, some soil erosion and sedimentation, and increased noise levels and dust emissions associated with construction. (72 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI state and local agencies (ELR ORDER # 41279)

Freeway 520, Iowa

Iowa County: Black Hawk Buchanan The proposed project is the construction of approximately 30 miles of Freeway 520 beginning at Iowa 297 and extending easterly to Iowa 187. The four-lane divided facility will run parallel to existing U.S. 20 and bypass towns along the Approximately two businesses, seven families, and route. three farm operations will be displaced; an unspecified amount of rural agricultural land will be acquired to provide an average 350' right-of-way. Adverse effects of the action include diversion of wildlife habitat to roadway use, increased air and noise pollution levels, and minor channel changes to the Wapsincion River. (117 pages) COE COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW HUD USDA DOI EPA state and local agencies (BLR ORDER # 41254) 08/01 71st Street and Metcalf Avenue, Overland Park Kansas County: Johnson The project calls for the improvement of the intersection at 71st Street and Metcalf Ave., in Overland Park, Kansas. An unspecified amount of land will be acquired for right-of-Trees will be lost and increases in noise and air way. pollution levels will occur. (61 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE HEW EPA DOI DOT HUD state and local agencies (ELR ORDER # 41248) 08/19 Central Avenue, Kansas City Kansas County: Wyandotte Proposed is the improvement of Central Avenue, 26th Street, Westview Drive and 29th Street in the City of Kansas City. Two residences and one business will be displaced; an unspecified amount of additional land will be required for right-of-way. (58 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE HEW EPA USCG HUD DOT state agencies (ELR ORDER # 41306) U.S. 36, Brown and Doniphan Counties 08/19 Kansas County: Doniphan Brown The statement refers to approximately 13.5 miles of highway improvement to US 36 in Brown and Doniphan Counties. The project begins 1.5 miles west of Hiawatha and proceeds easterly to its terminus 1 mile east of the Brown-Doniphan County line. Adverse impact of the project include the loss of some natural growth and wildlife cover, and a slight increase in the noise level.

COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW EPA DOI DOC USDA state and local agencies and concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41308) 08/12 US 45, Kentucky Kentucky County: Graves McCracken Proposed is the construction of 16.44 miles of US 45. The six lane facility will require 800 acres of right-of-way. Four families and 1 business will be displaced by the project. Adverse impact will include the loss of wildlife habitat, and increases in erosion and sedimentation, and air and noise pollution. (120 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW DOI HUD EPA DOC TVA COE state agencies (ELR ORDER # 41275) 08/19 US 41A, Kentucky Kentucky County: Hopkins Proposed is the reconstruction of 1.63 miles of US 41A to a four-lane divided facility. The project will extend from Country Club Lane in Madisonville to Main St. in Earlington. Adverse effects are the acquisition of right-of-way and the displacement of 6 families. (74 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW EPA DOI state agencies (ELR ORDER # 41304) 08/06 Missouri Route 63 Missouri County: Boone The statement refers to the proposed relocation of a 6.9 mile segment of Route 63 with half the project located in the city limits of Columbia. The action consists of an ultimate 4-lane divided highway including four interchanges and four grade separation structures, with full control of access. Approximately 300 acres will be acquired for right of way. Ten families and one business will be displaced. Adverse effects include loss of tax base and loss of wildlife habitat. (27 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA EPA HEW DOT COE state agencies (ELR ORDER # 41259) 08/12 US 380 and US 70, New Mexico New Mexico County: Chaves

The proposed project is the improvement of US Highway 380

-75-

and 70 for 1.4 miles. The proposal is for construction of four driving lanes and a continuous left-turn lane. Six businesses will be displaced and others damaged by loss of part of their building areas or signs. There will be a loss of some trees; air and noise pollution levels will increase. (63 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI COE EPA HEW concerned citizens (ELR ORDER # 41281) 08/07 State Routes 43,9, and 39 Ohio County: Carroll The proposed project is the relocation and establishment of a limited access highway for S.R. Nos. 43 and 9, bypassing the Village of Carrolltown. The action consists of constructing a 2-lane highway on 4-lane right-of-way. A portion of S.R. 39 will require relocation in conjunction with this project. Twelve residences, two churches, and one business will be displaced. (41 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA HUD USDA state agencies (ELR ORDER # 41263) 08/30 US 101, Green Timber Road, Oregon Oregon County: Tillamook Proposed is the replacement of 21 miles of US 101 in souther Tillamook County. There will be some taking of land for right-of-way, and displacement of some families; a number of farms will be severed by the road. COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT DOC DOI HUD EPA state agencies (ELR ORDER # 41376) 08/07 Beaufort River Crossing South Carolina County: Beaufort The proposed project involves the construction of a multilane facility for S.C. Route 281 on Port Royal Island to U.S. Route 21 on Ladies Island. The approximate length of the project (depending on the alternate) is between 2.9 and 4.1 miles and involves a bridge over the Beaufort River which is a portion of the intracoastal waterway. Adverse effects of the action include encroachment on marsh land; temporary siltation and increased turbidity during bridge construction; acquisition of right of way for the length of the project; and displacement of 6 to 12 residences and 1 or 2 businesses. (67 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD COE DOC DOI EPA state and local agencies (ELR ORDER # 41262)

SD 34, Lake and Moody Counties

South Dakota County: Lake Moody The project involves the reconstruction of 10.5 miles of SD 34, from one mile south of Wentworth to the I 29 interchange. Adverse impact will include the loss of 234 acres of land to right-of-way, the displacement of two farmsteads, and increases in air and noise pollution levels during construction. (25 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HUD EPA DOI state agency

-77-

(ELR ORDER # 41302)

SR 300, Upshur County

08/12

08/19

County: Upshur The project involves the construction of S.H. 300 for a length of 11.6 miles of which 5 miles is on new location. The two lane facility will extend from the Gregg County line at Seven Pines northwest to U.S. 271 in Gilmer. Adverse impacts include, displacement four families and four businesses, loss of production of 275 acres of agricultural and timber land, and minor silting of streams. (51 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW COE DOI USDA EPA state and local agencies (ELR ORDER # 41280)

USH 53 and USH 8, Wisconsin

08/19

08/21

Wisconsin

Texas

County: Barron Chippewa The statement refers to the proposed location of a new USH 53 Freeway (37.5 miles) and a new USH 8 Expressway (6.0 miles). The projects are at various stages of development ranging from the concrete paving stage to the location approval stage. Right-of-way for the USH 53 freeway varies from 278 feet to 620 feet, for USH 8 from 253 feet to 388 feet. To date, 16 homes and 3 businesses have been acquired and 45 persons relocated. Temporary increases in air and noise pollution, alteration of the landscape, and possible erosion and siltation will occur. (462 pages)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOT DOI state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 41303)

Rock Springs Circumferential Rte. (Belt Loop)

Wyoming

The statement refers to the construction of a circumferential route (Belt Loop) around the developed areas lying south of the Union Pacific Railroad in Rock Springs. The length of the project is 4.1 miles. Adverse impacts include the taking of right-of-way, the displacement of people, and the increase of noise levels. (71 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA HUD state agencies

(ELR ORDER # 41326)

U.S.Coast Guard

Final

Date

Icebreaking Activities on the Great Lakes 08/19

The statement refers to the action of Coast Guard Icebreaker to keep navigable waters on the Great Lakes open to commerce during the winter months in order to minimize seasonal effec on commerce, industry, and other modes of transportation, to conduct search and rescue missions, and to assist other agencies in the prevention of flooding caused by ice accumalation. The States of Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin will be affected The action may cause adverse effects on shoreline and harbor areas, and to the local lifestyle of islanders and winter sportsmen. (135 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI COE EPA local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 41310)

Puget Sound Vessel Traffic System

08/08

Washington

County: several Proposed is the addition of radar to the Puget Sound Vessel Traffic System. The addition would result in basic radar surveillance of critical areas of the Sound, with a resulting reduction in expected vessel casualties and consequent reduction in risk of oil spills. The primary impact would be the aesthetic effects of ninety foot towers on each of three sites (Bush Point, Whidbey Island; Point No Point, Kitsap Peninsula; and Point Wilson, Quimper Peninsula). A possible secondary impact, were this system to be followed by radar coverage of the rest of the Sound, could be an attempt to justify development of increased oil refining capacity and/or establishment of a genuine "superport" in the area. COMMENTS MADE BY: EPB DOC USA COE AHP DOI

state agencies and concerned citizens (BLR ORDER # 41266)

V.S.Coast Guard

Final

Date

U.S. Coast Guard Base, Sand Island, Hawaii

08/08

08/05

Bawaii

The proposed project is the reconstruction of approximately 1,500 lineal feet of the waterfront at the U.S. Coast Guard Base, Honolulu located on Sand Island. The proposal includes: replacing 425 lineal feet of timber wharf with a concrete wharf; repairing 1,075 lineal feet of undermined concrete retaining wall; constructing buried utility lines and landscaping the area. The project will cause water turbidity and loss of marine life . (32 pages) COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA COE USA DOC DOI DOT state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 41265)

FHWA

Draft

STH 93, La Crosse Co., Wisconsin Proposed is the construction of 10.5 miles of four lane STH 93 in La Crosse County. The project will necessitate the taking of 475 acre (including 410 acres of agricultural land) for right-of-way. A number of farm units will be severed by the project. Contact:

Mr. Arthur W. Farmer Assistant Chief, Medical Director for Administration and Facilities Veterans Administration 810 Vermont Avenue N.W. Washington, D.C. 20420

Draft

Date 08/12

Added Parking Facilities, VA. Hosp., Iowa City

The project will provide a parking structure for about 300 automobiles on the existing VA Hospital site, Iowa City, Iowa with structural capacity for a future 200 automobiles. There will be demolition of about 24 existing parking spaces, producing a net gain of 476 spaces. Adverse impacts are increased concentration of air pollutants, increased utilization of land and reduction of open space, and general construction disruption. (16 pages) (ELR ORDER # 41286)



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

AVAILABILITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY COMMENTS ON ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS AND OTHER ACTIONS IMPACTING THE ENVIRONMENT

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed and commented in writing on Federal agency actions impacting the environment contained in the following appendices during the period of August 1, 1974 and August 31, 1974.

Appendix I contains a listing of draft environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The list includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, the classification of the nature of EPA's comments as defined in Appendix II, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix V. Appendix II contains the definitions of the classifications of EPA's comments on the draft environmental impact statements as set forth in Appendix I.

-82-

Appendix III contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this reviewing period. The listing will include the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix V.

Appendix IV contains a listing of proposed Federal agency regulations, legislation proposed by Federal agencies, and any other proposed actions reviewed and commented upon in writing pursuant to section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, during the referenced reviewing period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the proposed action, the title of the action, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix V.

Appendix V contains a listing of the names and addresses of the sources for copies of EPA comments listed in Appendices I, III, and IV.

Copies of the EPA Manual setting forth the policies and procedures for EPA's review of agency actions may be obtained by writing the Public Inquiries Branch, Office of Public Affairs, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460. Copies of the draft and final environmental impact statements referenced herein are available from the originating Federal department or agency .

Rebecca w. Hanner

Sheldon Meyers Director Office of Federal Activities

Dated: 11 SEP 1974

APPENDIX I

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN AUGUST 1, 1974 AND AUGUST 31, 1974

IDENTIFYING NUMBER		TITLE		GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE COPIES COMMEN	OF
DEPARTMENT OF AGRI	CULTURE			•		
D-AFS-A65105-MT:	MULTIPLE USE PLAN, EURE	KA-GRAVE CREEK PLANNING	UNIT, MONTAN	A LO-1	I	
D-AFS-A82087-00:	PROPOSAL FOR VEGETATION FIRE TREATMENT IN ARIZO		CHEMICAL AND	LO-1	G	
D-AFS-G65001-LA:	TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN,	KISATCHIE NATIONAL FOR	EST, LOUISIAN	A LO-2	G	84-
D-AFS-J65002-MT:	CALLAHAN PLANNING UNIT,	KOOTENAI NATIONAL FORE	ST, MONTANA	LO-1	I	·
D-AFS-L61002-OR:	MANAGEMENT OF WILLIAM C OREGON	REEK AND COUGAR BLUFFS	ROADLESS AREA	S, LO-2	K	
D-SCS-A36403-NC:	CHICOD CREEK WATERSHED, CAROLINA	PITT AND BEAUFORT COUN	TIES, NORTH	ER-2	E	
D-SCS-A36406-WA:	NEWMAN LAKE WATERSHED P	ROJECT, SPOKANE COUNTY,	WASHINGTON	LO-1	K	•
D-AFS-A65104-CA:	PROPOSED SHASTA-TRINITY PLAN, CALIFORNIA	NATIONAL FOREST TIMBER	MANAGEMENT	ER-2	J	
D-AFS-E61001-KY:	MANAGEMENT OF BEAVER CR FOREST, MCCREARY AND PU	EEK UNIT IN DANIEL BOON LASKI COUNTIES, KENTUCK		LO-1	E	

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-AFS-E61002-NC:	TEN YEAR MANAGEMENT OF CULLASAJA RIVER UNIT, AND WHITEWATER RIVER UNIT IN NANTAHALA NATIONAL FOREST, TRANSYLVANIA, JACKSON, MACON COUNTIES, NORTH CAROLINA	LO-1	E
D-AFS-J65001-WY:	MOCCASIN BASIN AND CALF CREEK, PAPOOSE CREEK TIMBER SALES, WYOMING	ER-2	I
D-SCS-A36419-SC:	RABON CREEK WATERSHED PROJECT AND WORK PLAN, GREENVILLE AND LAURENS COUNTIES, SOUTH CAROLINA	ER-2	Е
ATOMIC ENERGY COMM	ISSION		
D-AEC-A06135-MD:	DOUGLAS POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2, CHARLES COUNTY, MARYLAND	LO-1	А
D-AEC-A06137-LA:	RIVER BEND NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2, GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY, DOCKET NUMBERS 50-458 AND 50-459, LOCATED ON THE MISSISSIPPI RIVER, WEST FELICIANA PARISH, LOUISIANA		А
D-AEC-A06136-WY:	UTAH INTERNATIONAL, INC., SHIRLEY BASIN URANIUM MILL, SHIRLE BASIN, WYOMING	У 3	А
D-AEC-A06138-MA:	PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3, BOSTON EDISON COMPANY, DOCKET NO. 50-471 AND 50-472, PLYMOUTH, MASSACHUSET	ER-2 TS	Α
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
D-COE-A32510-AK:	PROPOSED HUMBOLDT HARBOR, SAND POINT, ALASKA	LO-1	K
D-COE-A32516-TX:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, CHANNEL TO PORT BOLIVAR, GALVESTON COUNTY, TEXAS	ER-1	G
D-COE-A34129-PR:	SAN JUAN HARBOR, SURVEY-REVIEW REPORT, NAVIGATION, PUERTO RI	CO LO-2	С
D-COE-A35136-MS:	CONSTRUCTION BULK TRANSFER TERMINAL, BAYOU CASOTTE, MISSISSIPPI	ER-2	E
	5	:	

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-COE-A35144-AL:	BON SECOUR RIVER, BALDWIN COUNTY, MAINTENANCE DREDGING, ALABAMA	ER-2	Е
D-COE-A35145-NY:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF THE GOWANUS CREEK CHANNEL, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK	LO-1	С
D-COE-A36404-00:	DEGONIA AND FOUNTAIN BLUFF LEVEE AND DRAINAGE, MISSOURI AND ILLINOIS	LO-2	F
D-COE-C35001-PR:	PONCE HARBOR, MAINTENANCE DREDGING, PUERTO RICO	LO-1	С
D-COE-E32001-FL:	BLACKWATER RIVER, MAINTENANCE DREDGING, SANTA ROSA COUNTY, FLORIDA	ER-2	E
D-COE-A32511-AK:	PROPOSED HAINES SMALL BOAT HARBOR PROJECT, ALASKA	ER-2	K
DS-COE-A32426-00:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF EXISTING NAVIGATION CHANNEL AT MOUTH OF EAST PEARL RIVER, LOUISIANA AND MISSISSIPPI	LO-2	I 80
D-COE-A34130-TX:	BIG PINE LAKE, BIG PINE CREEK, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-COE-A35147-IL:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF LAKE SHELBYVILLE, ILLINOIS	LO-2	F
DS-COE-A36170-GU:	NAMO RIVER, FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, GUAM	ER-2	J
D-COE-A32512-TX:	MAINTENANCE AND DREDGING, MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TEXAS	ER-1	G
D-COE-A36420-00:	TOMBIGBEE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, LUXAPALILA CREEK SEGMENT, FLOOD CONTROL, ALABAMA AND MISSISSIPPI	ER-2	E
D-COE-D30001-VA:	VIRGINIA BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECT, VIRGINIA BEACH, VIRGINIA	ER-2	D
D-COE-D30002-VA:	BEACH EROSION CONTROL, WESTMORELAND STATE PARK, VIRGINIA	LO-2	D
D-COE-D36001-PA:	LOCK HAVEN FLOOD PROTECTION PROJECT, WEST BRANCH, SUSQUEHANN RIVER AND BALD EAGLE CREEK, PENNSYLVANIA	IA LO-2	D

.*

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-COE-D36002-WV:	CHANNEL REHABILITATION PROJECT, COAL RIVER BASIN, WEST VIRGINIA	L0-1	D
D-COE-E35001-FL:	PANACEA HARBOR, WAKULLA COUNTY, MAINTENANCE AND DREDGING, FLORIDA	ER-2	E
D-COE-F32001-OH:	NAVIGATION PROJECT, HURON HARBOR ERIE COUNTY, HURON, OHIO	LO-2	F
D-COE-F32003-MI:	FISCAL YEAR 1975 NAVIGATION SEASON EXTENSION DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, MICHIGAN	LO-1	F
D-COE-L36002-OR:	TURNING BASIN AT ASTORIA, COLUMBIA AND LOWER WILLAMETTE RIVERS, OREGON	L0-1	K
DS-COE-L36003-WA:	CHIEF JOSEPH DAM ADDITIONAL UNITS, OPERATION AND MAINTENANC COLUMBIA RIVER, WASHINGTON	E, LO-l	K
CIVIL AERONAUTICS	BOARD		-87-
D-CAB-A52076-00:	CAPACITY REDUCTION AGREEMENTS CASE, DOCKET NUMBER 22908	LO-2	А
DEPARTMENT OF DEFE	NSE		
D-USN-All052-FL:	LAND ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF TWO HELICOPTER OUTLYIN FIELDS AT NAVAL AIR STATION, WHITING FIELD, MILTON, FLORIDA		E
D-USN-B11001-CT:	NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, 5-YEAR MANAGEMENT PLAN, GROTON, CONNECTICUT	L0-2	В
D-USN-K11002-CA:	PROPOSED NEW BERTHING PIER NO. 7, NAVAL STATION, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	LO-2	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
FEDERAL POWER COM	MISSION		
D-FPC-F03001-OH:	CRAWFORD UNDERGROUND STORAGE PROJECT, HOCKING AND FAIRFIELD COUNTIES, OHIO	ER-2	F
	n en		
GENERAL SERVICES	ADMINISTRATION	•	
D-GSA-E81001-SC:	FEDERAL BUILDING AND COURTHOUSE, COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA	LO-1	E
DEPARTMENT OF HOUS	SING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT		
D-HUD-B89001-MA:	URBAN RENEWAL, HERITAGE PLAZA EAST, SALEM, ESSEX COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS	LO-2	В
DEPARTMENT OF INTI	ERIOR		I
D-BLM-A01027-WY:	COAL RESOURCES, EASTERN POWDER RIVER COAL BASIN, WYOMING	3	I I
D-NPS-A61251-AR:	PROPOSED MASTER PLAN, BUFFALO NATIONAL RIVER, ARKANSAS	LO-2	G
D-DOI-A61187-AK:	ANIAKCHAK CLADERA NATIONAL MONUMENT, ALASKA	LO-1	K
D-DOI-A65108-AK:	ADDITIONS TO THE CHUGACH NATIONAL FOREST, ALASKA	LO-1	K
D-DOI-A61198-AK:	BIRCH CREEK NATIONAL WILD RIVER, ALASKA	LO-1	K
D-DOI-A61197-AK:	BEAVER CREEK NATIONAL WILD RIVER, ALASKA	LO-1	K
D-DOI-A64027-AK:	SELAWIK NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, ALASKA	LO-1	K
D-DOI-A61189-AK:	KOBUB VALLEY NATIONAL MONUMENT, ALASKA	LO-1	K
D-DOI-A64026-AK:	ALASKA COASTAL NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, ALASKA	L0-1	K
			-

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-IBR-G28001-TX:	NUECES RIVER PROJECT, CHOKE CANYON DAM AND RESERVOIR SITE, TEXAS	ER-1	G
D-SFW-K61003-AZ:	PROPOSED CABEZA PRIETA WILDERNESS AREA, YUMA AND PIMA COUNTIES, ARIZONA	LO-1	J
DEPARTMENT OF LABO	DR		
RD-LAB-A86056-00:	PROPOSED REGULATION TO LIMIT EXPOSURE OF WORKERS TO VINYL CHLORIDE	LO-2	A
TENNESSEE VALLEY A	UTHORITY		
D-TVA-E08001-AL:	WIDOWS CREEK-WEST JEFFERSON 500-KV TRANSMISSION LINE, JACKSO MARSHALL, BLOUNT, CULLMAN AND JEFFERSON COUNTIES, ALABAMA	DN, LO-1	E 89
DEPARTMENT OF TRAN	SPORTATION		Ĩ
DS-FHW-A41886-KS:	PROJECT 24-105 U 072-1(16), WYANDOTTE COUNTY, KANSAS CITY, KANSAS	ER-1	н
DS-FHW-A42031-SC:	NORTH-SOUTH FREEWAY, SEGMENT BETWEEN I-26 IN LEXINGTON COUNTAIND SC-48, BLUFF ROAD IN RICHLAND COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA	LO-2	Е
D-FHW-A42279-KY:	WOODFORD AND FAYETTE COUNTIES, VERSAILLES AND LEXINGTON ROAD KENTUCKY), ER-3	Е
D-FHW-A42282-IN:	TENTH STREET IMPROVEMENTS, COLUMBUS, BARTHOLOMEW COUNTY, INDIANA	LO-2	F
D-FHW-A42283-MI:	M-21 RECONSTRUCTION, I-96 EAST TO ADA, KENT COUNTY, MICHIGAN	1 LO-2	F
D-FHW-A42285-KS:	K-6, KANSAS CITY, KANSAS	ER-2	H

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-FHW-A42293-AL:	ETOWAY COUNTY, RAINBOW DRIVE TO MEIGHAN BOULEVARD WITH CONNECTION TO APL 28-50, ALABAMA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-A42297-IL:	FA ROUTE 412, ROCHELLE TO ROCKFORD, LEE, OGLE, AND WINNEBAGO COUNTIES, ILLINOIS) LO-1	F
D-FHW-A42299-NM:	INTERSECTION OF SR 4 AND SR 30 EAST TO INTERSECTION OF SR 4 AND US 285/84, APPROXIMATELY 9.3 MILES, SANTA FE COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	LO-2	G
DS-FHW-A49006-KS:	US 54, SEDGWICK COUNTY, KANSAS	ER-1	Н
D-FHW-L40001-OR:	SLATER CREEK, MYSTIC CREEK SECTION, STATE HIGHWAY 42, COOS BAY-ROSENBURG, DOUGLAS COUNTY, OREGON	LO-1	K
D-FAA-A51860-IA:	CEDAR RAPIDS MUNICIPAL AIRPORT, IOWA	LO-2	Н
D-FAA-A51861-PA:	JIMMY STEWART AIRPORT, INDIANA, PENNSYLVANIA	LO-2	D 90
DS-FHW-A41457-IA:	NORTH-SOUTH FREEWAY 561, DUBUQUE COUNTY, IOWA	LO-2	н
DS-FHW-A41692-IA:	F-561, SCOTT AND CLINTON COUNTIES, IOWA	LO-1	Η
D-FHW-A42247-NY:	INTERSTATE ROUTE 518, WEST SIDE FROM BATTER, NEW YORK	3	С
D-FHW-A42288-CA:	FREEWAY DEVELOPMENT, CA-101 IN SONOMA COUNTY, CLOVERDALE, CALIFORNIA	LO-1	J
D-FHW-A42300-TX:	LOOP 340, FROM US 84 IN BELLMEAD TO FM 3051 IN MCLENNAN COUNTY, TEXAS	ER-2	G
D-FHW-A42298-WI:	MADISON STREET UNDERPASS, CITY OF EAU CLAIRE, EAU CLAIRE COUNTY, WISCONSIN	LO-2	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-FHW-B40001-MA:	ROUTE 140, HIGHWAY RELOCATION, WORCESTER COUNTY, GARDNER- WESTMINSTER, MASSACHUSETTS	ER-2	В
D-FHW-B40002-MA:	ROUTE 52, EXTENSION, WORCESTER COUNTY, OXFORD-AUBURN, MASSACHUSETTS	ER-2	В
D-FHW-E40001-FL:	SR-75, US 231, JACKSON COUNTY, FLORIDA	LO-2	Е
D-FHW-F40001-MN:	M.R. 41 FROM U.S. 169 TO M.R. 7, SCOTT AND CARVER COUNTIES, MINNESOTA	ER-2	F
D-FHW-F40002-MN:	US 14, MANKATO BY-PASS FROM US 169 TO EXISTING US 14 AND MN- BLUE EARTH COUNTY, MINNESOTA	60, LO-1	F
D-FHW-G40001-TX:	LOOP 363, FROM I-35 IN TEMPLE NORTHWEST TO SH 36, BELL COUNT TEXAS	Y, LO-2	G
D-FHW-H40000-IA:	US 6, SCOTT COUNTY, IOWA	ER-2	H 91
D-FHW-H40001-KS:	95TH STREET, LENEXA AND OVERLAND PARK, JOHNSON COUNTY, KANSA	S ER-2	H
D-FHW-L40002-ID:	CHERRY LANE, LINDER ROAD TO US 30, IDAHO	LO-1	K
D-FHW-L40005-OR:	WEST PORTLAND PARK AND RIDE, OREGON	L0-1	K
RD-CGD-A52073-00:	PROPOSED REGULATIONS TO IMPLEMENT PORT AND WATER SAFETY ACT OF 1972 (PL 92-340)	ER-2	А

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE

OF EPA COMMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ACTION

LO--Lack of Objection

EPA has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement; or suggests only minor changes in the proposed action.

ER--Environmental Reservations

EPA has reservations concerning the environmental effects of certain aspects of the proposed action. EPA believes that further study of suggested alternatives or modifications is required and has asked the originating Federal agency to reassess these impacts.

EU--Environmentally Unsatisfactory

EPA believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Futhermore, the Agency believes that the potential safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from hazards arising from this action. The Agency recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

ADEQUACY OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

Category 1--Adequate

The draft impact statement adequately sets forth the environmental impact of the proposed project or action as well as alternatives reasonably available to the project or action.

Category 2--Insufficient Information

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not contain sufficient information to assess fully the environmental impact of the proposed project or action. However, from the information submitted, the Agency is able to make a preliminary determination of the impact on the environment. EPA has requested that the originator provide the information that was not included in the draft statement.

Category 3--Inadequate

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not adequately assess the environmental impact of the proposed project or action, or that the statement inadequately analyzes reasonable available alternatives. The Agency has requested more information and analysis concerning the potential environmental hazards and has asked that substantial revision be made to the impact statement.

-93-

APPENDIX III

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN AUGUST 1, 1974 AND AUGUST 31, 1974

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURC COPIE GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS COMM	S OF	
DEPARTMENT OF AGR	ICULTURE			
F-AFS-A65014-IN:		EPA MAINTAINS THE POSITION THAT THE OPERATION OF OFF-ROAD VEHICLES, PRIMARILY MOTORCYCLES, IS NOT COMPATIBLE WITH NORMAL MULTIPLE-USE ACTIVITIES.	F	8
RF-AFS-67010-00:	36 CFR PARTS 251, 252, 293MINERAL RESOURCES ON NATIONAL FORESTS, USE UNDER U.S. MINING LAWS	IN EPA'S VIEW, THE REGULATIONS REPRESENT A SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTION TO THE NATION'S EFFORT TO PREVENT UNWARRANTED ENVIRONMENTAL DEGRADATION BASED ON THE REVIEW, EPA SUGGESTED MODIFICATION ' SEVERAL SECTIONS OF THE REGULATIONS IN AN EFFORT TO STRENGTHEN THEM FROM AN ENVIRONMENTAL POINT OF VIEW.	•	-94
F-REA-A08016-MS:	PURVIS GENERATING PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2, FOUR 161 KV TRANSMISSIONS LINES, LAMAR COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI	EPA REQUESTED CLARIFICATION AS TO WHAT PROCESS WILL BE USED TO CONTROL SULFUR DIOXIDE EMISSIONS	Е •	
F-SCS-A36221-AL:	SWAN CREEK WATERSHED, LIMESTONE COUNTY, ALABAMA	GENERALLY, EPA HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA EMPHASIZED THAT FOR THE PROJECT TO PROCEED APPROPRIATE FEDERAL PERMINARY BE NEEDED PURSUANT TO THE FWPCAA OF 1972.	E IS	

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF COMM	IERCE		
F-DOC-A99081-HI:	FIELD TEST OF THE SUBMARINE SAND RECOVERY SYSTEM, HAWAII	EPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT PROPOSED.	TAS J
CORPS OF ENGINEERS	5		
F-COE-A36288-OH:	CHILLICOTHE LOCAL PROTECTION, ROSS COUNTY, OHIO	THE FINAL STATEMENT ADEQUATELY RESPONDED COMMENTS EXPRESSED BY EPA ON THE DRAFT STA	FO F ATEMENT.
F-COE-A36336-MN:	VERMILLION RIVER, LOCAL FLOOD PROTECTION, HASTINGS, DAKOTA COUNTY, MINNESOTA	EPA BELIEVES THE ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBIL THE PROJECT DEPENDS ON THE METHODS USED TO PREVENT DEGRADATION OF WATER QUALITY AND DEVELOPMENT OF SUITABLE FLOODPLAIN LAND US INQUIRIES REGARDING THE NATURE OF BOTTOM IN THE PEARY DAM AND CHANNEL MODIFICATION WERE NOT ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED.EPA REQUEST THAT EVIDENCE OF BOTTOM SEDIMENT QUALITY TO EPA PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF THE PROPOSI	D THE SES. EPA SEDIMENTS 4 AREAS 5 ED 4 BE SUBMITTED
F-COE-A38009-IL:	MEREDOSIA LEVEE AND DRAINAGE DISTRICT LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT IN ROCK ISLAND AND WHITESIDE COUNTIES, ILLINOIS	EPA BELIEVES THE ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IM RESULTING FROM THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL MINIMAL. FOR THE MOST PART, THE FINAL ST ADEQUATELY RESPONDED TO OUR COMMENTS ON T STATEMENT; HOWEVER, EPA WAS UNABLE TO LOC ANY INFORMATION REGARDING PAST FLOOD RECO AND FLOOD DAMAGE TO THE MISSISSIPPI AND R LEVEES.	BE ATEMENT HE DRAFT ATE RD LEVELS
FS-COE-A32305-CA:	LAKEPORT LAKE PROJECT, LAKE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA HAS CONTINUING CONCERNS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND IS RESERVING FINAL C UNTIL THE COE PROVIDES RESPONSE TO THE FI AS TO THE SUGGESTED TRACE ELEMENT WATER Q STUDY, THE RESULTS OF THE CORPS' STUDY OF FOR WATER QUALITY APPURTENANCES, SUGGESTI OF WATER CONTRACT STIPULATIONS, AND INDIC OF IMPLEMENTING A WATER QUALITY MONITORIN	NDINGS UALITY THE NEED ONS ATIONS
		15	

-95-

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE COPIES GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS COMMEN	OF	د -
F-COE-A34074-AL:	ALABAMA RIVER BASIN,	EPA RECOMMENDED THAT THE COE SUPPLEMENT THE FINAL STATEMENT WITH DATA RELATING TO THE DISSOLVED OXYGEN CHANGE THAT HAS TAKEN PLACE SINCE IMPOUNDMENT AND INFORMATION MORE PRECISELY STATING THE ADVERSE EFFECTS DUE TO NATURAL STREAM REAERATION.	E	
F-COE-A34100-OH: F-COE-A34132-OH:	EAST FORK LAKE, CLERMONT COUNTY, OHIO; CEASAR CREEK LAKE, WARREN COUNTY, OHIO	EPA REQUESTED ASSURANCE FORM THE COE THAT STREAM FLOWS FOR BOTH CEASAR CREEK AND EAST FORK BE MAINTAINED AT CURRENT CRITICAL LOW FLOWS, AS A MINIMUM, ON A CONTINUOUS BASIS. ALSO, EPA RECOMMENDED THAT AN IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE WITH THE NECESSARY COMMITMENTS PROVIDING FOR ADEQUATE TREATMENT OF ALL POINT SOURCE DISCHARGES UPSTREAM OF THE DAMS SHOULD BE ADOPTED.	F	
F-COE-A39064-WV:	BEACH FORK LAKE, BEACH FORK CREEK, TWELVEPOLE CREEK BASIN, CABELL AND WAYNE COUNTIES, WEST VIRGINIA	EPA RECOMMENDED CAREFUL MONITORING OF WATER QUALITY IN THE CREEK BECAUSE THE HIGH FECAL CLOIFORM COUNTS MAY LIMIT OR PROHIBIT RECREATION AT THE FACILITY.	D	-96-
DEPARTMENT OF DEFE	ENSE			
F-USN-A10040-WA:	TRIDENT SUPPORT SITE AT BANGOR, WASHINGTON	EPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	K	

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

F-FPC-A03050--00: CONSOLIDATED SYSTEM LNG COMPANY, LOUDOUN-LEIDY PROJECT, VIRGINIA, MARYLAND, AND PENNSYLVANIA EPA REQUESTED THAT THE FPC PROVIDE ADDITIONAL D INFORMATION TO PERMIT EPA TO ASSESS THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED ACTION.

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF INTER	IOR		

F-DOI-A61127-ND: GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT, PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM, NORTH DAKOTA PROGRAM, NORTH DAKOTA EPA EXPRESSED SERIOUS CONCERNS REGARDING THE I ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT. PENDING THE RESOLUTION OF THE MAJOR ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES, EPA EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT THE FORTHCOMING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES WHICH WOULD COMMIT THE BUREAU OF RECLAMATION TO AN IRREVERSIBLE COURSE OF ACTION, NOTWITHSTANDING THE ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

F-FHW-A40097-CT:	I-86, FROM VERNON TO WILLINGTON, CONNECTICUT	EPA FOUND THAT SERIOUS AIR QUALITY PROBLEMS B EXIST IN THE AREA AND THAT THE DOCUMENTATION PROVIDED WAS INSUFFICIENT TO ASSURE THAT THIS PROJECT WILL NOT EXACERBATE THE SITUATION. EPA ALSO FOUND THAT INSUFFICIENT EFFORTS HAVE BEEN MADE TO COORDINATE THIS PROJECT WITH THE CONNECTICUT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DEPARTMENT'S AIR IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND THAT THE MASS TRANSIT OPTION HAS NOT BEEN ADEQUATELY CONSIDERED.	-97-
F-FHW-A41254-IL:	FAP 409 (US 50) CENTRALIA TO XENIA, CLAY AND MARION COUNTIES, ILLINOIS	THE FINAL STATEMENT SELECTED A COMBINATION OF F ALIGNMENTS DIFFERENT FROM THOSE PRESENTED IN THE DRAFT STATEMENT. HOWEVER, EPA BELIEVES THAT THE	·

F-FHW-A41577-AL: MOBILE AND BALDWIN COUNTIES, PROJECT I-10-1 (35) AND F-346(9), ALABAMA EPA RECOMMENDED THAT AN AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS BE E MADE TO ASCERTAIN THE EXPECTED CARBON MONOXIDE LEVELS.

PROJECT IS SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME AND THAT THE ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS WILL BE MINIMAL.

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE COPIES GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS COMMEN	OF
F-FHW-A41889-VT:	I-93, CONSTRUCTION, WATERFORD, VERMONT, TO LITTLETON, NEW HAMPSHIRE, AND WATERFORD, VERMONT, TO ST. JOHNSBURY, VERMONT	EPA STATED THAT ADDITIONAL CONSTRUCTION ON INTERSTATE 93, PRIOR TO THE COMPLETION OF A COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT WHICH WOULD INCLUDE FRANCONIA NOTCH, NEW HAMPSHIF WOULD BE CONTRARY TO THE INTENT OF THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT, THE CEQ GUIDELINES, AND FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION PPM 90-1, RELATIVE TO REQUIREMENTS ON PIECE-MEALING PROJECTS.)
F-FHW-A42332-IL:	FREEWAY FAP 408, JACKSONVILLE TO BARRY, IN MORGAN, SCOTT AND PINE COUNTIES, ILLINOIS	THE FINAL STATEMENT GENERALLY RESPONDED TO EPA'S COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT STATEMENT. HOWEVER, EPA'S INQUIRIES REGARDING COLLECTION OF WATER QUALITY DATA ON STREAMS CROSSED BY THE PROJECT AND AN ANALYSIS OF THE NOISE IMPACTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT WERE NOT ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED.	·
F-FHW-A41511-PA:	LR 313, LR 1053, CENTRE AND CLEARFIELD COUNTIES, PENNSYLVANIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D 98-
F-FHW-A40104-PA:	LR 1015, TR 119, UNIONTOWN, FAYETTE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA	EPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D
F-FHW-A41204-IL:	FA ROUTE 45, MANNHEIM ROAD, 197TH STREET TO 143RD STREET, COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS	EPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-FHW-A41423-PA:	LR 557, ALLENTOWN TO SOUTH WHITEHALL TOWNSHIP, LEHIGH COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA	EPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	D

TITLE	GENERAL NA	ATUF	RE OF COMME	NTS			COPI	CE FOR ES OF MENTS
STH 33, CTH "P" TO USH 41, WASHINGTON AND DODGE COUNTIES, WISCONSIN	EPA EXPRESSED PROPOSED.	NO	OBJECTIONS	TO	THE	PROJECT	AS	F
I-15 IN AND NEAR ESCONDIDO, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA EXPRESSED PROPOSED.	NO	OBJECTIONS	то	THE	PROJECT	AS	J
SR 619, STATE STREET, CUMMIT COUNTY, CITY OF BARBERTON, OHIO	EPA EXPRESSED PROPOSED.	NO	OBJECTIONS	то	THE	PROJECT	AS	F
	STH 33, CTH "P" TO USH 41, WASHINGTON AND DODGE COUNTIES, WISCONSIN I-15 IN AND NEAR ESCONDIDO, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA SR 619, STATE STREET, CUMMIT COUNTY, CITY OF	STH 33, CTH "P" TO USH 41, WASHINGTON AND DODGE COUNTIES, WISCONSINEPA EXPRESSED PROPOSED.I-15 IN AND NEAR ESCONDIDO, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIAEPA EXPRESSED PROPOSED.SR 619, STATE STREET, CUMMIT COUNTY, CITY OFEPA EXPRESSED PROPOSED.	STH 33, CTH "P" TO USH 41, WASHINGTON AND DODGE COUNTIES, WISCONSINEPA EXPRESSED NO PROPOSED.I-15 IN AND NEAR ESCONDIDO, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIAEPA EXPRESSED NO PROPOSED.SR 619, STATE STREET, CUMMIT COUNTY, CITY OFEPA EXPRESSED NO PROPOSED.	STH 33, CTH "P" TO USH 41, WASHINGTON AND DODGE COUNTIES, WISCONSINEPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS PROPOSED.I-15 IN AND NEAR ESCONDIDO, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIAEPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS PROPOSED.SR 619, STATE STREET, 	STH 33, CTH "P" TO USH 41, WASHINGTON AND DODGE COUNTIES, WISCONSINEPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED.I-15 IN AND NEAR ESCONDIDO, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIAEPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED.SR 619, STATE STREET, CUMMIT COUNTY, CITY OFEPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED.	STH 33, CTH "P" TO USH 41, WASHINGTON AND DODGE COUNTIES, WISCONSINEPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED.I-15 IN AND NEAR ESCONDIDO, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIAEPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED.SR 619, STATE STREET, CUMMIT COUNTY, CITY OFEPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED.	TITLEGENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTSSTH 33, CTH "P" TO USH 41, WASHINGTON AND DODGE COUNTIES, WISCONSINEPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT PROPOSED.I-15 IN AND NEAR ESCONDIDO, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIAEPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT PROPOSED.SR 619, STATE STREET, CUMMIT COUNTY, CITY OFEPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT PROPOSED.	TITLEGENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTSCOMMSTH 33, CTH "P" TO USH 41, WASHINGTON AND DODGE COUNTIES, WISCONSINEPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.PROPOSED.I-15 IN AND NEAR ESCONDIDO, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIAEPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.PROPOSED.SR 619, STATE STREET, CUMMIT COUNTY, CITY OFEPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.EPA EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.

F-VAD-A81149-CA: 120-BED REHABILITATION/ EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT NURSING HOME CARE AS PROPOSED. BUILDING, VETERANS ADMINISTRATION, SEPULVEDA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

-99-

J

APPENDIX IV

REGULATIONS, LEGISLATION AND OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN AUGUST 1, 1974 AND AUGUST 31, 1974

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF INT	ERIOR		
R-IGS-A02059-00:	NOTICESPROPOSED OCS ORDER NO. 9, GULF OF MEXICO AREA, APPROVAL PROCEDURE FOR OIL AND GAS PIPELINE	EPA APPROVED OF THE PROPOSED CHANGES IN OCS ORDER NO. 9 WHICH BRING USGS REQUIREM IN LINE WITH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND OPERATING CRITERIA. OTHER COMMENTS W CENTERED ABOUT ADDITIONAL PIPELINE OPERAT CRITERIA THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED CONSISTENCY IN DEFINITION OF TERMS BETWEE USGS ORDER AND DOT REGULATIONS.	I TESTING JERE LIONAL AND
R-NOA-A86062-00:	50 CFR 216MARINE MAMMALS, PROCEDURES FOR HEARINGS ON PROPOSED REGULATIONS	IN EPA'S VIEW THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS WE GENERALLY ADEQUATE, HOWEVER, EPA SUGGESTE PROVISION BE MADE FOR INTRODUCING THE ENV IMPACT STATEMENT INTO THE HEARING RECORD.	D THAT IRONMENTAL
DEPARTMENT OF TRAN	NSPORTATION		

R-DOT-A86058-00: DOCKET NUMBER HM-103--TRANSPORTATION OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS; AND DOCKET NUMBER HM-112--HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REGULATIONS

EPA EXPRESSED SEVERAL CONCERNS RELATING TO THE A PROPOSED REGULATIONS INTENDED TO DEAL WITH SUBSTANCES IN TRANSPORT. -100-

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	COPIES OF COMMENTS
R-FAA-A52075-00:	14 CFR PART 121, AIRCRAFT SECURITY, USE OF X-RAY DEVICES	EPA STATED THAT THE POTENTIAL IMPACT O PROPOSED REGULATIONS IS VERY SIGNIFICA RECOMMENDED THAT AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPA	NT AND

BE PREPARED.

-101-

APPENDIX V

SOURCE FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

- A. Director, Office of Public Affairs Environmental Protection Agency
 401 M Street, S.W.
 Washington, D.C. 20460 -
- B. Director of Public Affairs

Region I

Room 2303

John F. Kennedy Federal Building Boston, Massachusetts 02203

C. Director of Public Affairs

Region II Environmental Protection Agency Room 847 26 Federal Plaza

New York, New York 10007

D. Director of Public Affairs

Region III

Environmental Protection Agency

Curtis Building, 6th and Walnut Streets

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106

- E. Director of Public Affairs Region IV Environmental Protection Agency Suite 300 1421 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309
- F. Director of Public Affairs Region V Environmental Protection Agency

l N. Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606

- G. Director of Public Affairs Region VI Environmental Protection Agency 1600 Patterson Street Dallas, Texas 75201
- H. Director of Public Affairs
 Region VII
 Environmental Protection Agency
 1735 Baltimore Street
 Kansas City, Missouri 64108

- I. Director of Public Affairs Region VIII Environmental Protection Agency Lincoln Tower, Room 916 1860 Lincoln Street Denver, Colorado 80203
- J. Director of Public Affairs
 Region IX
 Environmental Protection Agency
 100 California Street

San Francisco, California 94111

K. Director of Public Affairs

Region X

Environmental Protection Agency

1200 Sixth Avenue

Seattle, Washington 98101

Summary of 102 Statements Filed with the CEQ Through 8/31/74 (By Agency)

Agency Agriculture, Department of	Draft 102's for actions on which no final 102's have yet been received	Final 102's on legislation and actions 393	Total actions on which draft or final statements have been received
Appalachian Regional Commission	152		545
Architect of the Capitol	1	0	1
Atomic Energy Commission	1	0	1
Civil Aeronautics Board	: 43	135	178
	1	0	1
Commerce, Department of	11	34	45
Defense, Department of	6	6	12
Air Force	9	14	23
Army	1	16	17
Army Corps of Engineers	488	614	1102
Navy	17	21	38
Delaware River Basin Commission	1	8	9
Energy Policy Office	0	1	1
Environmental Protection Agency	16	49	65
Federal Maritime Commission	2	0	2
Federal Power Commission	80	29	109
General Services Administration	23	59	82
HEW, Department of	2	13	15
HUD, Department of	18	72	90
Interior, Department of	196	196	392
International Boundary and Water	250		0,00
Commission U.S. and Mexico	1	7	8
Interstate Commerce Commission	7	3	10
Justice, Department of	2	3	5
Labor, Department of	2 1	2	3
National Aeronautics and Space Adm.	=	20	
National Capital Planning Comm.	10		30
National Science Foundation	5	8 5	13
New England River Basins Commissions	0	0	5
Office of Science and Technology	1	1	1
Pacific Northwest River Basins Comm.	0		1
Pennsylvania Ave. Dev. Corp.	3	0	3
Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Comm.	1	0	1
	1	0	1
State Department	3	4	7
Tennessee Valley Authority	4	21	25
Transportation, Department of	978	1734	2712
Treasury, Department of	3	7	10
Upper Mississippi River Basin Comm.	l	0	1
U.S. Postal Service	2	0	2
U.S. Water Resources Council	4	9	13
Veterans Administration		6	7
	2,093	3,487	5,580

(3 · · · · · ł

	· ·
1	
• :	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	